CORRECTIVE MEASURE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

Submitted to:
The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington

Submitted by:

Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.
Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc.
Floyd|Snider, Inc.

November 2015

Project 0131320090

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page	
ACRO	NYMS	& ABBREVIATIONS	V	
1.0	INTRO	DDUCTION	1	
2.0	SOUTHWEST BANK SHORELINE EXCAVATION			
	2.1	BACKGROUND	5	
	2.2	SOUTHWEST BANK SHORELINE: EXCAVATION AND SEDIMENT REMOVAL	5	
3.0		ATER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES		
	3.1	EXTENSION OF IN-WATER CONSTRUCTION WINDOW		
	3.2	TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION STRUCTURES		
		3.2.2 Outfall Sheetpile Wing Walls		
		3.2.3 Slip 4 Sheetpile Walls		
		3.2.4 Temporary Outfall Z Removal	8	
	3.3	CONSTRUCTION OF PERMANENT OUTFALLS		
	3.4	DREDGE AND BACKFILL		
		3.4.1 Dredging		
		3.4.1.2 Early Removal Areas		
		3.4.1.3 Diver-Assisted Hydraulic Dredging		
		3.4.1.4 Slip 4		
	0.5	3.4.2 Backfilling		
	3.5	BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES		
4.0		SLOADING OF DREDGE SEDIMENTS		
	4.1	DSOA DREDGE MATERIAL		
		4.1.2 Stormwater Management		
		4.1.3 Best Management Practices		
	4.2	EARLY REMOVAL AREA DREDGE MATERIAL		
	4.3	SLIP 4 DREDGE MATERIAL	19	
5.0		GE RETURN WATER PROCESSING		
	5.1	DSOA DREDGING		
		5.1.1 System Operation		
		5.1.2 Best Management Practices		
	5.2	EARLY REMOVAL AREA DREDGING		
	5.3	SLIP 4 DREDGING		
6.0	WATE	WATER QUALITY MONITORING		
	6.1	SOUTHWEST BANK RE-EXCAVATION MONITORING		
		6.1.1 Results of Conventional Parameter Compliance Monitoring		
	0.0	6.1.2 Results of Chemical Analysis of Water Samples		
	6.2 6.3	IN SITU WATER QUALITY INSTRUMENTS		
	0.3	6.3.1 Results of Conventional Parameter Compliance Monitoring	_	
		6.3.2 Results of Chemical Analysis of Water Samples		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

	6.4	DREDGE RETURN WATER MONITORING		
		6.4.1 Results of Conventional Parameter Monitoring		
	6.5	6.4.2 Results of Chemical Analysis of Water Samples BACKFILL MONITORING		
	6.6	SLIP 4 SHEETPILE REMOVAL MONITORING		
	6.7	DATA QUALITY REVIEW		
7.0	PRE-	PRE- AND POST-CONSTRUCTION PERIMETER MONITORING		
	7.1	PRE-CONSTRUCTION PERIMETER MONITORING	41	
		7.1.1 Southwest Bank Re-excavation Field Sampling Activities and Results		
	7.2	7.1.2 DSOA Dredging and Backfilling Field Sampling Activities and Results POST-CONSTRUCTION PERIMETER MONITORING – FIELD SAMPLING ACTIVITIES		
	7.0	AND RESULTS		
	7.3	DATA QUALITY REVIEW		
		7.3.2 Post-Construction Perimeter Monitoring		
8.0	SLIP 4	4 ADDITIONAL SEDIMENT DATA COLLECTION		
0.0	8.1	SUMMARY OF SAMPLING		
	8.2	RESULTS	47	
	8.3	DATA QUALITY REVIEW		
	8.4	SUMMARY		
9.0		-CONSTRUCTION CORE SAMPLING		
	9.1	SUMMARY OF SAMPLING ACTIVITIES		
	9.2	RESULTS		
		9.2.2 Slip 4 Cores		
	9.3	DATA QUALITY REVIEW		
	9.4	SUMMARY	51	
10.0	YEAR	0 POST-CONSTRUCTION SURFACE SEDIMENT MONITORING		
	10.1	SUMMARY OF SAMPLING ACTIVITIES		
	10.2	RESULTS		
	10.3 10.4	DATA QUALITY REVIEW		
	_			
11.0		ENSEN BACKFILL MONITORINGSUMMARY OF SAMPLING ACTIVITIES		
	11.1 11.2	RESULTS		
	11.2	11.2.1 PRE-DSOA DREDGING		
		11.2.2 POST-DSOA DREDGING	58	
	11.3	DATA QUALITY REVIEW		
	11.4	SUMMARY		
12.0		OF CONSTRUCTION SEASON DEMOBILIZATION AND DECONTAMINATION		
13.0	ARCH	AEOLOGICAL MONITORING	63	
14.0	REFE	RENCES	65	

TABLES

Table 1	Schedule of Southwest Bank Excavation and Backfilling
Table 2	Schedule of Dredging, Backfilling, and Water Quality Monitoring
Table 3	Settling Basin Piezometer Monitoring
Table 4	Analytical Results for Water Samples Collected during Shoreline Re-excavation Monitoring
Table 5	Analytical Results for Water Samples Collected during CS3 Dredge Season
Table 6	Summary of Water Quality Parameters Recorded during Dredge Return Water Quality Monitoring
Table 7	Analytical Results for Dredge Return Water Samples Collected during CS3 Dredge Season
Table 8	Perimeter Monitoring Sample Locations
Table 9	Area 1 Perimeter Monitoring Sample Results
Table 10	Area 2 Perimeter Monitoring Sample Results
Table 11	Area 3 Perimeter Monitoring Sample Results
Table 12	Area 4 Perimeter Monitoring Sample Results
Table 13	Area 5 Perimeter Monitoring Sample Results
Table 14	Post-Construction Coring Sample Locations
Table 15	Post-Construction Coring Sample Results
Table 16	Jorgensen Backfill Grab Sample Locations
Table 17	Jorgensen Backfill Grab Sample Results
	FIGURES
Figure 1 Figure 2	Boeing Plant 2 Vicinity Temporary and Permanent Structures Approval Units Slip 4 Product Areas Forty Removal Areas and Special Areas
Figure 3 Figure 4 Figure 5	Approval Units, Slip 4 Dredge Area, Early Removal Areas, and Special Areas Dredging and Backfilling Completed in Construction Season 3 Dredge Return Water System Site Plan South
Figure 6 Figure 7	Water Quality Treatment System Flow Diagram Dredge Return Water Treatment System Layout
Figure 8	Results of Perimeter Monitoring Pre- and Post-Construction CS1 through CS3
Figure 9	Post-Construction Core Sample Locations and Results—CS1, CS2, and CS3
Figure 10	Post-Construction Surface Monitoring Sampling Locations
Figure 11	Jorgensen Backfill Grab Sample Locations
	EXHIBITS
Exhibit 1	2012-2013 Construction Season Completion Report
Exhibit 2	Shoreline Completion Report
Exhibit 3	Dredging Construction Season 2 (January to March 2014) Completion Report
	APPENDICES
Appendix A	Construction Season 3 As-Built Drawings
Appendix B	Backfill Quality Assurance/Quality Control Transload Waste Tickets and Waste Profile
Appendix C	
Appendix D Appendix E	Granulated Activated Carbon Breakthrough Monitoring In situ Water Quality Parameter Measurements
, ADDOLIGIA L	ni ona vvatoi waanty i araniotoi ividadarontonto

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(Continued)

Appendix F	Daily Water Quality Monitoring Forms
Appendix G	Data Validation Reports
Appendix H	Qualitative Sample Characteristics and Chain-of-Custody Forms for Perimeter
	Monitoring
Appendix I	Slip 4 Additional Data Collection
Appendix J	Core Summary Logs, Photographs, and Chain-of-Custody Forms for Post-Construction
	Coring
Appendix K	Post-Construction Surface Sediment Monitoring—Year 0
Appendix L	Qualitative Sample Characteristics, Photographs, and Chain-of-Custody Forms for
	Jorgensen Backfill Sampling
Appendix M	End of Construction Season Decontamination
Appendix N	Archaeological Monitoring Program Synopsis, Construction Season 3: Dredging

ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS

μg/kg micrograms per kilogram

μg/L microgram per liter

ARI Analytical Resources, Inc.

AU approval unit

Boeing The Boeing Company

BMP best management practice

°C degrees Celsius

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

cm centimeter

COC chemical of concern
CS1 Construction Season 1

CS2 Construction Season 2
CS3 Construction Season 3

CSM conceptual site model

cy cubic yard

DGPS digital global positioning system

DRWS dredge return water system

DSOA Duwamish Sediment Other Area

EC electro-coagulation

Ecology Washington State Department of Ecology

EPA Environmental Protection Agency

ERA Early Removal Area

GAC granulated activated carbon

gpm gallons per minute

GPS global positioning system

HDPE high-density polyethylene

LAET lowest apparent effects threshold

LCS laboratory control sample

mg/kg milligrams per kilogram

mg/L milligrams per liter

ACRONYMS & ABBREVIATIONS (continued)

MLLW mean lower low water

MS/MSD matrix spike/matrix-spike duplicate

NTU nephelometric turbidity unit

Order Administrative Order on Consent, RCRA Docket No 1092- 01-22-3008(h)

PCB polychlorinated biphenyl

POTW publicly owned treatment works

ppb parts per billion
ppm parts per million
QA quality assurance
QC quality control

RBDA Risk-Based Disposal Approval

RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act

RTK real-time kinematic

Services National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

SMS Washington State Sediment Management Standards

SPA sediment processing area

SQS Washington State Sediment Management Standards, Sediment Quality

Standards

SRM sediment reference material

TOC total organic carbon

TS total solids

TSCA Toxic Substances Control Act

WAC Washington Administrative Code

CORRECTIVE MEASURE IMPLEMENTATION REPORT

Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure Boeing Plant 2 Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Duwamish Sediment Other Area (DSOA) and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure was conducted pursuant to the Administrative Order on Consent, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Docket No 1092-01-22-3008(h) (Order), issued to The Boeing Company (Boeing) in 1994 by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) under authority of RCRA Section 3008(h), as amended (42 United States Code 6928[h]).

The construction of the DSOA and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure was conducted over three construction seasons (September 2012 through March 2015) and was comprised of two major components; dredging and shoreline excavation. All work was conducted as per the EPA approved design documents.

At the end of each construction season, Boeing submitted a completion report describing the work that had been conducted and results of environmental monitoring that was associated with the construction activity. The previously submitted completion reports are:

- 2012-2013 Construction Season Completion Report (AMEC 2013) which summarized the first season of dredging that was conducted between January and March 2013 and is provided as Exhibit 1;
- Shoreline Completion Report (AMEC and Floyd|Snider 2014) which described the shoreline excavation and backfilling of the south shoreline and the Southwest Bank and the North Shoreline habitat construction conducted between September 2012 and October 2013 (provided as Exhibit 2); and
- Dredging Construction Season 2 (January to March 2014) Completion Report (DOF et al. 2014) which summarized the second season of dredging that was conducted between January and March 2014. This report is provided as Exhibit 3.

The previous three reports and this report collectively serve as the Corrective Measure Implementation Report and fulfills the reporting requirements specified in the Corrective Measure Implementation Scope of Work in the Order.

During the 3 construction seasons:

- Approximately 163,000 cubic yards (cy) of sediment was dredged within the DSOA and Slip 4;
- Approximately 160,000 cy of backfill was placed within the DSOA and Slip 4;
- Approximately 46,200 cy of material was excavated along the south Plant 2 shoreline;
- Approximately 31,300 cy of backfill material was placed along the south Plant 2 shoreline;
- Approximately 383,000 tons of sediment and soil was disposed of in accordance with the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) Risked-based Disposal Approvals (RBDAs) issued by the EPA; and
- Approximately 44,200,000 gallons of water was discharged though the dredge return water treatment system.

All work was conducted in accordance with the EPA-approved design submittals (AMEC et al. 2012b) and modifications approved by EPA and the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology). The work met the requirements of the Statement of Basis for Proposed Corrective Action, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank (EPA 2011a) and the Final Decision and Response to Comments for Boeing Plant 2 Sediments, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank (EPA 2011b).

This report documents work conducted during the third and final dredging construction season (Construction Season 3 [CS3] which was conducted between August 2014 and March 2015 [Figure 1]). The work included:

- Southwest Bank shoreline excavation and backfilling;
- In-water construction, including:
 - Installation and/or removal of temporary construction structures (Figure 2):
 - Mooring and water quality instrument piles.
 - Outfall sheetpile,
 - Slip 4 sheetpile, and
 - Temporary Outfall Z;
 - Construction of permanent outfalls;
 - Sediment dredging in the following areas (Figure 3):
 - DSOA, including the Early Removal Areas (ERAs) and diver-assisted hydraulic dredging area, and
 - Slip 4;
 - Backfilling:

- Transloading of dredged sediments;
- Dredge return water processing;
- Water quality monitoring, which included:
 - Southwest Bank re-excavation monitoring,
 - In situ instrument monitoring,
 - Dredge monitoring,
 - Dredge return water monitoring,
 - Backfill placement monitoring, and
 - Slip 4 sheetpile removal monitoring;
- Sediment Investigations/monitoring which included:
 - Pre- and post-construction perimeter sediment monitoring,
 - Slip 4 additional sediment data collection,
 - Post-construction core sampling, and
 - Year 0 Post-Construction Surface Sediment Monitoring;
- Jorgensen backfill monitoring; and
- Archaeological monitoring.

This page intentionally left blank.

2.0 SOUTHWEST BANK SHORELINE EXCAVATION

Sediment remediation in the Southwest Bank Area during CS3 included both in-water dredging and land-based sediment excavation. Prior to the sediment remediation activities in the Southwest Bank area, portions of the previously constructed bank were re-excavated to allow nearshore sediment remediation activities to proceed without destabilizing the slope and to create an access corridor for land-based equipment. This section describes the land-based excavation activities performed during CS3 for the Southwest Bank shoreline. In-water dredging activities in the Southwest Bank area are documented in Section 3.4.1.1.

2.1 BACKGROUND

Excavation and remediation of the south shoreline were previously performed during CS1 in the general area from the South Park Bridge southward to temporary Outfall Z near the Jorgensen Forge property line, which includes the Southwest Bank area (Figure 1). Upon completion of excavation, backfilling of the south shoreline was performed to create slopes in accordance with the design for the habitat along the shoreline. This work was originally scheduled to be performed in conjunction with dredging of the DSOA, with shoreline reconstruction and habitat restoration occurring after completion of dredging and dredging-related backfill in the area. Due to a variety of scheduling and coordination issues, including the South Park Bridge construction delays, dredging along the south shoreline did not occur during CS1; however, the shoreline work proceeded as originally scheduled. As a result, the constructed shoreline and habitat could potentially be undermined by the required dredging to be completed during CS3.

To address this potential slope stability issue, the shoreline was re-excavated to remove a portion of the previously placed backfill prior to conducting the required dredging during CS3. At the same time, an access corridor was created to allow land-based equipment to access the nearshore sediment within the DSOA (Figure 1). Use of land-based dredge equipment allowed the shoreline to be reconstructed and revegetated within the fall planting season.

2.2 SOUTHWEST BANK SHORELINE: EXCAVATION AND SEDIMENT REMOVAL

The CS3 Southwest Bank re-excavation was begun in July 2014. Previously placed backfill material was removed to create a more stable slope and thereby facilitate excavation of nearshore sediment from the shoreline. The excavated material was segregated between clean habitat material and habitat material mixed with native subgrade. The clean habitat material was reused for shoreline reconstruction to the extent practicable.

Once the Southwest Bank shoreline was re-excavated to create a stable slope, excavation of impacted nearshore sediment began in August 2014 (Table 1). This excavation was conducted to remove impacted sediment from the nearshore portions of the DSOA (Figure 1). Impacted sediment

was removed using an instrumented excavator and loaded into sealed off-road haul trucks. The sediment was hauled and stockpiled at the on-site sediment processing area (SPA; see Section 5.0), where it was stabilized (as necessary) to pass the paint filter test before being transported to the transload facility (see Section 4.0) for disposal. A sorbent boom and debris curtains were deployed prior to the start of excavation.

3.0 IN-WATER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

Section 3.0 describes the in-water work activities conducted during CS3. During CS3, the following in-water construction activities were conducted:

- Installation and removal of temporary construction structures (Figure 2),
- Construction of permanent outfalls,
- Sediment dredging (Figure 4), and
- Backfilling.

All in-water construction work during CS3 was conducted in compliance with local, state, and federal agencies' permit requirements. In addition, this work was conducted in accordance with a Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) RBDA issued by the EPA on December 20, 2012, with subsequent related approvals issued on May 22, 2013, December 17, 2013, August 29, 2014, September 24, 2014, and October 23, 2014 (EPA 2012, 2013a, 2013b, 2014a, 2014b, 2014c).

3.1 EXTENSION OF IN-WATER CONSTRUCTION WINDOW

The in-water construction work window originally authorized for CS3 ended February 15, 2015; however, EPA requested an extension of the in-water work window from the natural resources trust agencies (National Marine Fisheries Service and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, collectively referred to as the Services) under the Endangered Species Act. The Services agreed to an extension of the work window through March 15, 2015; this extension was subsequently authorized by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Section 10/404 Permit) and the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (Hydraulic Project Approval).

3.2 TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION STRUCTURES

At the beginning and during CS3, it was necessary for the contractor to install a number of temporary structures prior to and in support of ongoing dredging operations (Figure 1). These structures included temporary mooring piles installed by the contractor for sediment barges and other vessels, water quality monitoring piles, and sheetpile walls for the North Basin Outfall, South Basin Outfall, and the work in Slip 4 (Section 3.2.3). Prior to completing CS3 in-water work, temporary Outfall Z (Figure 2), which had been installed at the beginning of CS1, was removed from the waterway.

3.2.1 Mooring and Water Quality Instrument Piles

Prior to the start of DSOA dredging, platforms for telemetered in situ water quality monitoring equipment and a tide gauge were installed at the northern (downstream) and southern (upstream) extents of the CS3 work area (Figure 1). These platforms consisted of surplus U.S. Coast Guard Aids to Navigation (ATON) structures (platforms) fixed to a single pile to provide a safe working area during

the upkeep of these stations. After completion of dredging and backfill activities for CS3, these structures were removed from the waterway.

3.2.2 Outfall Sheetpile Wing Walls

Sheetpile wing walls were driven at the North and South Basin stormwater outfalls (Figure 2). The wing walls allowed recovery of blind flanges that were installed when the shoreline work was performed. After installation of the permanent outfalls (Section 3.3), the wing walls were removed.

3.2.3 Slip 4 Sheetpile Walls

In order to remove material in the Slip 4 area without destabilizing the shoreline, approximately 300 lineal feet of sheetpiling was placed along the shoreline (Figure 1). Additionally, approximately 640 lineal feet of sheetpiling was placed on the property line down the middle of Slip 4 to avoid disturbance of sediment on the adjacent DeNovo property (see Section 3.4.1.3; Figure 1). Upon successful removal of impacted sediment, and backfilling the dredge area to original grades, the Slip 4 sheetpile walls were removed from the waterway prior to the end of the in-water construction window.

3.2.4 Temporary Outfall Z Removal

Temporary Outfall Z was initially constructed prior to the start of CS1 dredging in late November 2012 (Figure 1). The purpose of this work was to temporarily extend the existing Outfall Z along the south shoreline to reduce potential erosion in the intertidal and subtidal zones. The temporary outfall extension at Outfall Z was removed in its entirety upon completion of CS3 mechanical dredging south of the South Park Bridge.

3.3 CONSTRUCTION OF PERMANENT OUTFALLS

Three permanent, submerged outfalls were installed during CS3: Outfall Z, the North Basin Outfall, and the South Basin Outfall (Figure 1). All CS3 outfalls were constructed of high-density polyethylene. The outfall discharge pipe inverts were all between elevations -10.0 and -10.7 feet relative to mean lower low water (MLLW).

3.4 DREDGE AND BACKFILL

Dredging and backfill operations during CS3 were conducted in the DSOA and Slip 4. Dredging within the DSOA included dredging in the ERAs and diver-assisted hydraulic dredging near the South Park Bridge (Figure 3). Figure 4 presents a summary of the dredging and backfill work completed during CS3. Similar to the two previous construction seasons, dredging was typically conducted during two shifts per day (target of 10 hours on water per shift), six days per week. All in-water work for CS3 was completed on March 12, 2015.

This section describes the general work methods for dredging and backfilling in each of the dredge areas. As-built drawings for CS3 are provided in Appendix A.

3.4.1 Dredging

Dredging during CS3 was conducted in the DSOA and in Slip 4. Within the DSOA, specialized dredging methods were required in the following locations:

- The two localized ERAs where concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) greater than 50 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) (equivalent to 50 parts per million) were present; and
- The area immediately adjacent to the eastern support pier of the South Park Bridge due to access restrictions.

A summary of CS3 dredging activities is presented below:

- Dredging was completed in 110 Approval Units in the DSOA.
- Dredging was completed in a new dredge prism in Slip 4.
- The total volume of sediment dredged was approximately 78,604 cy.
- Total tonnage of sediment removed and offloaded at the transload facility was approximately 117,000 tons.

This section describes the specific dredging methods used in each area.

3.4.1.1 DSOA

CS3 dredging in the DSOA began on September 24, 2014, and placement of backfill began on October 8, 2014 (Table 2). The DSOA dredging area was subdivided into Approval Units (AUs; Figure 3). The AU system was used to track the status of dredging and backfilling work and as a basis to approve the final work as dredging and then backfilling were completed within each AU. Each AU occupied an area of approximately 2,500 square feet, and the AUs were combined into Approval Groups, which comprised a row of AUs extending from the shoreline outward to the navigation channel (Figure 3).

Dredging in the DSOA during CS3 began at approximately Station 27+00 (Approval Group 55) and progressed northward (downstream) to approximately Station 13+00 (Approval Group 28) (Figure 4). After dredging within an AU was completed, surveyed, and accepted, initial backfill was placed in approximate 6-inch- to 1-foot-thick lifts over the AU. This initial backfill material was intended to prevent the potential loss of residuals within the dredged AU, which is a project best management practice (BMP). Approval Groups 66 through a portion of 55 were dredged, and intermediate backfill was placed, during CS2. Limited dredging was also performed in Approval Groups 54 and 55 and 67 and 68 during CS2 to create transition slopes from the dredged to undredged areas. Dredging

continued during CS3 at the upstream end of the DSOA at approximately Station 39+00 (Approval Group 81) and continued to approximately Station 32+50 (Approval Group 67; Figure 4). The dredging, backfilling, and final backfilling completed during CS3 are shown on Figure 4.

Dredging equipment included a barge-mounted, instrumented excavator (described below), binned sediment barges (flat-decked barges with watertight bin walls), a dewatering barge, and auxiliary equipment, such as tugboats, survey vessel, and crew boats. The derrick barge Skookum (floating crane), which was primarily used for backfill placement, also functioned as a service crane to support dredging operations. A total of five sediment barges were used with sediment load capacities ranging from approximately 300 to 600 tons. These sediment barges were flat-deck barges with watertight bin walls approximately 4 to 5 foot high.

Most dredging was performed from the vessel Aberdeen using an instrumented Komatsu PC800 excavator fitted with a long-reach Jewel® droop stick and a 4-cubic-yard Young® Manufacturing clamshell bucket modified for environmental dredging. On board the excavator was a navigation system that provided a real-time kinematic (RTK) global positioning system (GPS) in conjunction with sensor input to calculate x, y, and z coordinates for the bucket. Sensors also provided information on bucket rotation, open/close status of the bucket, and dredge position. This system provided the dredge contractor with a bucket placement accuracy of ±10-centimeter (cm), monitored by the dredge operator with oversight from the Boeing engineering oversight team. Dredge instrumentation was checked during each shift (twice daily) by comparing readings against an RTK-GPS rover station on the dredge.

3.4.1.2 Early Removal Areas

The DSOA project included two localized areas offshore of the Southwest Bank (the ERAs, as shown on Figure 3) where PCB concentrations were found to be greater than 50 mg/kg. These well-defined areas consisted of approximately 300 cubic yards of sediment potentially contaminated with PCBs at concentrations greater than 50 mg/kg. Early removal in the ERAs occurred prior to the main dredging portion of the removal action in those areas and consisted of removing materials to the limit of the mapped 25 mg/kg isoconcentration line.

ERA dredging was completed using a hybrid system of mechanical dredging and hydraulic transport. The excavator equipped with the Young's bucket was used to remove sediment from the waterway in the early removal areas and then place it into a slurry box that was staged inside the watertight sediment bin of a sediment barge. The slurry box was constructed of steel, was roughly octagonal in overall shape, and was approximately 3 to 4 feet deep during operation. Water was then pumped from the Duwamish Waterway into the slurry box containing the dredged material. Water jets within the slurry box were used to liquefy the fine-grained dredged sediment into a slurry with a consistency

similar to that produced by a hydraulic dredge. This slurry was then pumped to the dredge return water system (DRWS) for processing (as described in Section 5.2 below).

The remaining coarse material that had been segregated from the fine-grained material by the slurry process was allowed to dewater within a watertight sediment barge before being loaded into super sacks, which were double bagged so they remained watertight. The super sacks were offloaded from the barge onto Boeing property and then transported directly to the disposal site. The super sacks were offloaded directly to the Boeing uplands using a crane with a bin. Decanted water produced by dewatering the coarse-grained fraction was pumped to the DRWS and processed. The first leg of the DRWS was cleaned of accumulated sediment before and after dredging of the ERAs. In this way, solids pumped to the DRWS from the ERAs were kept separate from all other sediment material. Sediments removed from the DRWS following ERA dredging, along with material collected from the Tri-Flo™ portion of the DRWS during ERA dredging, were segregated, stabilized, and sent to a subtitle C landfill for disposal.

All ERA dredging and disposal methods were performed in accordance with the TSCA RBDAs issued by the EPA.

3.4.1.3 Diver-Assisted Hydraulic Dredging

A new South Park Bridge across the Duwamish Waterway was constructed by King County within the DSOA during CS1 and CS2. This new bridge was substantially completed during CS3, allowing dredging to be performed in the area under and immediately adjacent to the new bridge. However, vertical clearance under the east approach to the bridge is very limited.

Due to limited access and the need to avoid damage or impacts to the newly constructed South Park Bridge, diver-assisted hydraulic dredging was performed in selected areas adjacent to the bridge (Figure 3) to remove impacted sediments to the design grade. Diver-assisted dredging is a commonly accepted practice in areas where access to sediment may be restricted by existing structures or where a low-impact dredging method is needed so that the dredge cannot damage structures within the dredge area. One tradeoff with diver-assisted dredging is that sediment production rates area very low compared to environmental mechanical dredging.

Commercial divers, using surface-supplied air systems, used two 6-inch-diameter suction dredge heads to hydraulically remove sediments to design grade from under the South Park Bridge around the east pier (Figure 3). The dredge slurry produced by the diver dredges was hydraulically transported directly to the DRWS, where the solids were separated and the water was treated prior to discharge back to the Duwamish Waterway. Collected solids were then stabilized and sent off site for disposal (Section 5.1).

3.4.1.4 Slip 4

As part of the EPA approved DSOA Project Plans, dredging was performed in four limited areas within Slip 4 in December 2014 (see Section 8.0). After this initial dredging of these areas was completed, confirmation samples were taken in December 2014 in accordance with project documents. Three of the four areas met the Washington State Sediment Management Standards (SMS), Sediment Quality Standards (SQS), but sediments containing elevated concentrations of PCBs remained in one of the areas. The vertical limit of remaining contaminated sediments in this area was not bounded at depth by the initial core sample. Additional coring was performed that identified additional contamination within the Boeing property in Slip 4 (Section 8.0).

Based on the additional sampling and historical dredging data, a new dredge prism was created for re-dredging the Slip 4 area (Figure 3). A second round of dredging was conducted in February 2015 following the new dredge prism.

The Boeing Property line in Slip 4 abuts the Slip 4 property currently owned by DeNovo Seattle LLC (formerly the Crowley Marine Services Inc. 8th Avenue South Property; Figure 1). The property is the subject of an Agreed Order issued by Ecology requiring a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study for the DeNovo-owned portion of Slip 4. Ecology would not allow dredging in Slip 4 to proceed if the dredging would remove any sediment from the DeNovo-owned portion of Slip 4; therefore, it was necessary to construct a temporary sheetpile wall down the middle of Slip 4 along the Boeing property line prior to the start of dredging (Figure 1).

Prior to the start of the second round of dredging, sheetpiles were placed in the area as described in Section 3.2.3 and shown on Figure 1. Dredging and management of dredged material were performed using the same equipment, methods, and procedures as used for the DSOA dredging (Section 3.4.1.1) and in accordance with all project BMPs. In addition, to verify that contaminated sediment was removed to the extent practicable, as the required dredge depth was approached, the dredge observer observed the contents of each bucket of dredge material as it was placed within the sediment barge. The dredge observer is a part of the Boeing engineering oversight team and was located in the dredge cab alongside the operator.

Based on observations by the dredge observer, the dredging depth could be adjusted to optimize removal of the impacted sediments and reduce removal of nonimpacted native materials. The native materials are generally sandier and have a different color than the overlying, more recent depositional material, which is typically impacted. Dredging was performed as close to the sheetpile wall as practicable. Dredging depths were documented by hydrographic survey.

The Slip 4 dredge and final backfill area is shown on Figure 4. After final dredging was complete and prior to placement of any backfill, nine post-construction confirmation samples were collected in

February 2015. Results of these samples are provided in Section 9.2.2. CS3 dredging and placement of final backfill within Slip 4 were completed by February 26, 2015.

3.4.2 Backfilling

Backfilling was primarily conducted with a derrick barge and clamshell bucket mounted aboard the vessel DB Skookum, assisted by the Komatsu PC800 excavator with 4-cy Young's clamshell bucket onboard the Aberdeen. Upon completion of CS3 dredging, the contractor decontaminated the dredge excavator and then used it, along with the derrick barge, to place backfill material for the remaining weeks of the season. The derrick barge (and dredge excavator when used for backfill) was used to offload backfill material from a barge and place the material in consistent arcs from the barge platform. The derrick barge was equipped with a digital GPS (DGPS) positioning and WinOps Navigation software so that the backfill material placed within an AU could be evenly distributed to the extent practicable. Weighted "rain gauge" buckets were placed within the AUs prior to initial backfilling and used to verify even distribution of backfill within the AU. In addition, at the end of each day, the backfill area was surveyed to confirm the backfill placement met project specifications. Visual inspection of backfill placement was also performed during low tides on the intertidal flats near the shoreline to confirm that no low spots were present that could potentially trap fish.

Three backfill sequences were conducted during CS3:

- An initial 6-inch lift of material was placed promptly following completion of dredging for each AU (a BMP to limit potential mobilization of residuals).
- A second lift of intermediate backfill of varying thickness was placed.
- A final layer of sand was used to fill from approximately 2 feet below Final Backfill Surface grade up to Final Backfill Surface grade.

The intermediate layer generally followed the initial layer by days or weeks, although in some cases the intermediate layer immediately followed placement of the initial layer. Backfill material for the initial, intermediate, and final layers was obtained from CalPortland's pits in Shelton, Washington, and Dupont, Washington. Chemical and grain-size testing for this material was conducted prior to delivery of the material to the site as specified in the *Final Construction Quality Assurance Project Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012a). Quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC) testing for grain size was conducted on the backfill during the project; laboratory testing results for the QA/QC samples are presented in Appendix B.

The following is a summary of the backfill material placed during CS3:

- Approximately 18,000 tons of initial backfill material was placed.
- Approximately 93,000 tons of intermediate backfill was placed.

Approximately 78,600 tons of final backfill was placed within the DSOA and Slip 4.

Four flat-decked material barges (ITB 140, KP-1, KP-2, and KP-3) were used to transport backfill from either CalPortland's Seattle facility or Slip 4 to the placement location. During the 2014/2015 dredge season, a potential scheduling issue was identified related to loading all material barges solely at CalPortland's Seattle facility. To address the potential scheduling issue, Initial and Intermediate Backfill material was hauled from a source pit in Shelton to the CalPortland facility on the Duwamish Waterway, where the material was offloaded to shore. CalPortland then either reloaded the backfill material onto project barges or trucked material to Slip 4, where it was stockpiled for use as backfill at the site.

3.5 BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

BMPs for dredging operations on this project were outlined in the *Final Design Report* (AMEC et al. 2012b). These BMPs were developed to reduce suspension of sediment into the water column and generation of post-dredging residuals to the extent practicable.

Dredging proceeding from upstream to downstream (roughly south to north for the Duwamish Waterway) was adopted as a BMP to reduce the potential for recontamination in a flowing waterway. Ideally, this would have meant starting dredging during the first construction season at the southern DSOA limit adjacent to the Jorgensen Forge Cleanup Area (Figure 1), and then continuing through the project area from south to north. However, due to potential conflicts with the South Park Bridge project, the T117 Cleanup Area (Figure 1) project across the Duwamish Waterway, and the Jorgensen Forge Cleanup Area project immediately adjacent to the southern (upstream) limit of the DSOA, work at the southern limit of the DSOA was not practicable during CS1 or CS2. Work at the T117 Cleanup Area was performed during the same time period as the CS2 work, requiring coordination between the projects. Due to the potential for conflicts with work in the T117 and Jorgensen Cleanup Areas, dredging during CS2 started approximately 650 feet downstream from the southern limit of the DSOA and then proceeded to the north (downstream). The most upstream portion was then dredged during CS3 (Figure 4).

Based on results of monitoring conducted during CS1, CS2, and CS3, the project BMPs appear to have provided the intended effect of limiting resuspension and residuals.

The use of the environmental-style Young's bucket with precision GPS mounted on an excavator appeared to reduce the loss of sediment from the bucket during dredging, prevented the dredge bucket from being overfilled, and reduced the total amount of sediment dredged, because it was possible to make cuts that were much more precise than those made using a clamshell bucket. In addition, the placement of initial backfill immediately after the AU was approved decreased the potential for any residual layer that may have been present to be mobilized.

Water quality monitoring was conducted to identify potential dredging problems so that adaptive management techniques could be implemented to rectify any water quality issues being caused by the construction. Two full-time automated stationary monitoring instruments were located near each end of the dredge area (Section 6.2; Figure 2). Water quality monitoring for the dredging operations also included active compliance monitoring within the working area of the equipment (Section 6.3).

Data transmitted from the automated full-time monitoring instruments were evaluated daily. This instrumentation documented several very short-term increases in turbidity on the upstream and downstream instruments. Many of these short-term transient increases were a single turbidity measurement (5-minute intervals) that could not be tied to a specific dredging activity. Other longer duration increases in turbidity were attributed to construction operations, most commonly to the backfilling activities or general river flow conditions. Turbidity of up to 180 nephelometric turbidity units (NTUs) was recorded during periods of high river runoff at the upstream instrument in early January 2015. During CS1, some short-term increases in turbidity could be attributed to barge movements (tugboat propeller surges during barge movements in shallow water appeared to be the cause). As a result, during the later portion of CS1 and during CS2 and CS3, the contractor restricted tugboat movements to deeper water and moved the dredging activities to deeper water sooner in the tidal cycle to minimize propeller wash.

During compliance monitoring, the sampling crew was able to document a potential exceedance of conventional water quality parameters and immediately bring it to the attention of the dredging contractor so that the situation could be responded to promptly.

This page intentionally left blank.

4.0 TRANSLOADING OF DREDGE SEDIMENTS

Dredge material from the DSOA and Slip 4 was initially transported by barge to a transloading facility, where it was stabilized and transferred for shipment to an appropriate management facility. During CS3, a total of approximately 117,000 tons of sediment from the DSOA and Slip 4 was offloaded from barges, stabilized, placed in railcars, and sent by rail to the Waste Management, Inc., solid waste landfill in Oregon for disposal. A total of 335 barge loads were offloaded during CS3 (approximately 350 tons per barge average). Waste tickets for the materials sent to the landfill are included in Appendix C. A copy of the waste profile is also included in Appendix C.

This section describes transload procedures for dredge material from each of the dredging areas during CS3.

4.1 DSOA DREDGE MATERIAL

The transload facility used during CS3, which was also used for CS1 and CS2, is located at the Lafarge Cement Plant at 5400 West Marginal Way Southwest in Seattle, Washington, on the west shore of the Duwamish Waterway. The facility is just south of Kellogg Island, and is designed to receive bulk materials via barge, railcar, or truck. As such, the Lafarge facility was equipped for the offloading of sediment from barges, the stabilization of sediment using cement kiln dust or cement, and the loading of stabilized sediment onto railcars for off-site disposal.

4.1.1 Transload Facility Description

The main offloading dock is approximately 900 feet long and serviced by a large track-mounted crane capable of unloading 150 tons of material per hour. The waterfront crane utilizes an 8 cy clamshell bucket to unload sediment from the barges. The excavated sediment from each barge was placed in a concrete containment vault that was 68 feet wide, 186 feet long, and 12 feet deep, and had a capacity of 5,600 cy (1.1 million gallons) or approximately 8,000 tons of wet material.

The wet sediment in the vault was then stabilized with cement kiln dust or cement to absorb the excess free water prior to loading the sediment into railcars. For CS3, the operator performed the sediment stabilization in a similar fashion as done during CS1 and CS2, performing stabilizing and mixing within a corner of the larger vault. Once stabilized, sediment was removed from the vault and placed into a rehandling area just outside the vault, where front-end loaders could then pick up material and carry it to lined, staged railcars (gondola cars) for transport to landfill. Liners were placed in the railcars prior to placement of the stabilized sediment, and these liners were wrapped over the top of the sediments in an approach referred to colloquially as a "burrito wrap."

4.1.2 Stormwater Management

Stormwater in the area where barges were offloaded and material was transferred to railcars was segregated from stormwater generated from the rest of the Lafarge facility. The segregated stormwater, was captured, treated, and discharged to the King County publicly owned treatment works (POTW) under a King County Minor Discharge Authorization (No. 919-01). The Lafarge facility also has a King County Solid Waste Permit (PR0034434), a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit (WA0002232), and an Ecology-approved Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan.

The stormwater treatment system consisted of a series of holding tanks, sand filters, bag filters, and granular activated carbon (GAC). The King County discharge authorization required Lafarge personnel to routinely sample all treated stormwater prior to discharge, and analyze the samples for PCBs. Routine sampling was performed by Waste Management/Lafarge personnel in accordance with a *Sampling and Analysis Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan* (Waste Management, Inc. 2013). No violations of discharge requirements were recorded. Copies of the analytical reports are included in Appendix C. A total of approximately 2,150,500 gallons of water was discharged from the Lafarge facility during CS3 (Appendix C).

4.1.3 Best Management Practices

The Lafarge facility employed BMPs for offloading material from barges, placing and stabilizing sediment in the containment vault, and transferring the sediment to the railcars, where the material was contained within a liner "burrito wrap." The barges were docked adjacent to the containment vault, and a spill apron was extended over the barge so that any spills during transfer of sediment from the barge to the dock would fall back into the barge. The offloading area between the barge and vault was covered with Visqueen so that any incidental drips or spills could be cleaned up quickly and efficiently. A full-time spotter was present during unloading to help guide the crane operator and to spot potential problems, such as debris in the bucket. In addition, the Boeing engineering team employed a full-time observer at the transload facility to record tonnage offloaded, coordinate barges between the transload facility and dredging operation, and identify and address environmental concerns or issues.

4.2 EARLY REMOVAL AREA DREDGE MATERIAL

Dredge material removed from the ERAs was placed into a slurry box using the mechanical dredge. This material was segregated in the slurry box. The fine-grained material was pumped to the DRWS, while the gravel-sized material was loaded into double-bagged super sacks, which were offloaded from the barge onto Boeing property and then later trucked directly to the disposal facility. The solids that were pumped to the DRWS were segregated at the TriFlow[™] and the first leg of the settling basin (see Section 5.2). These solids were processed separately from the rest of the dredge material, loaded into trucks, and hauled directly to the disposal facility.

All methods and disposal performed for the ERA dredging was done in accordance with the TSCA RBDAs issued by the EPA.

4.3 SLIP 4 DREDGE MATERIAL

Dredge material removed from Slip 4 was transferred to the same transload facility and handled in the same manner as dredge material from the DSOA, as described in Section 4.1.

This page intentionally left blank.

5.0 DREDGE RETURN WATER PROCESSING

The DRWS began water treatment for CS3 on September 24, 2014, and completed water treatment on March 6, 2015. The DRWS operated during CS3 in two main phases of operation:

- DSOA dredging and
- ERA dredging (for areas with PCB concentrations greater than 50 mg/kg; Figure 3).

The DRWS for CS3 operated on the Plant 2 uplands just north of the 2-81 Building.

The DRWS was designed to treat from 250 to 800 gallons per minute (gpm) of dredge return water. The DRWS comprised the following components, listed in order of water flow (Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 7):

- A shaker screen system called a Tri-Flo[™] (maximum flow of 2,000 gpm) used a series of shaker screens to remove the coarse fraction (debris, gravel, and sand down to 120 mesh sieve size, approximately 125 microns) directly from the water in the dredge water return influent pipeline (Figure 6).
- A large volume settling basin (approximately 2 million gallons) removed the easily settleable solids (heavy sands and silts) still entrained in the water and provided surge capacity during dredging operations (Figure 5).
 - Settled solids in the first leg of the settling basin were mechanically removed by longreach excavator as they accumulated. These solids were placed into a sealed dump truck and dumped into the sediment processing area (SPA; Figure 5).
 - The sediments in the SPA were further dewatered over a period of several days, mixed with stabilizer (as necessary), and loaded onto trucks for off-site disposal at an appropriately designated facility.
- An 800 gpm electro-coagulation (EC) treatment system consisted of dual 400 gpm units that used an electrical charge designed to coagulate and flocculate the fines (Figure 6).
- A three-chambered defoam tank (approximately 18,000 gallons with under over weir configuration) allowed flocculent to form after EC treatment.
- A 44-foot-diameter rake bottom clarifier (approximately 140,000 gallons) was used to settle
 out flocculated material in sludge that consisted of approximately 1 percent solids.
- A post treatment tank (approximately 20,000 gallons) was used to buffer surges and provide a constant water stream to the polishing step.
- A turbidity recycle valve directed water back to the settlement basin for retreatment when turbidity of water in the post-treatment tank was greater than 25 NTU.
- A polishing step consisted of the following elements:

- Two sand filters (1,280 gpm total, 640 gpm each) in parallel to remove any remaining large particulate in the water stream;
- Two bag filters (1,200 gpm total, 600 gpm each) in parallel with 1 micron filter socks;
 and
- Two GAC skids containing two 10,000 pound canisters (1,000 gpm total, 500 gpm each) operated in series to remove any dissolved organic constituents in the water, including PCBs.
- A pH/turbidity recycle valve recycled water back to the settlement basin when the pH at the system discharge differed from pH in the Duwamish Waterway by more than 0.5 standard unit.
- The solids-handling step consisted of the following elements:
 - Two sludge-thickening cone tanks (approximately 20,000 gallons each) to thicken sludge from the clarifier containing 1 percent solids to 5 to 10 percent solids;
 - A sludge pump that pumped the thickened sludge back to the head of the settling basin, for mechanical removal with the heavy sands.

Figure 6 and Figure 7 show the DRWS flow diagram and layout at the start of CS3. All components of the DRWS were situated inside secondary containment.

During CS3, there were 100 days when the DRWS operated normally, 7 days of limited operations due to ERA dredging recirculation requirements and operational issues, and 9 days when the system did not operate due to lack of need (either no dredging was performed that day or the settling basin was at a low enough level that processing was not required at that time).

During CS3, a total of approximately 45,400,000 gallons of water were processed by the system, and approximately 28,600,000 gallons were treated and discharged to the Duwamish Waterway. The difference between process volume and discharge volume is the additional water volume recirculated back to the settling basin during daily system startup, recirculation due to double treatment of water produced during ERA dredging, and water generated during maintenance operations on the system.

During both DSOA and ERA dredging, the DRWS successfully treated water to meet water quality criteria at the discharge point.

5.1 DSOA Dredging

DSOA dredging during CS3 consisted of mechanical dredging as performed during CS1 and CS2 and a short period of diver-assisted hydraulic dredging that occurred between January 5 and February 9, 2015. Hydraulic dredging was performed in order to reach the contaminated sediments under the newly finished South Park Bridge (which precluded use of the mechanical dredge under the bridge).

On average for the mechanical dredging, the water produced was about equal in volume to the sediment removed. However, the amount of water generated at any specific time varied significantly. A full dredge bucket of sediment entrained relatively small volumes of free water. The initial dredge cuts typically consisted mostly of sediment with little water. However, material generated during deeper subsequent cuts typically consisted of less than a full bucket of sediment, with the rest water. Final shallow cleanup cuts consisted mostly of water. The mechanical dredging crew used a large pump on the sediment barge to pump the accumulated water via 6-inch high-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe to the DRWS on the shoreline.

On average, the ratio of water to sediment was much higher for hydraulic dredging than for mechanical dredging, with solids content ranging from 5 to 10 percent. Divers manually directed suction hoses to pick up a mixture of sediment and water (consisting of roughly 5 to 10% solids). This mixture was then pumped through 6-inch HDPE pipe to the DRWS on the shoreline.

In addition to variation in flow rate to the DRWS, the turbidity and type of sediment entrained in the water were highly variable, depending on where in the Waterway dredging was being performed. Because the amount and contents of the water generated at any specific time was highly variable, the DRWS was designed to buffer this variability with a large settling basin and a scalable treatment system.

5.1.1 System Operation

The DRWS was operated as needed to support dredging operations. Due to the treatment capacity of the system, the capacity of the settling basin, and typical dredge water production rates from the dredge, it was generally possible to run the DRWS (excluding the Tri-Flo™) for only one shift per day to support two shifts of dredging. The system was typically operated for a single 10-hour shift, six days a week (approximately 8 AM to 5:00 PM), but during some weeks, the system was operated over two shifts (approximately 4:30 PM to 2:30 AM) or three shifts (24 hour operations) to accommodate higher water flow rates due to storm events or high rates of water production from dredging (hydraulic dredging or final shallow cut dredging). Typically, the Tri-Flo™ was manned and operational during all dredging shifts. When the rest of the DRWS was not operating, the Tri-Flo™ would remove bulk solids and pump the screened water to the settling basin for storage until final processing the following day.

The DRWS operated at limited capacity for the first two days of DSOA dredging due to startup and troubleshooting of equipment. These issues did not slow or restrict dredging due to the retention capacity of the settling basin. Nor did these issues result in any exceedance of water quality criteria.

5.1.2 Best Management Practices

BMPs for the water processing system consisted of good housekeeping practices within the area of the system and monitoring requirements in accordance with the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012c). Sampling of the GAC vessels for breakthrough was performed bi-weekly to check that the lead GAC vessels were effectively removing PCBs. Breakthrough was not reached during CS3; the results for the breakthrough sampling are provided in Appendix D.

As a condition of the use of the settling basin, EPA required that water levels be measured around the perimeter of the basin as well as within the basin to detect potential leaks. Eight well points (piezometers) were installed around the perimeter of the settling basin, and water levels were measured weekly. Water levels within the basin were recorded daily. Measurements indicated the settling basin performed according to the design specifications during in CS3. Table 3 shows data collected from the monitoring points during CS3.

5.1.3 System Modifications

Several modifications were made to the DRWS prior to CS3. These modifications were made to increase the average flow capacity of the system and improve reliability. The modifications and timing of installation are provided below:

- Prior to mobilization for CS3:
 - A complete rebuild was performed on the Tri-Flo™ unit to increase throughput of the unit and improve performance.
 - Additional design improvements were considered by the design team.
- During mobilization for CS3:
 - Pulleys on the post-treatment tank discharge pump were replaced to increase the pressure head of the pump, effectively increasing overall throughput.
 - Small bubble diffusers were installed in the defoam tank to improve aeration compared to CS2.
 - A variable-frequency drive was installed for the clarifier rake to allow for adjustment of rake speeds internal to the clarifier.
 - Modifications were made to the influent piping of the clarifier to improve energy dissipation in the stilling well and to reduce breaking of flocculated particles.
 - A turbidimeter was added at the pH recycle valve (after the activated carbon units, but before the final water quality monitoring point). This addition increased quality control for water discharged by the system as the system would automatically change to recirculation mode if any spikes in turbidity were detected.
- During the operational period of CS3:

- The polyvinyl chloride piping between the discharge of the EC trailers and the defoam tank was increased from 4-inch to 6-inch piping to reduce the head pressure and increase flow rates through the EC trailers.
- Several repairs were made to a leaking activated carbon unit. After the first leak was reported and repaired (a patch was welded onto the unit), a sheet of Visqueen was hung around the unit so that additional leaks could be detected while protecting workers from spraying water.
- All other repairs and modifications involved routine operation and maintenance of system equipment.

5.2 EARLY REMOVAL AREA DREDGING

Amec Foster Wheeler, DOF, Boeing, EPA, and Ecology held several meetings and conference calls at the end of September and start of October 2014 to devise BMPs for ERA dredging. As a result of those communications, a memorandum ("Sampling during Dredging of the Early Removal Areas") dated October 7, 2014, was provided to EPA and Ecology. This memorandum detailed the preparations and modifications needed to properly manage dredging of ERAs and treat dredge return water that could carry sediments containing greater than 50 mg/kg of PCBs.

However, ERA dredging did not occur in one solid block of time as initially planned. ERA dredging started and stopped over several weeks between October 27 and December 12, 2014. As a result, while the overall procedures remained the same, the following modifications were agreed to via email communications with EPA and Ecology:

- Water recirculation and sampling prior to discharge:
 - For every period of ERA dredging, the total volume of ERA water in the basin was measured.
 - The DRWS was set to recirculation mode for the start of each ERA dredging period.
 Once one complete volume of ERA water had been treated, a sample was taken from the recirculation pipe downstream of the GAC units and submitted to the lab for rapid-turnaround PCB analysis by EPA Method 8082.
 - Once PCB results confirmed that water met the PCB water quality criteria (Section 6.4.2), the DRWS was switched from recirculation mode to discharge to the Duwamish Waterway.
 - After four rounds and four sampling results with no detections of PCBs, EPA and Ecology approved direct discharge for the remaining water from ERA sediments (November 18, 2014).
 - Monitoring on the DRWS was performed according to intensive monitoring requirements (i.e., a sample was collected, but if turbidity was less than 5 NTU, no samples were sent to the laboratory) for the remainder of ERA dredging. Turbidity never exceeded 5 NTU, so no additional laboratory samples were analyzed.
- Management of bulk sediments under the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA):

- Bulk solids derived from the ERAs were collected from the Tri-Flo[™] and the first leg of the settling basin (Figure 7).
- Solids were dewatered and stabilized following standard DSOA DRWS operations described above. However, ERA sediments were segregated and kept in their own containment areas separate from any standard DSOA sediments.
- Stabilized solids were loaded into lined trucks for disposal at an appropriately designated off-site facility.
- Return to standard DSOA operations:
 - The complete volume of ERA water must be treated and discharged as noted above prior to return to standard operations.
 - TSCA bulk sediments from the Tri-Flo[™] and the first leg of the setting basin must be removed from the Tri-Flo[™] and settling basin prior to return to standard operations.

The DRWS was operated as needed to support ERA dredging operations. During the first four rounds of ERA dredging, the system was typically operated for two shifts (approximately 4:30 PM to 2:30 AM), but occasionally operated for three shifts, 24 hours per day, to accommodate higher water flow days due to storm events or recirculation periods. Once the recirculation requirement was dropped, the DRWS was typically operated for one standard 10-hour shift, six days per week.

The DRWS operated with a limited discharge capability for the first five days of ERA dredging in October and November due to the ERA recirculation requirements.

5.3 SLIP 4 DREDGING

The same DRWS used for DSOA dredging was used for dredging of Slip 4, as described in Section 5.1.

6.0 WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Water quality monitoring was conducted pursuant to Ecology Water Quality Certification (Order #9623 and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Permit NWS-2011-0384) and the EPA- and Ecology-approved *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012c).

Water quality monitoring was conducted during:

- Shoreline excavation of the Southwest Bank (see Section 2.0 for a description of the work),
- DSOA dredging (including Early Removal Area Dredging), Slip 4 dredging, and backfilling (see Section 3.4 for a description of the work),
- Discharge of dredge return water from the DRWS, and
- Slip 4 sheetpile removal (see Section 3.2.3 for a description of the work).

Prior to the start of dredging in the DSOA, water quality instruments were installed upstream and downstream of the DSOA to record in situ water quality parameters (Section 6.2). These in situ water quality measurements are presented in Appendix E.

6.1 SOUTHWEST BANK RE-EXCAVATION MONITORING

Prior to the start of in-water activities during CS3, Boeing submitted a technical memorandum to EPA and Ecology (AMEC 2014) covering additional field procedures for water quality monitoring during nearshore excavation and reconstruction of the Southwest Bank shoreline. When the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012c) was originally developed, in-water dredging from the shoreline was not anticipated, and selection of suitable monitoring stations and ambient stations was not covered in detail. Appropriate monitoring locations were selected based on discussion with Ecology and EPA.

Surface river flows and tidal flows on incoming tides were considered in the selection of suitable monitoring stations and ambient stations during each round of monitoring. Monitoring stations were established at nearshore (referred to as "inshore") and offshore locations to capture possible offshore movement of turbidity generated during nearshore in-water activities.

During the Southwest Bank re-excavation work at Plant 2, dredging and backfilling were being conducted concurrently at the Jorgensen Cleanup Area just upriver of the Boeing site. The proximity of the Jorgensen cleanup activities made identifying the cause of any exceedances of the turbidity criterion problematic.

Water quality monitoring during shoreline excavation was conducted on 10 of the 16 days of nearshore excavation (August 5, 2014, through August 22, 2014). Monitoring activities and results are

summarized in Table 1. Intermediate backfill was placed between August 22 and August 29, 2014. A single round of routine water quality monitoring was conducted during placement of intermediate backfill on August 25, 2014. The results of the monitoring are presented below.

6.1.1 Results of Conventional Parameter Compliance Monitoring

Daily water quality monitoring reports are provided in Appendix F, and the conventional water quality results are summarized in Table 1.

No exceedances of conventional water quality criteria were observed during the 11 days of monitoring. Intensive monitoring was conducted for seven days from August 5 through August 11, 2015. Routine monitoring began August 12, 2014, after consultation with EPA and Ecology.

6.1.2 Results of Chemical Analysis of Water Samples

During the seven days of intensive water quality monitoring, the following samples were collected and analyzed for the chemicals of concern (COCs) identified in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (PCBs; dissolved cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, silver, zinc; and total mercury) (AMEC et al. 2012c):

- Three samples—BP2WQ-0416, BP2WQ-0434, and BP2WQ-0454—were collected on Day 1, Day 3, and Day 5, respectively:
- Two samples—BP2WQ-0436 and BP2WQ-0437—were collected as field duplicates at a downriver, ambient monitoring station on Day 3;
- A rinsate blank—BP2WQ-0438 (PCBs and total mercury only)—and a filter blank—BP2WQ (dissolved metals only)—were collected on Day 3.

The results of these analyses are presented in Table 4.

The dissolved metals (and total mercury) results from the dredge monitoring water quality samples submitted during the initial week of intensive monitoring were all below the applicable chronic and acute water quality criteria shown in Table 4. The sample collected on the first day of intensive monitoring had levels of total PCBs greater than the applicable chronic criterion, triggering the analysis of additional archived water samples, as described in this section.

Sample BP2WQ-0416 was collected150 feet upriver of the shoreline excavation at a depth of 8.6 feet below the surface during the first day of intensive water quality monitoring. The concentration of total PCBs in the sample was greater than the chronic criterion; however, this result does not represent an exceedance of the water quality criterion, because the point of compliance for the chronic criterion was identified in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012c) as 300 feet from dredging operations.

The sampling was conducted during debris removal and on a flood tide. All monitoring was conducted outside of the debris boom placed around the work area. The following near-bottom samples were collected:

- Two samples were collected 300 feet upriver of the dredging activity:
 - BP2WQ-0418: inshore, near-bottom, 9.7 feet below the surface, and
 - BP2WQ-0419: offshore, near-bottom, 22.5 feet below surface.
- Two samples were collected 300 feet downriver of the dredging activity:
 - BP2WQ-0413: inshore, near-surface, 2 feet below the water surface, and
 - BP2WQ-0414: offshore, near surface, 2 feet below the water surface.

These four samples were analyzed for PCBs, and the arithmetic mean of the sample results was calculated. Non-detected results were assigned a value of half of the reporting limit when calculating the mean concentrations. The mean concentration of total PCBs from stations 300 feet upriver of dredging was 0.043 micrograms per liter (μ g/L), which was greater than the chronic criterion of 0.03 μ g/L.

Because the water quality criterion for total PCBs is based on a 24-hour average concentration, the following additional samples were collected on August 6, 2014 (approximately 24 hours later), 300 feet from the dredging activity:

- One sample was collected 300 feet upriver of the dredging activity (BP2WQ-0427– offshore, near-bottom, 10.8 feet below the surface), and
- Two samples were collected 300 feet downriver of the dredging activity:
 - BP2WQ-0422: inshore, mid-depth, 2 feet below the water surface, and
 - BP2WQ-0423: offshore, near-surface, 2 feet below the water surface.

These three samples were analyzed for PCBs, and the results were averaged. The mean concentration of total PCBs in samples collected 300 feet from the dredging activity on August 6, 2014, was 0.019 μ g/L. When combined with the average concentration for August 5, 2014, the mean total PCB concentration was 0.031 μ g/L, which was greater than the chronic criterion of 0.03 μ g/L. This exceedance was likely related to the debris removal conducted in shallow water during the initial phases of the Southwest Bank nearshore excavation.

The duplicate water samples (BP2WQ-0436 and BP2WQ-0437) collected at the near-bottom ambient downriver station on August 7, 2014, were also submitted to the analytical laboratory as quality assurance samples. The filter blank (BP2WQ-0439) and rinsate blank (BP2WQ-0438) were prepared using deionized water provided by the analytical laboratory as quality assurance samples. The results for the field duplicate samples and the filter and rinsate blanks are presented in Table 4.

6.2 IN SITU WATER QUALITY INSTRUMENTS

Water quality instruments were installed on piles in the Duwamish Waterway upstream and downstream of the DSOA remedial dredging area on September 18, 2014. The locations of the installed instruments are labeled "upstream in-situ" and "downstream in-situ" on Figure 2. The instruments, installed approximately 2 to 3 feet below the water surface, recorded data for the following parameters:

- temperature in degrees Celsius (°C),
- salinity in parts per thousand (ppt),
- pH (unitless),
- dissolved oxygen in milligrams per liter (mg/L), and
- turbidity in NTU.

Instruments began recording these parameters on the morning of September 19, 2014. Each of these parameters was measured every 5 minutes, and the values were downloaded every 15 minutes to a website accessible to the public. The instruments ceased recording data at the end of construction on March 5, 2015.

The water quality instruments occasionally recorded anomalous data. These anomalous data occurred when the instrument was out of the water (during maintenance or when the instrument and the surface float became stuck in the stilling well), when sensors malfunctioned or recorded transient phenomena, or when there were problems or interruptions in data transmission. In consultation with EPA, rules were applied for displaying data in the water quality graphs on the web page. Based on these rules, data were not displayed on the website when:

- The depth of instrument was less than 1 foot (the instrument was out of the water or was being serviced);
- Any parameter returned a result of -99 (logger was not sending data);
- A turbidity reading (representing a 5-minute interval) was greater than a 1,000 percent difference in turbidity between the next AND previous records;
- pH was <5 or >9 (the instrument was out of the water or was being serviced);
- Temperature was <3°C or >20°C (the instrument was out of the water or was being serviced);
- Dissolved oxygen was <3 mg/L or >14 mg/L (the instrument was out of the water or was being serviced);

- Salinity was <0.01 ppt or >30 ppt (the instrument was out of the water or was being serviced); and
- Two or more parameters were = 0 (this may have occurred when the instrument did not send valid data).

The data collected by the in situ instruments were meant to supplement water quality information that was being collected pursuant to the Water Quality Certification and *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012c). All data collected from the in situ instruments are provided in Appendix E. The upstream in situ instrument was also used to represent an ambient station for comparison to discharge from the DRWS. The recorded conventional water quality parameters were averaged (arithmetic mean) over the period of time the DRWS was discharging over a 24-hour period starting in the morning of each work day, and the mean values were compared to the conventional parameters measured in water discharged from the DRWS (Section 6.4.1).

6.3 DREDGE MONITORING

Dredge monitoring was conducted in accordance with the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012c) and in consultation with EPA and Ecology. Dredge monitoring was conducted on 51 of the 90 days of dredging, as summarized in Table 2.

Limited dredge monitoring was conducted during hydraulic dredging in the areas under the South Park Bridge inaccessible to the barge-mounted excavator. A majority of the material hydraulically dredged originated from areas immediately adjacent to the South Park Bridge footings. The diveroperated suction dredge did not use a cutter head assembly, and turbidity associated with the suction dredging was limited. Monitoring of hydraulic dredging was conducted on January 6 and January 8, 2015, in consultation with EPA and Ecology. No exceedances of conventional water quality criteria occurred, and no discernible turbidity plume associated with the hydraulic dredging was observed. No further monitoring of hydraulic dredge operations was conducted, as approved by EPA and Ecology.

Results of water quality monitoring conducted during dredging within the DSOA (including dredging in the Early Removal Areas and hydraulic dredging) and Slip 4 are presented below.

6.3.1 Results of Conventional Parameter Compliance Monitoring

The daily water quality monitoring reports are provided in Appendix F, and the conventional water quality results are summarized in Table 2.

When monitoring values greater than the applicable water quality criterion (shown in red type in Appendix F) are recorded, an exceedance of the applicable water quality criteria is not confirmed until the station has been reoccupied and the values confirm the exceedance (AMEC et al. 2012c). None of the monitoring data exceeded the conventional water quality criteria during 45 of the 51 days of

monitoring. Confirmed exceedances of the turbidity criterion that were attributable to dredging occurred on six of the days when dredging was being monitored:

- October 9, 2014 (14.4 NTU versus ambient 3.3 NTU),
- October 13, 2014 (10.9 NTU versus ambient 2.4 NTU),
- October 23, 2014 (15 NTU versus ambient 5 NTU),
- December 4, 2014 (14.6 NTU versus ambient 4.3 NTU)
- February 17, 2015 (12.2 NTU versus ambient 0 NTU), and
- February 18, 2014 (12.1 NTU versus ambient 1.4 NTU).

Between September 24 and October 4, 2014, intensive dredge monitoring was conducted on eight days. Routine monitoring began Tuesday October 7, 2014, after consultation with EPA and Ecology; however, intensive monitoring was restarted following a turbidity exceedance on October 9, 2014. During the following four weeks of intensive monitoring conducted between October 9, 2014, and November 7, 2014, two additional confirmed exceedances of the turbidity criterion were documented (on October 13 and 23, 2014). Routine monitoring was re-initiated on November 7, 2014, after approval by EPA and Ecology. A more detailed description of the water quality exceedances is presented below.

Elevated turbidity readings were recorded on October 9, 2014, during routine monitoring of dredge operations. Dredging was underway downstream of the South Park Bridge during a flood tide. The confirmed turbidity exceedance occurred at a near-bottom station located 150 feet downstream of the dredge operations. Elevated turbidity was also measured upstream of dredge operations but downstream of intermediate backfill placement. The elevated turbidity readings upstream and downstream of the dredge operations may have been attributable to the placement of clean intermediate backfill upstream of the dredging operation.

Three additional rounds of monitoring were conducted during the remainder of the day following the initial turbidity exceedance. Elevated turbidity was measured at three compliance stations during the additional rounds of monitoring conducted on October 9, 2014. Intensive water quality monitoring was reinitiated on October 10, 2014.

The turbidity exceedance on October 13, 2014, occurred during a partial round of dredge monitoring conducted in the vicinity of the South Park Bridge. The confirmed turbidity exceedance occurred at a near-bottom station located 150 feet downstream of the dredging operation. Dredge operations were suspended, and the dredge was moved to Slip 4 before a full round of monitoring could be completed. Dredging in Slip 4 was started, and two additional rounds of monitoring were conducted during the

dredging in Slip 4. Turbidity readings were in compliance during the first round of monitoring in Slip 4; however, elevated turbidity was measured at the near-bottom station 150 feet downstream (identified as toward the mouth of the slip) of the dredging operation during the second round of monitoring conducted in Slip 4. Additional rounds of monitoring could not be conducted because of approaching dusk. A significant number of barge and tug movements in Slip 4 during the final round of monitoring may have contributed to the elevated turbidity reading.

The turbidity exceedance on October 23, 2014, occurred during intensive monitoring of dredging activities within the DSOA, downstream of the South Park Bridge. The confirmed turbidity exceedance occurred at a near-bottom station 150 feet downstream of the dredging operation. Two additional rounds of monitoring were conducted for the remainder of the day following the initial turbidity exceedance. No additional elevated turbidity readings were recorded.

Routine monitoring was conducted from November 7 through December 3, 2014. Turbidity exceedances occurred on December 4, 2014, during routine monitoring of dredging activities in the vicinity of the South Park Bridge and downstream of the bridge. The turbidity exceedances occurred at near-bottom stations 150 and 300 feet upstream of the dredging operation during a flood tide. During the time that monitoring was being conducted, periods of dredging were interspersed with tugassisted movements of the dredge equipment and spoils barges. Intensive water quality monitoring was reinitiated and continued during dredge operations until dredging was stopped on December 20, 2014, for the winter holiday break.

Limited conventional dredging within the DSOA was restarted on January 15, 2015, but the dredging consisted of cleanup passes in limited areas with frequent movement of equipment. Only visual monitoring was conducted by the dredge oversight personnel during this period of limited dredging.

Additional nighttime dredging in Slip 4 started on February 10, 2015. Intensive monitoring during daylight dredging activities was started on February 13, 2015. The confirmed turbidity exceedance on February 17, 2015, occurred during intensive water quality monitoring of dredging in Slip 4. Elevated turbidity readings occurred at near-bottom stations 150 and 300 feet upstream (toward the head of the slip) of the dredging operation during a flood tide. Sheetpile walls were in place during the dredging and subsequent monitoring limiting water movement. During the second round of monitoring conducted on February 17, 2015, after the tide change, there were no conventional water quality exceedances.

The confirmed turbidity exceedance on February 18, 2015, occurred during dredging in Slip 4. The confirmed turbidity exceedance occurred at a near-bottom station 150 feet upstream (toward the head of the slip) of the dredging operation during a flood tide. Additional elevated turbidity readings were recorded during the two additional rounds of monitoring conducted on February 18, 2015, during the Slip 4 dredge monitoring. These additional elevated turbidity readings occurred at the near-bottom

stations located 150 feet and 300 feet upstream (toward the head of the slip) of the dredging operation during the tide change and after the start of the ebb tide. At that time, sheet pile walls were in place in Slip 4, which limited movement of water and dispersal of turbidity.

With the completion of the dredging in Slip 4 on February 20, 2015, dredge monitoring was complete for the project.

6.3.2 Results of Chemical Analysis of Water Samples

During the first week of intensive water quality monitoring, three representative samples—BP2WQ-0485, BP2WQ-0543, and BP2WQ-0559—were collected and analyzed for the COCs identified in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (dissolved cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, silver, and zinc; total metals; and PCBs). Intensive water quality monitoring (including analysis of additional representative samples) was also conducted during dredging in selected Special Areas and Early Removal Areas. Water samples collected from compliance stations with turbidity exceedances were also analyzed. Additional archived samples were analyzed as appropriate to confirm that concentrations of COCs were below the applicable chronic and acute water quality criteria. The results of these analyses are presented in Table 5.

The dissolved metals (and total mercury) results from the dredge monitoring water quality samples submitted during intensive monitoring and following subsequent turbidity exceedances were all less than the chronic and acute water quality criteria except for one sample that exceeded the chronic copper criterion, as described below. Several samples analyzed for PCBs had levels of total PCBs that were greater than the chronic criterion, triggering analysis of additional archived water samples, as described in this section.

Sample BP2WQ-0717 was collected on December 4, 2014, at a near-bottom location, 300 feet upstream of dredging operations during routine monitoring in response to a turbidity exceedance. Total PCBs in the sample were greater than the chronic criterion; however, this result did not represent an exceedance of the water quality criterion, since the point of compliance for the chronic criterion was defined in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012) as the average concentration at a distance of 300 feet (both upstream and downstream, near-surface and near-bottom) of the dredging activity. The near-bottom water sample collected the same day at 300-feet downstream of the dredging activity (BP2WQ-0722; 9.3 feet below the surface) was analyzed for PCBs. PCBs were not detected in sample BP2WQ-0722 and a value of one-half of the reporting limit was averaged (arithmetic mean) with the detected PCB concentration in BP2WQ-0717. The mean concentration of PCBs in the near-bottom water at 300 feet was 0.0175 μ g/L, which is less than the chronic water quality criterion of 0.03 μ g/L (see Table 5). The mean concentration of PCBs at a distance of 300 feet was 0.0175 μ g/L, which is less than the chronic water quality criterion of 0.03 μ g/L (see Table 5).

Sample BP2WQ-0736 was collected on December 6, 2014, 150 feet downstream of the dredging operations and analyzed as a requirement of dredging in the vicinity of a Special Area at the south end of the project site. The total PCB concentration of $0.087~\mu g/L$ was greater than the chronic criterion; however, this result was not considered an exceedance, since the point of compliance for the chronic criterion was defined in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012) as 300 feet away from dredging operations. Water samples collected on December 6, 2014, from locations 300 feet upstream (BP2WQ-0739 and BP2WQ-0740; near-surface and near-bottom respectively) and 300 feet downstream (BP2WQ-0733 and BP2WQ-0734; near-surface and near-bottom respectively) of the dredge operations were analyzed for PCBs. The mean PCB concentration for these samples (0.0285 μ g/L) is below the chronic water quality criterion (see Table 5).

Sample BP2WQ-0775 was collected on December 12, 2014, at a near-bottom location, 150 feet downstream of dredging operations and analyzed as a requirement of dredging in the vicinity of a Special Area. The total PCB concentration (0.071 µg/L) was greater than the chronic criterion (Table 5); however, this result was not considered an exceedance, since the point of compliance for the chronic criterion was identified in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012) as 300 feet away from dredging operations. PCBs were not detected in the sample collected on December 12, 2014, from a near-bottom location 300 feet downstream of dredging operations (BP2WQ-0777) (Table 5). No further samples collected at a distance of 300 feet were analyzed.

In response to the turbidity criterion exceedance at 150 feet on February 17, 2015, sample BP2WQ-0814 was analyzed for the COCs. The result for total PCBs was 0.046 μ g/L, which was above the chronic criterion (Table 5); however, this result was not considered an exceedance, since the point of compliance for the chronic criterion was identified in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012) as 300 feet from dredging operations. The near-bottom sample collected 300 feet upstream on February 17, 2015 (i.e., BP2WQ-0817), was analyzed, and the total PCB concentration of 0.021 μ g/L is below the chronic water quality criterion (see Table 5).

In response to the turbidity criterion exceedance at 150 feet on February 18, 2015 during Slip 4 dredge monitoring, the near-bottom sample collected 150 feet upstream on an incoming tide (BP2WQ-0822) was analyzed for the COCs. The result for dissolved copper was $4.09~\mu g/L$, which was above the chronic criterion (Table 5); however, this result was not considered an exceedance, since the point of compliance for the chronic criterion was identified in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012) as 300 feet from dredging operations. The near-bottom sample at 300 feet upstream of the dredging operation was not analyzed for dissolved copper due to an oversight. As detailed in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012), the chronic criterion for metals is based on a 4-day average. A sample collected on February 17, 2015, was analyzed for dissolved metals, including copper. The mean of the copper results from the February 17 and February 18, 2015, samples, was 2.47 μ g/L. Both samples were collected near-bottom at 150-

feet upstream from the dredging activities (during an incoming tide) in Slip 4. Although a comparison of the dissolved copper results at 300 feet against the chronic criterion cannot be done, the mean of the sample results available from 150 feet upstream of the dredging operations (on an incoming tide) suggests that dissolved copper would have been below the chronic criterion.

The result for total PCBs from the near-bottom sample collected 150 feet upstream (BP2WQ-0822) on February 18, 2015, was 0.033 μ g/L, which was above the chronic criterion (Table 5); however, this result was not considered an exceedance, since the point of compliance for the chronic criterion was identified in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012) as 300 feet from dredging operations. The near-bottom sample collected on February 18, 2015, from a location 300 feet upstream (i.e., BP2WQ-0825) was analyzed, and the total PCB concentration of 0.020 μ g/L is below the chronic water quality criterion (see Table 5).

6.4 Dredge Return Water Monitoring

All dredge return water monitoring was conducted in accordance with the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012c) and in consultation with EPA and Ecology. Dredge return water quality was monitored in two ways:

- Conventional parameters were measured and logged using an automated water quality instrument installed in the DRWS discharge line.
- Water quality samples were collected during selected dredging activities.

6.4.1 Results of Conventional Parameter Monitoring

An automated water quality instrument installed in the discharge line of the DRWS was used to measure and record conventional parameters every 30 seconds or every minute while the DRWS was discharging to the Duwamish Waterway. Results from conventional parameter monitoring were recorded for 107 of the 108 days of normal or limited operation (Table 6). Data on the conventional parameters were not logged on October 23, 2014, due to an unknown error during the electronic data logging. Table 6 presents the average (arithmetic mean) value for each conventional parameter over the period of time the plant was discharging during the 24-hour period starting in the morning of each work day that the DRWS system was discharging. The mean of the conventional parameters from the water quality instrument installed in the discharge line was compared to the mean of the same parameters measured by the upstream (ambient) in situ instrument during the period that the plant was discharging (Table 6). During monitoring of turbidity in the dredge return water, no exceedances of the turbidity criterion occurred, since the average turbidity was less than 5 NTU during each discharge day (see Table 6).

6.4.2 Results of Chemical Analysis of Water Samples

Samples of water discharging from the DRWS were collected during periods of intensive monitoring and routine monitoring, as described in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012c). Table 2 indicates periods of routine and intensive monitoring of discharge water from the DRWS.

The following DRWS water quality discharge samples were analyzed based on the criteria specified in the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012c) and in consultation with EPA and Ecology:

- Two samples (BP2WQ-0490 and BP2WQ-0492) were collected and analyzed for COCs during the first week of intensive water quality monitoring of dredge return water.
- Samples BP2WQ-0498 and BP2WQ-0501 were collected and analyzed for all COCs during the intensive monitoring associated with the discharge of water following the first round of dredging in a Special Area (AUs A39, A40, and A41) on October 23 and October 25, 2015.
- During the first four periods of dredging in the Early Removal Areas (AUs B76 and B78), water samples (TSCA-GAC-1, TSCA-GAC-2, TSCA-GAC-3, and TSCA-GAC-4) were collected from October 28 through November 5 (Table 2) after recirculating the total volume of the DRWS process water through the system. The samples were analyzed for total PCBs (
- Table 7) and the results compared to the PCB chronic and acute water quality criteria before discharge to the waterway was begun.
- During the first four periods of dredging in the Early Removal Areas, samples BP2WQ-0502 and BP2WQ-0504 were collected on October 29 and November 2, 2014, respectively, from the discharge line and analyzed for COCs after discharge to the waterway was begun.
- Samples BP2WQ-0517 and BP2WQ-0518 were collected on December 3 and December 5, 2015, respectively, during the intensive monitoring associated with discharge of water following dredging in and adjacent to the Special Areas at AUs A79, A80, B79, B80, A73, A74, A75, A76, B73, B74, B75, and B76.

The results for all of these analyses are presented in Table 7.

Results for dissolved metals, total mercury, and total PCBs from all of these samples were all below the chronic and acute water quality criteria.

Following a review of the results from the sampling conducted during the dredging of the Early Removal Areas, EPA and Ecology approved direct discharge for the remaining process water from the Early Removal Areas sediments (November 18, 2014).

6.5 BACKFILL MONITORING

Monitoring of final backfill placement was conducted in accordance with the *Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012) and in consultation with EPA and Ecology. Backfill monitoring was conducted on 32 of the 37 days of final backfill placement, as summarized in Table 2. Monitoring was also conducted during placement of intermediate backfill in the vicinity of the South Park Bridge on February 14, 2015, and in Slip 4 on February 24, 2015.

The daily water quality monitoring reports are provided in Appendix F, and the conventional water quality results are summarized in Table 2.

No exceedances of conventional water quality criteria occurred on 19 of the 34 days of monitoring during backfill placement. Confirmed exceedances of the turbidity criterion that were attributable to final backfilling occurred on 13 of the days when final backfill placement was being monitored:

- January 22, 2015 (15 NTU versus ambient 4.5 NTU),
- January 24, 2015 (34 NTU versus ambient 7.9 NTU),
- January 26, 2015 (25.5 NTU versus ambient 15.7 NTU),
- January 28, 2015 (18.2 NTU versus ambient 5.9 NTU),
- January 31, 2015 (39.4 NTU versus ambient 1.7 NTU),
- February 2, 2015 (24.6 NTU versus ambient 5.7 NTU),
- February 3, 2015 (19.5 NTU versus ambient 2.7 NTU),
- February 4, 2015 (24.6 NTU versus ambient 3 NTU),
- February 5, 2015 (26 NTU versus ambient 7.9 NTU),
- February 13, 2015 (42.3 NTU versus ambient 3.9 NTU),
- February 23, 2015 (36.5 NTU versus ambient 3.1 NTU),
- February 26, 2015 (28.6 NTU versus ambient 2.8 NTU), and
- February 28, 2015 (22.6 NTU versus ambient 5.4 NTU).

Confirmed exceedances of the turbidity criterion that were attributable to placement of intermediate backfill occurred on two days:

- February 14, 2015 (47.5 NTU versus ambient 0.2 NTU), and
- February 24, 2015 (94.7 NTU versus ambient 4.5 NTU).

Measured turbidity at a compliance station was greater than 59 NTU over the background during the placement of the final backfill within the DSOA on February 4, 2015 (74 NTU versus ambient 2.8 NTU at near-surface station 150 feet downstream and 83 NTU versus ambient 3.3 NTU at near-bottom station 150 feet downstream), and during placement of the intermediate backfill in Slip 4 on February 24, 2015 (87 NTU versus ambient 4.4 NTU at near-bottom station 150 feet downstream). Additional monitoring was conducted at a location 800 feet from the backfilling operation as a condition of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinion for the project. On both occasions, the turbidity measured at a distance of 800 feet was less than 18 NTU over the background. Additional monitoring was not required.

At the request of Ecology, additional monitoring of turbidity plumes associated with placement of backfill material was undertaken on five separate occasions (February 4, February 5, February 13, February 14, and February 23, 2015).

6.6 SLIP 4 SHEETPILE REMOVAL MONITORING

Prior to additional dredging in Slip 4, sheetpiling was installed along a portion of the shoreline to stabilize the shoreline and along the property lines to the east and north of the dredge area to avoid impacting sediments on the adjacent properties. The sheetpiling was installed over multiple days during daylight working hours. After completion of dredging and placement of backfill, the sheetpiling was removed in sections.

Ecology requested that water quality monitoring be conducted during removal of the sheetpiling along the shoreline and property line. A separate Slip 4 *Water Quality and Sediment Monitoring Work Plan* was submitted to Ecology and EPA on February 6, 2015 (AMEC et al. 2015), to outline the water quality monitoring procedures for sheetpile removal. Water quality monitoring of the sheetpile removal was conducted twice daily on four days and once a day on one day (Appendix F). No exceedances of the conventional water quality parameters were recorded during monitoring of the sheetpile removal (Table 2).

6.7 DATA QUALITY REVIEW

The data validation report for water quality monitoring samples collected from August 2014 through February 2015 is presented in Appendix G in the Boeing Plant 2 Water Quality Samples – August 2014 through February 2015 data validation report. Analyses of samples for PCBs and mercury (total and dissolved) were performed by Analytical Resources, Inc. (ARI), except for three samples analyzed for PCBs by Freidman and Bruya, Inc. The remaining dissolved metals (cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, silver, and zinc) analyses were performed by Frontier Global Sciences, Inc. The data quality review was based on project-specific control limits or laboratory control limits. The summary data validation review found that all data were acceptable as qualified.

For the PCB analyses performed by ARI, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete, with one exception. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Laboratory quality control sample results demonstrated acceptable accuracy and precision. The PCB data were acceptable for use as reported.

For the PCB analyses performed by Freidman and Bruya, Inc., the overall assessment found that the documentation met the requirements for summary validation. Surrogate and laboratory quality control sample results demonstrated acceptable accuracy and precision. The PCB data were acceptable for use as reported.

For the total and dissolved mercury analyses performed by ARI, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data and results of quality control samples demonstrated acceptable accuracy and precision.

Samples were analyzed for dissolved metals (cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, silver, and zinc) by Frontier Global Sciences, Inc. Documentation was found to be clear and complete. With minor exceptions, calibration results demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Quality control sample results demonstrated acceptable laboratory precision and accuracy. Individual results for selected dissolved metals were qualified as estimated due to blank contamination, and because matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS/MSD) recoveries and relative percent differences were outside control limits. The metals data were acceptable for use as qualified.

7.0 PRE- AND POST-CONSTRUCTION PERIMETER MONITORING

A pre- and post-construction perimeter sediment monitoring program was conducted to determine if material increases in concentrations of COCs occurred in the post-remediation perimeter surface sampling areas outside the DSOA relative to their pre-remediation concentrations. All perimeter monitoring was conducted in accordance with the *Pre- and Post-Construction Perimeter Sediment Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012d) and associated quality assurance project plan (AMEC, 2012e) or in accordance with modifications approved by EPA. A total of 56 sampling stations (plus 5 duplicate stations) were sampled in five separate areas:

- Area 1: downstream reference area,
- Area 2: DSOA downstream of South Park Bridge,
- Area 3: DSOA upstream of South Park Bridge,
- Area 4: Upstream reference area, and
- Area 5: Slip 4.

The approximate sample locations for the pre- and post-construction sampling are shown on Figure 8, and the averaged sampling coordinates for grabs collected during the sampling events in CS3 are presented in Table 8. Sediment samples from both the pre-construction and post-construction sediment monitoring events were analyzed for the COCs (cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, silver, zinc, and PCBs) identified in the *Pre- and Post-Construction Perimeter Sediment Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012d). In addition, total solids (TS) and total organic carbon (TOC) were measured in each sample.

The results of pre- and post-construction perimeter monitoring for Areas 1 through 5 for all construction seasons are presented in Table 9 through Table 13, respectively. Results for total PCBs for all construction seasons are presented on Figure 8. The PCB concentrations presented on Figure 8 are given on a dry-weight basis (micrograms per kilogram [µg/kg], equivalent to parts per billion [ppb]) and on carbon-normalized basis (milligrams per kilogram organic carbon, equivalent to parts per million [ppm]) when TOC was within the carbon-normalization range of 0.5% to 4%. PCB results were not carbon-normalized for samples with TOC results outside this range.

7.1 Pre-Construction Perimeter Monitoring

Sediment grab samples were collected in July 2014 prior to implementation of the Southwest Bank re-excavation and in September 2014 prior to dredging work in the DSOA and Slip 4.

7.1.1 Southwest Bank Re-excavation Field Sampling Activities and Results

A total of 20 sediment grab samples were collected at 18 perimeter sampling locations in Area 3 and Area 4 (Table 8 and Figure 8) during the pre-construction sampling event (July 14 to 16, 2014) prior to the start of the Southwest Bank re-excavation. This sampling event also occurred prior to the start of dredging at the Jorgensen Cleanup Area, located upriver of the DSOA. Pre-construction sampling for the Southwest Bank re-excavation work was not conducted in Area 5, Area 1, or Area 2, as approved by EPA and Ecology.

Sampling was conducted using the methods and procedures presented in the *Pre- and Post-Construction Perimeter Sediment Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012d). Surface samples (upper 0 to 10 cm) were collected using a powered grab sampler. Three grab samples were collected at each sample location. The coordinates for each acceptable grab collected at a sample location were recorded. Equal volumes of sediment from each grab (representing the 0- to 10-cm surface interval) were placed in a 1-liter glass container. Sample homogenization was performed by the analytical laboratory prior to analysis. Field duplicates were collected at two sample locations to meet quality assurance requirements.

Analytical results are presented in Table 11 and Table 12. Sample locations for the individual grab samples collected in CS3 are provided on the Qualitative Sample Characteristics forms (Appendix H). Additional field forms (i.e., chain-of-custody forms) for CS3 samples are also provided in Appendix H.

7.1.2 DSOA Dredging and Backfilling Field Sampling Activities and Results

A total of 61 sediment grab samples were collected at 56 perimeter sampling locations (Table 8 and Figure 8) during the pre-construction sampling event (September 10 to 25, 2014) prior to the start of dredging within the DSOA. Samples included five field duplicates collected for quality control purposes. This sampling was completed after the completion of dredging and backfilling in the Jorgensen Cleanup Area.

Sampling was conducted using the methods and procedures presented in the *Pre- and Post-Construction Perimeter Sediment Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012d). A majority of the surface samples (0 to 10 cm) were collected using a powered grab sampler, with three grab samples collected at each sample location. At three sample locations in Area 5 (Slip 4), hand cores were used by divers to collect sediment samples from the installed cap at the head the slip. A single hand core was collected at each of these three locations from areas with sufficient sand and fine silt for analysis. Estimated coordinates for each acceptable hand core were recorded and are presented in Table 8.

The coordinates for each acceptable grab collected at a sample location were recorded. Equal volumes of sediment from each grab (representing the 0 to 10 cm surface interval) were placed in a

1-liter glass container. Sample homogenization was performed by the analytical laboratory prior to analysis. Field duplicates were collected at five sample locations to meet quality assurance requirements.

The averaged sample locations for the pre-construction sampling are presented in Table 8 and on Figure 8. Sample locations for the individual grab samples are provided on the Qualitative Sample Characteristics forms (Appendix H). Additional field forms (i.e., chain-of-custody forms) are also provided in Appendix H.

The results of the pre-construction perimeter monitoring are presented in Table 9 through Table 13, and PCB results are presented on Figure 8.

7.2 POST-CONSTRUCTION PERIMETER MONITORING – FIELD SAMPLING ACTIVITIES AND RESULTS

A total of 61 sediment grab samples were collected at 56 perimeter sampling locations (Table 8 and Figure 8) once the CS3 dredging was complete (February 25 through March 20, 2015). The stations previously sampled during pre- and post-construction sampling events were reoccupied. Sampling was conducted using the methods and procedures used during the pre-construction sampling.

The averaged sample locations for the post-construction sampling event are presented in Table 8 and on Figure 8. Sample locations for the individual grab samples are provided on the Qualitative Sample Characteristics forms (Appendix H). Additional field forms (i.e., chain-of-custody forms) are also provided in Appendix H.

The results of post-construction perimeter monitoring are presented in Table 9 through Table 13. The results for total PCBs are presented on Figure 8.

7.3 DATA QUALITY REVIEW

Separate data quality reviews were conducted for the pre- and post-construction monitoring events. Data quality review was based on project-specific control limits (AMEC, 2012e) or laboratory control limits. These data quality reviews are summarized in the following sections. The complete data validation evaluations are presented in Appendix G.

7.3.1 Pre-Construction Perimeter Monitoring

The Stage 2B data validation report for pre-construction perimeter monitoring results is presented in Appendix G. The data validation review found that all data were acceptable as qualified.

For the PCB analyses, the overall assessment found that the documentation was clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Laboratory control sample (LCS)

results demonstrated acceptable accuracy and precision. For samples with results from multiple analyses, results were reduced to the most appropriate. Selected results were qualified as estimated due to sample coolers received at elevated temperatures outside the control range and for continuing calibration results, MS recoveries, MS/MSD variability, field duplicate variability, and dual column variability outside the control range. Except for data replaced by another result, PCB data are acceptable for use as qualified.

For the metals analyses, the overall assessment found documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Method blank, LCS, and MS results demonstrated acceptable accuracy. Selected results were qualified as estimated based on sediment reference material (SRM) recoveries and laboratory and field-duplicate variability outside specified control limits. The metals data are acceptable for use as qualified.

For the general chemistry analyses the overall assessment found documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable performance. Method blank, LCS, and SRM results demonstrated acceptable laboratory accuracy. Laboratory triplicates demonstrated acceptable laboratory precision. Selected sample results were qualified as estimated based on matrix-spike recoveries and field duplicate variability outside specified control limits. The general chemistry results are acceptable for use as qualified.

7.3.2 Post-Construction Perimeter Monitoring

The results of the Stage 2B data validation for the post-construction perimeter monitoring samples are presented in the data validation report in Appendix G. The data validation found all data to be acceptable as qualified.

For the PCB analyses, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Surrogate, SRM, and MS/MSD results demonstrated acceptable accuracy and precision. Results from multiple analyses for one sample were reduced to the most appropriate result for use following conservative criteria. Selected results were qualified as estimated due to blank contamination and dual column variability outside control limits. PCB data were acceptable for use as qualified.

For the metals analyses, the overall assessment found documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Method blank, LCS, and SRM results demonstrated acceptable accuracy. Selected results were qualified as estimated based on MS recoveries and field duplicate variability outside control limits. Metals data are acceptable for use as qualified.

For the general chemistry analyses, the overall assessment found documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data indicated acceptable performance. Method blank and LCS results demonstrated acceptable laboratory accuracy. Selected results were qualified as estimated based on MS and SRM recoveries outside control limits. General chemistry results are acceptable for use as qualified.

This page intentionally left blank.

8.0 SLIP 4 ADDITIONAL SEDIMENT DATA COLLECTION

As documented in Section 3.4.1.4, Boeing completed dredging in four designated areas in Slip 4 early in December 2014. Post-construction core samples were collected at five locations (SD-PCC001 through SD-PCC005) (see Appendix I) in accordance with the approved *Post-Construction Core Sampling Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012f). Results of the post-construction core sampling indicated that elevated concentrations of PCBs remained at the bottom of the dredge cuts in one of the four designated dredge areas (i.e., SD-PCC003, see Appendix I). The results also demonstrated that metals concentrations were below the SQS (Washington Administrative Code [WAC] 173-204-320) in all four areas. Based on these data, Boeing conducted additional sediment investigations in Slip 4, as described in Appendix I. Provided below is a summary of the additional investigations.

8.1 SUMMARY OF SAMPLING

Two phases of additional core collection were conducted to characterize the horizontal and vertical distribution of elevated concentrations of PCBs within the Boeing-owned portion of Slip 4. Phase 1 sampling included collection of cores at locations SD-SL4-001 to -004, and Phase 2 sampling included collection of cores at locations SD-SL4-005 to -012 (see Appendix I).

8.2 RESULTS

The results from the Phase 1 and the 2 sampling showed that elevated concentrations of PCBs along the shoreline did not generally extend below 1 to 2 feet below the mudline (Appendix I). Offshore, elevated PCBs concentrations appeared to be confined to what appeared to be recently deposited material, and native underlying sediments did not appear to contain PCBs.

With a few exceptions, the vertical extent of elevated PCB concentrations was consistent with the conceptual site model (CSM) presented in the corrective measure alternatives study (AMEC and FSI 2011), whereby the depth of elevated PCB concentrations was shallower along the shoreline and deeper offshore.

8.3 DATA QUALITY REVIEW

Results of the Stage 2B data validation for the Slip 4 sediment samples are reported in the data validation report (Attachment C in Appendix I). The data validation report found all data to be acceptable as qualified.

For the PCB analyses, documentation was found to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. LCS results demonstrate acceptable accuracy and precision. Multiple analysis results were reduced to the most appropriate for use. Selected results were qualified as estimated due to dual-column variability. Except for data replaced by another result, PCB data were acceptable for use as qualified.

For the metals analysis, the documentation was found to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Method blank, LCS, and SRM results demonstrated acceptable laboratory precision and accuracy. One zinc result was qualified as estimated based on MS recovery outside control limits. The metals data were acceptable for use as qualified.

For the general chemistry analyses, documentation was found to be clear and complete. Calibration data indicated acceptable performance. Method blank and LCS results demonstrated acceptable laboratory accuracy. Selected results were qualified as estimated based on laboratory triplicate and MS/MSD variability, and MS and SRM recoveries outside of control limits. The general chemistry results were acceptable for use as qualified.

8.4 SUMMARY

The additional Slip 4 core sampling showed that the depth of sediments containing elevated PCB concentrations was shallower near the shoreline and deeper offshore. These findings were consistent with the CSM for the DSOA (AMEC and FSI 2011), which suggested that navigation dredging likely influenced the depth of contamination.

The CSM, the depth of elevated PCB concentrations, and the knowledge that native sediments were "clean" were used to develop a new dredge plan, which was subsequently implemented with approval by EPA on February 10, 2015.

9.0 POST-CONSTRUCTION CORE SAMPLING

Post-construction core sampling was conducted using the methods and procedures presented in the *Post-Construction Core Sampling Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012f). Additional confirmation cores were collected in Slip 4 as per the EPA-approved Boeing Plant 2 DSOA Corrective Measure and Habitat Project Work Plan for Slip 4 Additional Sediment Remediation and Associated Sheetpiling (DOF 2015). The objective of post-construction core sampling is to characterize sediments that are left in place after completion of remedial dredging.

9.1 SUMMARY OF SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

Sediment samples were collected at 13 post-construction coring locations during CS3, as shown on Figure 9 and in Table 14. Figure 9 also includes the locations of samples collected during previous construction seasons. The MudMole™ pneumatic core sampler was used for sampling the post-dredge sediment surface and deeper sediment intervals. Cores were collected after dredging activities were completed, verified by a bathymetric survey, and accepted by Boeing in the relevant AU. Coring was conducted prior to placement of the initial backfill layer. The intent of core sampling was to collect sediment samples at and below the excavated sediment surface (i.e., leave surface). Cores collected within the DSOA were to be divided into sample intervals representing the 0- to 1-foot, 1- to 2-foot, and 2- to 3-foot in situ intervals below the dredge surface (if available). Cores collected within Slip 4 were to be divided into sample intervals representing the 0- to 0.33-foot, 0.33-foot to 1-foot, 1- to 2-foot, and 2- to 3- foot in situ intervals below the dredge surface at a minimum. Additional deeper sample intervals were collected in Slip 4 if available.

A field-duplicate core was collected at location SD-PCC010 during CS3. The field duplicate (identified as SD-PCC210) was collected within 2.4 feet of the parent core. Additional field-duplicate cores were collected at location SD-PCC006 and SD-PCC013 during previous construction Seasons. A single sample interval was analyzed from the field duplicate to meet quality assurance requirements. The remaining sample intervals (1- to 2-foot and 2- to 3-foot in situ intervals) from the field duplicate sample were archived.

Cores were processed within 4 hours of collection. Penetration and recovery measurements were used to estimate the in situ depth of sediment structures and sample intervals. A qualified field geologist logged each based on the Unified Soil Classification System and noted the presence of soil structures, odors, or visible oil sheens. Summary logs and photographs of each accepted core are provided in Appendix J. The chain-of-custody forms are also provided in Appendix J.

9.2 RESULTS

The results of post-construction core sampling conducted in the DSOA and Slip 4 are presented in Table 15. Table 15 also includes the results from prior construction seasons. Results for total PCBs

for all construction seasons are presented on Figure 9. The PCB results presented on Figure 9 are expressed on a dry-weight basis (μ g/kg or ppb); in addition, carbon-normalized results (milligrams per kilogram or ppm organic carbon) are given when TOC is within the carbon-normalization range of 0.5 percent to 4 percent.

9.2.1 DSOA Cores

Post-construction core samples within the DSOA CS3 were collected at locations SD-PCC009, SD-PCC010, SD-PCC210 (duplicate of SD-PCC010), SD-PCC011, and SD-PCC015 (Figure 9). Except for the core collected at SD-PCC010, the remaining post-construction cores collected within the DSOA during CS3 had thin surface layers (less than about 0.25 inch [approximately 6 millimeters]) of fine unconsolidated silts on the surface of the core overlying sands and silts that appeared to be native alluvial material. The sediments retained in the core collected at SD-PCC010 appeared to have an approximately 0.8-foot layer of fine silts with woody material at the surface of the core that did not appear to be native material. The remaining material in the core consisted of sands with scattered silt inclusions and some wood material indicative of native alluvium. The field duplicate core of SD-PCC010 (SD-PCC210) collected within 3 feet of the parent core had a thin surface layer (less than about 0.25 inch [approximately 6 millimeters]) of silty sand overlying the remaining core material that appeared to be native alluvial sands and silts.

Samples were collected at SD-PCC009, SD-PCC010, SD-PCC011, and SD-PCC015 representing the surface to 1-foot (A) interval, the 1- to 2-foot (B) interval, and the 2- to 3-foot (C) interval. The 0- to 1-foot and 1- to 2-foot samples were analyzed at every location for the COCs (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, silver, zinc, and PCBs), TS, and TOC. The 2- to 3-foot sample at each location, except for SD-PCC011, was also analyzed for the COCs, TS, and TOC. The 0-to 1-foot interval of the field duplicate sample (SD-PCC210-A) was analyzed for the COCs, TS, and TOC to assess the field duplicate variability.

CS3 metals and total PCB concentrations were low or undetected in all of the samples. Table 15 lists the applicable SQS criteria (WAC 173-204-320) for the COCs for comparison purposes and shows all CS3 analytical results were well below the SQS criteria.

9.2.2 Slip 4 Cores

All of the samples collected from the post-construction cores collected in Slip 4 (SD-PCC-016, SD-PCC-017, SD-PCC-018, SD-PCC-019, SD-PCC-020, SD-PCC-021, SD-PCC-022, SD-PCC-023, and SD-PCC-024) were analyzed for the COCs, TS, and TOC. No measurable silt was present on the surface of any of the post-construction cores collected in Slip 4. The sediment in the cores consisted of sands and silts that appeared to be native material.

Results of chemical analyses for samples representing the 0- to 0.33-foot, 0.33- to 1-foot, 1- to 2-foot, 2- to 3-foot intervals, and deeper intervals (if available) are presented in Table 15. Results for total PCBs are presented on Figure 9. Metals and total PCB concentrations were low or undetected in all of the samples. Table 15 lists the SQS criteria for the COCs for comparison purposes and shows that all concentrations of COCs were below the SQS.

9.3 DATA QUALITY REVIEW

Results of the Stage 2B data validation for the post-construction confirmation core samples are presented in the data validation report in Appendix G in the following data validation report: Boeing Plant 2 Sediment Samples – Jorgensen Backfill Samples – November 2014 and March 2015; Post-construction Confirmation Core Samples – October 2014 through February 2015; and Post-construction Perimeter Monitoring Samples – February and March 2015 data validation report.

The data validation found all data to be acceptable as qualified.

For the PCB analyses, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Laboratory QC sample results demonstrated acceptable accuracy and precision. Selected results were qualified as estimated due to field duplicate variability and LCS recoveries outside control limits. PCB data were acceptable for use as qualified.

For the metals analyses, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Method blank, LCS, and SRM results demonstrated acceptable accuracy. Selected results were qualified as estimated based on MS recoveries, and laboratory and field-duplicate variability outside control limits. Metals data are acceptable for use as qualified.

For the general chemistry analyses, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data indicated acceptable performance. Method blank and LCS results demonstrated acceptable laboratory accuracy. Selected results were qualified as estimated based on laboratory triplicate, field-duplicate variability, and low MS recoveries outside control limits. General chemistry results are acceptable for use as qualified.

9.4 SUMMARY

Penetration in each of the processed cores collected in CS3 met the penetration target of 4 feet below mudline proposed in the *Post-Construction Core Sampling Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012f). All of the cores that were sampled contained sediments that appeared to be undisturbed by dredging activities (i.e., no or little material visible in the cores that appeared to be dredge residuals).

The sample results showed low or undetected levels of COCs in the samples that were analyzed. These results demonstrated that the dredging has met the project goals and that the sampling effort has met the study objectives.

10.0 YEAR 0 POST-CONSTRUCTION SURFACE SEDIMENT MONITORING

Post-construction monitoring was conducted upon completion of all dredging, shoreline construction, and final backfilling to grade in March 2015. Post-construction surface sediment sampling is designed to achieve two objectives:

- Evaluate if recontamination of the clean post-construction sediment surface is occurring and
- Determine if any recontamination observed originates from on-site or off-site sources.

Post-construction sampling was conducted using the methods and procedures presented in the *Post-Construction Surface Sediment Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012f). A report detailing the results of the Year 0 sampling effort is presented in Appendix K. Provided below is a summary of the Year 0 monitoring results.

10.1 SUMMARY OF SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

The Year 0 samples were collected at 36 locations (plus four duplicate samples) following the procedures specified in the work plan (AMEC et al. 2014). Sampling locations are shown on Figure 10 and details on the sampling design and sampling procedures are presented in Appendix K. Samples in the in-water work area below -5 feet MLLW, and above -5 feet MLLW and below +4 feet MLLW, the shoreline area samples at approximately +4 feet MLLW, and the outfall samples were collected using a 0.2-square-meter stainless-steel powered grab sampler at the locations presented in the work plan (AMEC et al. 2014). The shoreline area samples at approximately +7 feet MLLW were collected during low tides using stainless-steel spoons to limit damage to the habitat plantings. The samples were analyzed for the SMS list of COCs, TS, and TOC. In addition, six samples were analyzed for dioxins and furans, and six samples were analyzed for grain size.

At each sample location, the top 10 cm of sediment was collected for chemistry analyses. For a majority of the sample locations, sediments were placed directly into a single-sample container; these samples were homogenized at the analytical laboratory. Samples collected for analysis of the SMS list of COCs and either dioxins/furans or grain-size were homogenized in the field before being placed into multiple sample containers.

10.2 RESULTS

The results of the Year 0 post-construction surface sediment monitoring are presented in Appendix K. All of the results for the SMS list of COCs are presented as dry-weight concentrations (mg/kg for metals and μ g/kg for organics). TOC results were below the carbon-normalization range of 0.5% to 4%, except for five of the six shoreline samples collected at approximately +7 feet MLLW and one of the shoreline samples collected at approximately +4 feet MLLW. The samples with TOC values greater than 0.5% were located within the habitat project area.

All of the samples had SMS metals that were either undetected or well below the SQS. All of the SMS polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, chlorobenzenes, phthalate esters, and miscellaneous extractable organic compounds were either undetected or well below the SQS. Two samples (SD-PCM020 and SD-PCM031) had one ionizable organic compound (benzoic acid) that was above the SQS. Sample SD-PCM032 had three ionizable organic compounds (benzoic acid, benzyl alcohol, and phenol) that exceeded the SQS. The samples that exceeded the SQS had the three highest TOC values (2.07 to 8.7 percent) and were collected within the embayment at the North Shoreline habitat project. These compounds are frequently associated with decomposing plant material and may reflect the accumulation of organic matter within and adjacent to the embayment.

10.3 DATA QUALITY REVIEW

The results of the Stage 2B data validation for PCBs, metals, semivolatile organic compounds, and conventionals, and the Stage 4 data validation for dioxins and furans for the Year 0 post-construction surface sediment monitoring samples are reported in the data validation report included as Attachment C in Appendix K. The data validation found all data to be acceptable as qualified.

For the PCB analyses, the overall assessment found documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Blank, surrogate, LCS, MS/MSD, and field duplicate results demonstrated acceptable accuracy and precision. Two results were qualified as estimated due to dual-column variability. PCB data are acceptable for use as qualified.

For the metals analysis, the overall assessment found documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Method blank, LCS, SRM, and MS results demonstrated acceptable laboratory accuracy. Selected results were qualified as estimated based on laboratory and field duplicate variability outside control limits. The metals data were acceptable for use as qualified.

For results of analyses of semivolatile organic compounds, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete. Selected results were qualified as estimated due to continuing calibration results outside control limits, blank contamination, and surrogate, LCS, and MS results outside of control limits. Rejected results for benzyl alcohol and 2,4-dimethylphenol were replaced by acceptable or estimated re-extraction results. Except for data replaced by another analysis, semivolatile organic data are acceptable for use as qualified.

For results of analyses of semivolatile organic compounds analyzed using selective ion monitoring, documentation was found to be clear and complete. The majority of results were accepted without qualification. Some results were qualified as estimated due to calibration results or surrogate or MS recoveries outside control limits. Rejected results for benzyl alcohol and 2,4-dimethylphenol were replaced by acceptable or estimated re-extraction results. One result was qualified as presumed

present due to poor spectral match. Except for data replaced by another analysis, results for semivolatile organic compounds analyzed using selective ion monitoring are acceptable for use as qualified.

For the dioxin/furan analyses, the overall assessment found documentation to be clear and complete. No discrepancies were noted in the analyte identification or result quantitation. Calibration data and system performance checks demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Quality control results indicated acceptable accuracy. Blank contamination resulted in selected results to be qualified as estimated and reporting limits to be elevated but acceptable. The dioxin/furan data are acceptable for use as qualified.

For the general chemistry analyses, documentation was found to be clear and complete. Calibration data indicated acceptable performance. Method blank, LCS, SRM, and MS results demonstrated acceptable laboratory accuracy. Selected results were qualified as estimated based on laboratory triplicate and field duplicate variability outside control limits. The general chemistry and grain size results were acceptable for use as qualified.

10.4 SUMMARY

As described in the Statement of Basis (EPA 2011a) and the Final Decision and Response to Comments for the DSOA and Southwest Bank corrective action, the performance criteria were excavation to the target depth with subsequent backfilling with material that met the Final Media Cleanup Levels (EPA 2011b). Subsequent to EPA issuing the decision documents, EPA approved the backfill criteria that were presented in Table 3.1 of Appendix 3 of the EPA-approved Request for Approval of Quarry Sites (Floyd|Snider 2012).

The backfill material that was placed along the Plant 2 shoreline, within the DSOA, and in Slip 4 met the EPA-approved backfill criteria. Although the backfill criteria were for the material before it was placed, a comparison of the Year 0 samples to the backfill criteria provides additional confirmation that the corrective measure was constructed in accordance with the EPA decision documents. All of the sampling results presented in Section 3.3.1 of Appendix K were less than the backfill criteria, except for one phenol result, three benzoic acid results, and two total PCBs results.

Measured concentrations of benzoic acid, benzyl alcohol, and phenol in the approved compost material were greater than the backfill criteria (the approved backfill criteria for these constituents were equivalent to the SQS; thus, detections of phenol and benzoic acid in the Year 0 samples at levels greater than the SQS/LAET were not unexpected. EPA approved the use of compost material with elevated concentrations of benzyl alcohol, benzoic acid, and phenol in April 2013, with the understanding that these compounds are natural degradation products of woody debris, and are commonly found in plant matter and wood (Floyd|Snider 2013). Because the compost material used

to amend the sand and gravel backfill was composed primarily of aged duff (i.e., leaves, branches, bark, and stems from the forest floor) and other clearings from forested areas, it was anticipated that these compounds may occasionally be present at levels higher than the backfill criteria (SQS) during subsequent sampling events.

The two total PCB results were higher than the backfill criterion of 30 μ g/kg dry weight (i.e., SD-PCM020 and SD-PCM032) are located within the North Shoreline Area embayment. The embayment is a depositional area where construction was completed in the fall of 2013. The presence of PCBs 1½ years after construction completion at concentrations greater than the backfill criteria is believed to be a result of deposition of fine-grained material from upstream sources.

In context of these factors, the results of Year 0 sampling indicate that all requirements of the Statement of Basis (EPA 2011a) and the Final Decision and Response to Comments (EPA 2011b) were met.

11.0 JORGENSEN BACKFILL MONITORING

As part of the DSOA and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure, dredging was required to be conducted at the southern end of the DSOA adjacent to the Jorgensen Forge Removal Action (Jorgensen Removal Action) area (Figure 11). The Jorgensen Removal Action was completed prior to Boeing conducting dredging in the South Shoreline Area adjacent to the Jorgensen Cleanup Area (Figure 1).

EPA required Boeing to conduct sampling of the Jorgensen Removal Action backfill prior to and after dredging of the southern end of the DSOA. This sampling was required because of the concern that dredging of the DSOA could potentially recontaminate the newly placed backfill in the Jorgensen Cleanup Area.

Boeing submitted a plan to EPA (DOF 2014) for sample collection on the Jorgensen backfill, which was subsequently approved by EPA. This section describes the results of the Jorgensen backfill sampling.

11.1 SUMMARY OF SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

Sampling of the Jorgensen backfill was conducted prior to and after dredging of the southern end of the DSOA. Samples were collected at six locations following procedures specified in the *Pre- and Post-construction Perimeter Sediment Monitoring Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012d). Sampling locations are shown on Figure 11, and sample coordinates are presented in Table 16. Sediment samples were collected using a 0.2-square-meter stainless-steel powered grab sampler. Three individual grab samples were collected at each sample location. Each individual sample was collected within approximately 2 meters (approximately 6 feet) of the other sample locations. The centroid of the sample cluster was within approximately 3 meters (10 feet) of each of the proposed sample locations.

During pre-construction sampling at one of the sample locations (SD-JOR03), repeated attempts were made to collect a sample; however, the presence of large gravel inhibited the complete closure of the grab sampler resulting in washed samples (partial loss of sediment). Following discussions with EPA, the station was relocated as shown in Table 16 and Figure 11.

At each sampling station, equal-volume subsamples of the surface sediments were collected to a depth of 10 cm (approximately 4 inches) from each of the three grabs. Sediment touching the sides of the grab sampler was not collected. Large amounts of coarse gravel present in sediments from some of the grabs indicated signs of partial washing of these samples, and therefore these samples were rejected. Partial washing of sediments collected at the other sample locations resulted in the loss of some of the finer sediments as the overlying water drained through the partially closed sampler. Sediment was collected from areas within the grab that did not appear to be washed or disturbed. Larger gravel pieces (1- to 2-inch diameter) were not included in the sample. Equal volumes

(approximately 0.3 liter of sediment) were collected from each grab and placed in a 1-liter glass jar. The sediment was homogenized in the laboratory and analyzed for selected metals (arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, mercury, silver, and zinc), PCBs, TOC, and TS.

11.2 RESULTS

The qualitative sample characteristics forms, photographs of each grab sample, and the chain-of-custody forms are provided in Appendix L. Analytical results are presented in Table 17.

11.2.1 PRE-DSOA DREDGING

Backfill placement within the Jorgensen Cleanup Area was complete on September 13, 2014. Sampling of the Jorgensen backfill just prior to dredging of the southern end of the DSOA was conducted on November 24, 2014, approximately 2.5 months after completion of the Jorgensen backfill. All of the sample locations had a silt layer present on the surface that ranged from a trace (SD-JOR04, Table 17) to about 3.5 cm thick (SD-JOR05, Table 17). The original location planned for SD-JOR03 had no silt present; however, up to about 2.5 cm of silt was present on the surface of the sediment in the grabs from the relocated SD-JOR03 location (Table 17).

All of the metals results were below the SQS in the pre-DSOA dredging samples (Table 17). The average dry-weight concentration of PCBs in the pre-DSOA dredging samples was approximately 400 μ g/kg (18 mg/kg organic carbon) and ranged from 13.1 to 800 μ g/kg (10.8 to 28.1 mg/kg organic carbon). The result for total PCBs in one sample (SD-JOR04) was not carbon normalized due to low organic carbon content in the sample (0.149%). Results for three of the five samples with carbon normalized values reported exceeded the SQS criterion of 12 mg/kg organic carbon (SD-JOR01, SD-JOR05, and SD-JOR06). At SD-JOR04, the dry-weight concentration did not exceed the SQS dry-weight equivalent criterion of 130 μ g/kg.

11.2.2 POST-DSOA DREDGING

Post-DSOA dredging grab samples on the Jorgensen backfill were collected on March 6, 2015, and March 17, 2015. All of the grabs at the post-DSOA dredging sample locations, except for SD-JOR03, had less than 2 cm of silt on the surface of the sediment (Table 17). At SD-JOR03, at least 15 cm of silt was present in the three grabs collected (Table 17). As discussed above, SD-JOR03 was moved during the November sampling event due to the inability to collect samples because of the coarse substrate; however, the original location was inadvertently sampled on the first day of sampling during the March sampling event. At the original sample location the silt layer was approximately 8 to 10 cm thick.

All of the metals results were below the SQS in the post-DSOA dredging samples (Table 17). The average dry-weight concentration of PCBs in the post-DSOA dredging samples was approximately

290 μ g/kg (11.2 mg/kg organic carbon) and ranged from 174 to 380 μ g/kg (7.9 to 16.2 mg/kg organic carbon), including results for the original SD-JOR03 location (Table 17). Total PCB results for two of the sample locations (SD-JOR01 and SD-JOR02) exceeded the SQS criterion of 12 mg/kg organic carbon.

11.3 DATA QUALITY REVIEW

The results of the Stage 2B data validation for the Jorgensen backfill monitoring samples are presented in the data validation report in Appendix G following data validation report: Boeing Plant 2 Sediment Samples – Jorgensen Backfill Samples – November 2014 and March 2015; Post-construction Confirmation Core Samples – October 2014 through February 2015; and Post-construction Perimeter Monitoring Samples – February and March 2015 data validation report. The data validation review found all data to be acceptable as qualified.

For the PCB analyses, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. LCS results demonstrated acceptable accuracy and precision. Results from multiple analyses for a single sample were reduced to the most appropriate for use. Selected results were qualified as estimated due to dual column variability outside of control limits. Except for data replaced by another result, PCB data were acceptable for use as qualified.

For the metals analyses, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data demonstrated acceptable instrument performance. Method blank, LCS, and SRM results demonstrated acceptable laboratory accuracy. Selected results were qualified as estimated based on the laboratory duplicate variability outside of control limits. The metals data were acceptable for use as qualified.

For the general chemistry analyses, the overall assessment found the documentation to be clear and complete. Calibration data indicated acceptable performance. Method blank and LCS results demonstrate acceptable laboratory accuracy. The general chemistry results were acceptable for use as qualified.

11.4 SUMMARY

The pre-DSOA dredging grab samples were collected approximately 2.5 months after Jorgensen completed the backfill. During the pre-DSOA dredging grab sample collection, coarse gravel material was unexpectedly encountered. The coarse substrate made sample collection somewhat difficult; however, all the samples were collected as planned except at sampling location SD-JOR03, which was moved during the pre-DSOA dredging sampling to facilitate sampling.

Approximately 3 months passed between the pre- and post-DSOA dredging sampling events. During the pre-dredging sampling, the presence of significant amount of depositional material on the surface of the backfill was not expected, since sampling was conducted only 2.5 months after Jorgensen completed construction of the remedial action. The average thickness of the depositional material during the pre-DSOA sampling event was approximately 1.5 cm with a maximum thickness of about 3.5 cm. The thickness of the depositional material in the post-DSOA dredging sampling averaged about 3.5 cm, with a maximum of about 15 cm. This increase of the depositional material does not appear to be related to the DSOA dredging, since the amount of depositional material appeared to decrease between the two sampling events at the two sampling locations closest to the DSOA dredge area (SD-JOR01 and SD-JOR02, Figure 11).

During both sampling events, metals concentrations were all below the SQS criteria. The average dryweight PCB concentration was approximately 400 μ g/kg (18 mg/kg organic carbon) during the pre-DSOA dredging sampling and approximately 290 μ g/kg (11.2 mg/kg organic carbon) during the post-DSOA dredging sampling.

12.0 END OF CONSTRUCTION SEASON DEMOBILIZATION AND DECONTAMINATION

After the completion of CS3, dedicated equipment and structures that came in contact with dredged material throughout the course of CS3 were decontaminated to achieve a visually clean debris surface in accordance with 40 CFR 268.45, Table 1, footnote 3. This equipment included barges, dredge equipment (buckets, cranes, etc.), offloading and loading equipment at the Lafarge facility, the vault at the Lafarge facility, and the Waste Management, Inc., railcars that were dedicated to this project. Documentation of equipment decontamination is provided in Appendix M.

Water used to decontaminate equipment after dismantling the DRWS was captured and trucked either to North Boeing Field or to Lafarge for treatment before discharge to the POTW.

Water used to decontaminate the equipment at the Lafarge facility was captured and treated through the LaFarge stormwater treatment system (described in Section 4.1.2) prior to discharge to the POTW.

All methods and procedures used for demobilization and decontamination were performed in accordance with the TSCA RBDA for demobilization issued by the EPA on March 10, 2015 (EPA 2015).

This page intentionally left blank.

13.0 ARCHAEOLOGICAL MONITORING

Archaeological monitoring of the Project was performed in accordance with the *Archaeological Work Plan* (AMEC et al. 2012g). All on-site construction personnel were trained by being shown an archaeological training video. The video provided training to help workers identify a wide range of cultural resources that could potentially be uncovered during construction excavation or dredging. During these training meetings, the appropriate chain of communication was established and contact information was disseminated to the construction personnel for use in the event of an inadvertent discovery. Also discussed were the requirements for artifact discovery. No archaeological material was identified during archaeological monitoring and surveillance activities during CS3. A synopsis of the monitoring program and the results of the CS3 monitoring are presented in Appendix N.

This page intentionally left blank.

14.0 REFERENCES

AMEC	Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. (AMEC). 2013. 2012-2013 Construction Season Completion Report, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington. October.
	2014. Memorandum, Proposed Construction Season 3 (August 2014 to March 2015) Monitoring. Submitted to US EPA and Washington Department of Ecology. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
AMEC	Environment & Infrastructure, Inc., Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc., and Floyd Snider, Inc. (AMEC et al.). 2012a. Final Construction Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
	2012b. Final Design Report, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
	2012c. Water Quality Monitoring Work Plan, Appendix C in Final Construction Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
	2012d. (Revised 2013). Pre- and Post-Construction Perimeter Sediment Monitoring Work Plan, Appendix E in Final Construction Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
	2012e. Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
	- 2012f. Post-Construction Core Sampling Work Plan, Appendix F in Final Construction Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
	2012g. Archaeological Work Plan, Appendix G in Final Design Report, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
	2014. Post-Construction Surface Sediment Monitoring Work Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
	- 2015. Slip 4 Water Quality and Sediment Monitoring Work Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2.

- Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington. February.
- AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. and Floyd|Snider, Inc. (AMEC and FSI). 2011. Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure Alternatives Study, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
- ——— 2014. Shoreline Completion Report, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington. May.
- Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc. (DOF). 2014. Perimeter Surface Sediment Sampling within Jorgensen Forge Early Action Area. Memorandum submitted to Melissa Blankenship, EPA. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
- ——— 2015. Boeing Plant 2 DSOA Corrective Measure and Habitat Project Work Plan for Slip 4 Additional Sediment Remediation and Associated Sheetpiling. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
- Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc., AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc., and Floyd|Snider, Inc. (DOF et al.). 2014. Dredging Construction Season 2 (January to March 2014) Completion Report, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington. November.
- EPA, see U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Floyd|Snider. 2012. Request for Approval of Quarry Sites for Use in the DSOA and Southwest Bank Corrective Measures. Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
- ——— 2013. Request for Approval of Compost for Use in the Habitat Projects. Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2011a. Statement of Basis for Proposed Corrective Action, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank, Boeing Plant 2. EPA. Identification Number WAD 00925 6819, Administrative Order on Consent 1092-01-022-3008(H). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 10, Seattle, March.
- ——— 2011b. Letter to Mr. William Ernst and Mr. Michael Gleason, The Boeing Company, from Mr. Shawn Blocker, EPA Region 10, re: Final Decision and Response to Comments for Boeing Plant 2 Sediments, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington, Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Docket No. 1092-01-22-3008(h) EPA ID No. WAD 00925 6819. 8 May, 2011.
- ——— 2012. Risk-based Disposal Approval for the Duwamish Sediment Other Areas and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle, Washington. TSCA ID



Waste Management, Inc. 2013. Sampling and Analysis Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan. February.

This page intentionally left blank.

SCHEDULE OF SOUTHWEST BANK EXCAVATION AND BACKFILLING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Activity in Appro	val Units		Water Qual	ity Monitoring of	Dredging and Bac	kfill
			Dredge Monitoring	Dredge Monitoring Conventional	Backfill	Backfill Conventional	
Date	Dredging	Backfill	Activities	Results	Monitoring	Results	Samples Analyzed
Tuesday, August 05, 2014	C71, C72, C73, C74		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances			BP2WQ-0416, BP2WQ-0418, BP2WQ-0419, BP2WQ-0413, and BP2WQ-0414
Wednesday, August 06, 2014	C72, B72		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances			BP2WQ-0422, BP2WQ-0423, and BP2WQ-0427
Thursday, August 07, 2014	C72, B72		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances			BP2WQ-0434, BP2WQ-0436, and BP2WQ-0437
Friday, August 08, 2014	C72, B72, C73		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances			
Saturday, August 09, 2014	C72, B72		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances			BP2WQ-0454
Sunday, August 10, 2014	C72, C74, C75	C72	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances	Limited Backfill Placement; No Monitoring Conducted		
Monday, August 11, 2014	C72, C73, B73	C72	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances	Limited Backfill Placement; No Monitoring Conducted		
Tuesday, August 12, 2014	B71, B73		Routine–No Monitoring Conducted				
Wednesday, August 13, 2014	B72, C73, B73, C74, B74		Routine Monitoring	No Exceedances			
Thursday, August 14, 2014	B72, C73, B73, C74, B74		Routine–No Monitoring Conducted				
Sunday, August 17, 2014	C73, B73, C74, B74, C75, B75		Routine–No Monitoring Conducted				

CMI Table 1 SWB Schedule_100915

SCHEDULE OF SOUTHWEST BANK EXCAVATION AND BACKFILLING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Activity in Appr	oval Units		Water Qual	lity Monitoring of	Dredging and Ba	ckfill
			Dredge Monitoring	Dredge Monitoring Conventional	Backfill	Backfill Conventional	
Date	Dredging	Backfill	Activities	Results	Monitoring	Results	Samples Analyzed
Monday, August 18, 2014	C74, B74, C75, B75		Routine Monitoring	No Exceedances			
Tuesday, August 19, 2014	C74, B74, C75, B75, B76		Routine–No Monitoring Conducted				
Wednesday, August 20, 2014	C74, B74, C75, B75, C76, B76		Routine Monitoring	No Exceedances			
Thursday, August 21, 2014	C74, B74, C75, B75, C76, B76		Routine–No Monitoring Conducted				
Friday, August 22, 2014	C75, B75, C76, B76	B71-B76	Routine–No Monitoring Conducted		Limited Backfill Placement; No Monitoring Conducted		
Saturday, August 23, 2014		C72-C74, B72-B74			Routine–No Monitoring Conducted		
Sunday, August 24, 2014		C71,C72, B71, C71, C74-C76, B74-B76			Routine–No Monitoring Conducted		
Monday, August 25, 2014		C73-C76, B74-B76			Routine Monitoring	No Exceedances	
Tuesday, August 26, 2014		C71-C73, C75, C76			Routine–No Monitoring Conducted		
Wednesday, August 27, 2014		C71,C72			Routine–No Monitoring Conducted		
Friday, August 29, 2014		C74-C76, B74-B76			Routine–No Monitoring Conducted		

CMI Table 1 SWB Schedule_100915

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project Boeing Plant 2 Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

				Activity in	Approval Units					Water (Quality Moni	toring of Dredg	ing, Final Ba	ckfill, Sheetpile I	Removal		Dre	edge Return Water Plant Water	Quality Monito	oring
		Initia	al Backfill	Intermediate	e Backfill	Final	Backfill		Dredge	Dredge Monitoring	Final	Final Backfill		Sheetpile Removal						
Date	Clamshell Dredging	Aberdee	n Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Other Activities	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Backfill Monitoring	Conventional Results	Sheetpile Removal	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments	Plant Operation	Monitoring Conventional Activities Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments
Wednesday, September 24, 2014		;						Other Activities	Intensive	No	Wormtorning	Results	Kemovai	Results	BP2WQ-	Comments	Operating	Intensive	BP2WQ-	Comments
									Monitoring	Exceedances					0485		Normally	Monitoring	0490	<u> </u>
Thursday, September 25, 2014	A50, A51, A52, B54 B55	,							Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances							Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring		
Friday, September 26, 2014	A53, A54, B50, B51	,							Intensive	No					BP2WQ-		Operating	Intensive	BP2WQ-	
	B52, B53, C52, C53	3							Monitoring	Exceedances					0543		Normally	Monitoring	0492	
Saturday, September 27, 2014	A51, A52, A53, B52								Intensive	No							Oneration	Intensive	1	
Saturday, September 27, 2014	B53, B54	,							Monitoring	Exceedances							Operating Normally	Monitoring		
Sunday, September 28, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations			
	(Sunday)								N. 5. 1:								N. O. C			
Monday, September 29, 2014	No Dredging								No Dredging								No Operations			
Tuesday, September 30, 2014	A54, C53, C54								Limited								No Operations			
•									Dredging-No											
									Monitoring											
									Conducted											
Wednesday, October 01, 2014	A51, A52, A53, B49								Intensive	No							Operating	Intensive		
,	B50, C49, C50,	,							Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring		
	C51, C52, C53																			
Thursday, October 02, 2014	A50, A51, A54, A55								Intensive	No					BP2WQ-		Operating	Intensive	-	<u> </u>
Thursday, October 02, 2014	B49, B50, C49,	,							Monitoring	Exceedances					0559		Normally	Monitoring		
	C50, C51, C52,									Znooddanood					0000			leg		
	C53, C54																			
Friday, October 03, 2014	A52, A53, A54, B52	-							Intensive	No							Operating	Intensive		
	B53, B54, C53, C54	•							Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring		
Saturday, October 04, 2014	A51, A52, A53, B52	,							Intensive	No							No Operations			
	B53, C51, C52,								Monitoring	Exceedances										
	C53, C54, C55																			
Sunday, October 05, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations			
	(Sunday)																Тобрания			
Monday, October 06, 2014	No Dredging								No Dredging								No Operations			
Tuesday, October 07, 2014	A51, A52, A53, B50								Routine	No							Operating	Routine		
rucsuay, October 07, 2014	B51, B52, B53, B54								Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring		
	C52, C53, C54																			
Madagaday Ostabar 00 0044	A 4 4 A 4 5 D 40 D 40				ACQ DOQ				Dautin - N								Ongretie	Douting		
Wednesday, October 08, 2014	A44, A45, B48, B49 C48, C49, C50, C51				A63, B63				Routine-No Monitoring								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring-	1	
	5-10, 5-13, 550, 651								Conducted								Nonnany	No Sample		
																		Collection		
Thursday, October 09, 2014	A40, A41, A42, A43	,			A58, A59, A62				Routine	Turbidity					BP2WQ-		Operating	Routine		
Friday, October 10, 2014	A44, B45 A42, A43, A44, A45				A58				Monitoring Intensive	Exceedance No					02014		Normally Operating	Monitoring Routine		
1 Haay, October 10, 2014	C43, C44	,			AJO				Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring-		
	,								9									No Sample		
									<u> </u>	ļ				ļļ				Collection		<u> </u>
Saturday, October 11, 2014	A40, A41, B39, B40 B41, B42	,			A55-A57				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances							No Operations			
Sunday, October 12, 2014	No Work (Sunday)			+					No Dredging		<u> </u>		1	+ +			No Operations			
Monday, October 13, 2014	C48, C52, Slip 4				B56-B59				Intensive	Turbidity					BP2WQ-		Operating	Routine		
									Monitoring	Exceedance]				0607		Normally	Monitoring		

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

				Activity in Approval Units					Water 0	Quality Moni	toring of Dredgi	ing, Final Ba	ckfill, Sheetpile I	Removal		Dre	edge Return Wate	er Plant Water (Quality Monite	oring
		Initia	l Backfill	Intermediate Backfill	Final	Backfill		Dredge	Dredge Monitoring	Final	Final Backfill		Sheetpile Removal							
Date	Clamshell Dredging	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Other Activities	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Backfill Monitoring	Conventional Results	Sheetpile Removal	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments	Plant Operation	Monitoring (Activities	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments
Tuesday, October 14, 2014	Slip 4		+				Other Activities	Intensive	No	Monitoring	Results	Removal	Results	Allalyzeu	Comments	Operating	Routine	Nesuits	Allalyzeu	Comments
								Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Wednesday, October 15, 2014	No Dredging							No Dredging								No Operations				
Thursday, October 16, 2014	No Dredging			A55, B55				No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Friday, October 17, 2014	A44, A45, Slip 4			A52-A54				Intensive	No							Operating	Routine			
								Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Saturday, October 18, 2014	A41, A42, A43, A44,			A52-A54				Routine-No								No Operations	Collection			
,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	A50, B48, B49, C47							Monitoring												
Sunday, October 19, 2014	No Work							Conducted								No Operations				
Sunday, October 19, 2014	(Sunday)							No Dredging								No Operations				
Monday, October 20, 2014	A43, A44, A45, B40,			A52-A54				Routine-No								Operating	Routine			
	B41, B42, B43, B44,							Monitoring								Normally	Monitoring			
Tuesday, October 21, 2014	C44 A42, B48, B49, C47,			A53, Z56, Z60				Conducted Routine	No				+			Operating	Routine			
1400day, 0010001 21, 2011	C50, Slip 4			7,66, 266, 266				Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring-			
																	No Sample			
Wednesday, October 22, 2014	A38, A39, A40, A41		B52, B53					Routine-No								Operating	Collection Routine			
Wednesday, October 22, 2014	A30, A39, A40, A41		652, 653					Monitoring								Normally	Monitoring			
								Conducted								, i				
Thursday, October 23, 2014	A37, A38, A39, A40,		B53, C52,	B52, C52, C53				Intensive	Turbidity					BP2WQ-	Special Area	Operating	Intensive			Special Area
	A41		C53					Monitoring	Exceedance					0627, BP2WQ- 0636		Normally	Monitoring		0498	Data Log Faile
Friday, October 24, 2014	A39, A40, A41, A42		C54	B53, C54				Intensive	No							Operating	Intensive			
Saturday, October 25, 2014	A37, A38							Monitoring Intensive	Exceedances No					BP2WQ-	Special Area	Normally Operating	Monitoring Intensive		BP2WQ-	Special Area
Saturday, October 23, 2014	A37, A36							Monitoring	Exceedances					0656	Special Alea	Normally	Monitoring		0501	Special Alea
Sunday, October 26, 2014	No Work							No Dredging								No Operations				
Manday Ostahay 27, 2044	(Sunday)		+					Intensive	No					DDOMO	Forth Domestal	Oneretine	Intonoius			
Monday, October 27, 2014	Slip 4							Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					BP2WQ- 0657	Early Removal Area	Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Tuesday, October 28, 2014	A35, A36, B42, B43,							Intensive	No					0001	7 11 0 0	Plant	ince.		TSCA-GAC-1	Early Removal
	C41, C42, Slip 4							Monitoring	Exceedances							Recirculating				Area Recirculation Sample
Wednesday, October 29, 2014	A40, A41, A42, A43,							Intensive	No		1					Operating	Intensive		BP2WQ-	Early Removal
	C39, C40, C41							Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring		0502	Areas
Thursday, October 30, 2014	A39, B36, B37, B38, B39, B40		B54	B53, B54				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances							Plant Recirculating	Intensive Monitoring		TSCA-GAC-2	Early Removal Area Recirculation Sample
Friday, October 31, 2014	A38, A43, A44, B34,		A51, B51,	B52, Z51, A51				Intensive	No							Operating	Intensive			Campic
	B35, B36, C37, C38		Z51					Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring			
Saturday, November 01, 2014	No							No Dredging								Operating	Intensive			Early Removal
Sunday, November 02, 2014	Dredging No Work	1						No Dredging					+ +			Normally No Operations	Monitoring		0504	Area
Junuay, November 02, 2014	(Sunday)						1	140 Dreaging								140 Operations				

Page 2 of 12

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

			1	Activity i	in Approval Units			1		Water (Quality Monit	toring of Dredgi	ng, Final Ba	ckfill, Sheetpile	Removal	_	Dre	edge Return W	ater Plant Water	Quality Monit	oring
	Olement "	Initial Bac	:kfill	Intermedia	ate Backfill	Final E	3ackfill		Dredge	Dredge Monitoring	Final	Final Backfill	Charte "	Sheetpile Removal	0		Bl-	Mante	Comment	Commit	
Date	Clamshell Dredging	Aberdeen S	kookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Other Activities	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Backfill Monitoring	Conventional Results	Sheetpile Removal	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments	Plant Operation	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments
Monday, November 03, 2014	A38, A40, A41, A42,		50, C48-		B50			Other Activities	Routine-No	Results	Wildliftoring	Results	Kelliovai	Results	Allalyzeu	Comments	Operating	Intensive	Results	Allalyzeu	Comments
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	A43, A44, A45, B44, B45, C42, C43, C44		C51						Monitoring Conducted								Normally	Monitoring			
Tuesday, November 04, 2014	A38, A39, A40, A41, B41				A55, B55, C55				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					BP2WQ- 0688	Early Removal Area	Plant Recirculating			TSCA-GAC-3	Early Removal; Area Recirculation Sample
Wednesday, November 05, 2014	A35, A36, A37, A42, B41, B42, C40				A56, A58, B56, C56, C57				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances							Plant Recirculating			TSCA-GAC-4	Early Removal; Area Recirculation Sample
Thursday, November 06, 2014	A31, A32, A33, A34, A35, C39, C40, C41, C42				B53-B55, B57, B58, A54, A55, C54, C58				Routine–No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Friday, November 07, 2014	A30, A31, A32, A33, A34, A35, A36, A39				C52, C53, B52, B53, A52, A53				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					BP2WQ- 0702	Special Area	Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Saturday, November 08, 2014	A35, A36, A37, A38, A39, A40, C40, C41, C42								Routine–No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Sunday, November 09, 2014	No Work (Sunday)								No Dredging								No Operations				
Monday, November 10, 2014	A34, A35, A36, A37, A38, B34, B35, B36, C52								Routine–No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Tuesday, November 11, 2014	A29, A30, A31, A32, A33, B33, B34		Z43						Routine Monitoring	No Exceedances							Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Wednesday, November 12, 2014	A28, A33, A34, A35, B30, B31, B32, B33		Z42, Z41		Z41-Z43				Routine–No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Thursday, November 13, 2014	A31, A32, A33, B28, B29, B30, B31, B32, B33, C35, C36		A42, A43		A43, Z41				Routine Monitoring	No Exceedances							No Operations				
Friday, November 14, 2014	A33, A34, B28, B29, B30, B31, C33, C34, C35		A41		A41-A43				Routine–No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Saturday, November 15, 2014	No Dredging								No Dredging								Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Sunday, November 16, 2014	No Work (Sunday)								No Dredging								Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Monday, November 17, 2014	A32, A33, A34, B31, B32, B33, B34, C32, C33				A41-A43, Z41- Z43				Routine–No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Tuesday, November 18, 2014	A30, A31, A32, C29, C30, C31, C38, C39, C40, C41								Routine Monitoring	No Exceedances							Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Wednesday, November 19, 2014	A31, A33, A34, A37, A39, B32, C37, C39, C41, Slip 4				A54-A56, B52- B56, C52, C53, C55, C56				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances						Early Removal Areas	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Thursday, November 20, 2014	No Dredging				A59-A61, B54, B59, B60, C53- C55, C59-C61				No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Friday, November 21, 2014	No Dredging				A54-A61, C53, Z54-Z61				No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

				Activity in Approval U	its			Water 0	Quality Monitoring	of Dredgin	g, Final Bac	kfill, Sheetpile	Removal		Dre	dge Return W	ater Plant Water	Quality Monito	oring
		Initial Backfil		Intermediate Backfill	Final Backfill		Dredge	Dredge Monitoring		I Backfill	<u> </u>	Sheetpile Removal							
Data	Clamshell	Aberdeen Skoo		erdeen Skookun	Aberdeen Skookum	Other Activities	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results			Sheetpile	Conventional	Samples	Comments	Plant	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Samples	C
Date Saturday, November 22, 2014	Dredging No	/ABGIGGOII GROC	7,50	A51, A52, A		Other Activities	No Dredging	Results	Monitoring R	esults	Removal	Results	Analyzed	Comments	Operation Operating	Routine	Results	Analyzed	Comments
, , ,	Dredging			A55, A59, A B51, C51, C Z54-Z59, Z	0,										Normally	Monitoring			
Sunday, November 23, 2014	No Work (Sunday)						No Dredging								No Operations				1
Monday, November 24, 2014	A28, A29, A30, A34, A37, A39, C31, C32, C33, C34, C35, C36, C37, C41, Slip 4			A62-A64, B64	2-		Routine–No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Tuesday, November 25, 2014	A28, A29, A37, A38, A50, C28, C29, C30, C45	A32,		A65, B64-B B67, C66-C			Routine Monitoring	No Exceedances							Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Wednesday, November 26, 2014	No Work						No Dredging								No Operations				
Thursday, November 27, 2014	No Work						No Dredging								No Operations				
Friday, November 28, 2014	No Work						No Dredging								No Operations				
Saturday, November 29, 2014	No Work						No Dredging								No Operations				
Sunday, November 30, 2014	No Work (Sunday)						No Dredging								No Operations				
Monday, December 01, 2014	A77, A78, B75	A32- Z32- Z3	Z34-	Z37-Z39			Limited Dredging-No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Tuesday, December 02, 2014	A75, A76	A35- Z38,		A33, A34, Z	0		Limited Dredging-No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Wednesday, December 03, 2014	A78, A79, A80, B74, B79	A38- Z38-		A34-A39, B Z33, Z34, Z Z39, Z40			Limited Dredging-No Monitoring Conducted								Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring		0517	Early Removal Area and Special Areas
Thursday, December 04, 2014	A71, A72, B73, B74, C28, C29, C47	A28, B33- Z28	B38,	A28, A29, Z Z32	1,		Routine Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					BP2WQ- 0717 BP2WQ- 0722		Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Friday, December 05, 2014	A49, A50, A69, A70, A71, C28, C45, C46, C47	A30, A32,		A30, A31, A Z31, Z32	2,		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					-		Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring		0519	Early Removal Area and Special Areas
Saturday, December 06, 2014	A74, A75, A76, A77, A78, A79			A36, A37, A A39, A40, A Z36, Z37, Z Z39, Z40, Z	1, 8,		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					BP2WQ- 0736 BP2WQ- 0734 BP2WQ- 0733 BP2WQ- 0739 BP2WQ- 0740	Special Area	No Operations				<u> </u>
Sunday, December 07, 2014	No Work (Sunday)						No Dredging								No Operations				

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

				Activity i	in Approval Units					Water 0	Quality Moni	toring of Dredgi	ng, Final Ba	ckfill, Sheetpile	Removal		Dre	dge Return Wa	ter Plant Water	Quality Monito	ring
		Initial E	Backfill	Intermedia	ata Backfill	Final E	Rackfill		Dredge	Dredge Monitoring	Final	Final Backfill		Sheetpile Removal							
Date	Clamshell	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Other Activities	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Backfill Monitoring	Conventional Results	Sheetpile Removal	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments	Plant Operation	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments
Monday, December 08, 2014	Dredging A75, A76, A77, A78,		B30-B32		A28-A41, B32,			Other Activities	Intensive	No	Worldoning	Results	Removal	Results	Analyzeu	Special Area	Operating	Intensive	Results	Analyzeu	Comments
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	A79, B72, B73, B74, B75				Z30, Z36, Z37, Z40				Monitoring	Exceedances							Normally	Monitoring			
Tuesday, December 09, 2014	A45, A71, A72, A73, A74, C44, C45, C46				A28-A40, Z30, Z31, Z33, Z34				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances							Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Wednesday, December 10, 2014	A69, A75, A76, A77, A78, A79, B72, B73, B74		B28, B29, B39-B41		A28, A29, A32, A35, A38, A39, A41, B32-B34, Z31-Z33, Z39- Z41				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					BP2WQ- 0764	Special Area	Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Thursday, December 11, 2014	A48, A78, A79, B69, B70, B77, B78, C68				B55, B56, C54- C57				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances						Special Area	Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Friday, December 12, 2014	A76, B71, B72, B73, B74, B75, B76, B77				A66, B59-B66, C62-C67, Z57- Z64, Z66				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					BP2WQ- 0775 BP2WQ- 0777	Special Area	Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Saturday, December 13, 2014	A71, A72, A73, A74, A75, A76, B71, B72, B73, B74, B75, B76, B78				A28-A36, A38- A41, B28, B30- B34, B39-B41, Z31-Z34, Z36- Z42				No Daylight Dredging, No Monitoring Conducted						0111	Limited Dredge Operations	No Operations				
Sunday, December 14, 2014	No Work (Sunday)				LTZ				No Dredging								No Operations				
Monday, December 15, 2014	A71, A72, A74, A75, A76, B74, B75, B77, B78			A55, A56, A57, A58, A60, A61, A62	A28, A30-A35, A37-A42, B28- B30, B35-B38, B40-B42, C28, C56-C59, Z31,				No Daylight Dredging, No Monitoring Conducted							Limited Dredge Operations	No Operations				
Tuesday, December 16, 2014	A69, A70, A72, B70, B71, B73, C68, C69		Slip 4		Z32, Z34 A59-A61, B54- B56, B59-B61, B66, C60, C61, C64-C66, Slip 4				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances						pH probe malfunction	Operating Normally	Intensive Monitoring			
Wednesday, December 17, 2014	A45, A68, A69, A70, A71, A73, A75, B68, B69, B75, C29, C30, C31, C33, C34, C36, C37, C69, C70				A52-A55, A62- A65, B52-B54, B62-B65, C53- C56, C58, Z63- Z65, Slip 4				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances						pH probe malfunction	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Thursday, December 18, 2014	A68, A69, A71, B68, B69, B70, C68, C69		A78-A80, B79, B80	A56	A60, A61, A78- A80, B59-B61, B79, B80, Z60				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances						pH probe malfunction	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Friday, December 19, 2014	A68, A69, B45, B46, B77, B78, C36, C44		C28-C30,	A53, A54, A55, A56, A57, A58, A59, A61, A62, A63, A64, A65	A41, A77-A80, B41, B42, C29, Z78, Z79				Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances							Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Saturday, December 20, 2014	No Dredging		C31-C36,	A63, A64, A65, B64, B65, B66, B74, C57, C58, C59, C60, C61, C62, C63	Z75, Z76				No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Sunday, December 21, 2014	No Dredging		A70-A75, B78, C74, Z70-Z74	A39, A40, A41, A42, B33, C29, C30, C31, C32	A70-A74, Z70, Z72				No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		Clamshell Dredging Activity in Approval Units Initial Backfill Intermediate Backfill Final Backfill Skookum Aberdeen Skookum Aberdeen Sko								Water (Quality Moni	toring of Dredgi	ing, Final Ba	kfill, Sheetpile F	Removal		Dre	dge Return Wa	ter Plant Water	Quality Monite	oring
		Initial	Backfill	Intermedia	ite Backfill	Final	Backfill		Dredge	Dredge Monitoring	Final	Final Backfill		Sheetpile Removal							
Date							Skookum	Other Activities	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Backfill Monitoring	Conventional Results	Sheetpile Removal	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments	Plant Operation	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments
Monday, December 22, 2014	No		B70-B73,		Z70-Z77, A72-			Other Activities	No Dredging	Results	Wormtorning	Results	Kemovai	Results	Analyzea	Comments	No Operations	Activities	Results	Analyzea	Oomments
Tuesday December 22, 2014	Dredging		C70		A76, B74				No Deceleios					-			No Operations	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
Tuesday, December 23, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Wednesday, December 24, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Thursday, December 25, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Friday, December 26, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Saturday, December 27, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Sunday, December 28, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Monday, December 29, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Tuesday, December 30, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Wednesday, December 31, 2014	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Thursday, January 01, 2015	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations	+			
Friday, January 02, 2015	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations				
Saturday, January 03, 2015	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations	-			
Sunday, January 04, 2015	No Work								No Dredging								No Operations	+			
Monday, January 05, 2015	No		A68, Z68,		A68, B31, C27-			Hydraulic	No Dredging								Operating	Routine			
Tuesday, January 06, 2015	Dredging		Z69 A67-A70,	B74, B75 A31, A32, A33,	C32, Z68, Z69 A69, C36-C41,			Dredging Hydraulic	Intensive	No						Hydraulic Dredge	Normally Operating	Monitoring Routine			
, ,			B67-B69, C68, C69, Z68, Z69	A34, A35, A36, A37, A38, A39, A40, A73, B70, B71, B72, B74	C68			Dredging	Monitoring	Exceedances						Monitoring	Normally	Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Wednesday, January 07, 2015	No Dredging		B68, B69	A70, A71, A72, A73, A74, B72	A52, A53, B52, B53, B67-B69,			Hydraulic Dredging	No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Thursday, January 08, 2015			C42	A52, A53, A55, B53, B54, B56, B57, C51, C53, C54, C56, C57	C52, C53 A67, A69, A70, B27, B28, B32, B38, B41, B67- B69, C28, C29, C31-C33, C36- C41, Z69			Hydraulic Dredging	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances						Hydraulic Dredge Monitoring- Additional Monitoring During Hydraulic Dredging Not Required	Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Friday, January 09, 2015				A73, A79, A80, B72, B73, B74, B78, B79, B80	A67-A71, A73, B67-B69, B73, C48-C50, C67, C68, Z71, Z73, Z76			Hydraulic Dredging	No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Saturday, January 10, 2015	No Dredging		B77	A60, A61, A62, A63, A64, A65, A66, A73, A74, A75, B55, B56, B57, B59, B68, C52, C53, C54,	A69-A71, A75- A79, B69, B75- B79, C67-C70, Z58, Z69-Z71, Z76, Z79	C46, C47		Hydraulic Dredging	No Dredging								No Operations				

Page 6 of 12

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

				Activity i	n Approval Units					Water	Quality Monit	oring of Dredgi	ing, Final Ba	ckfill, Sheetpile	Removal		Dre	dge Return Water Plant Water	Quality Monite	oring
	Clamshell	Initial Aberdeen	Backfill Skookum	Intermedia Aberdeen	ite Backfill Skookum	Final Aberdeen	Backfill Skookum		Dredge Monitoring	Dredge Monitoring Conventional	Final Backfill	Final Backfill Conventional	Sheetpile		Samples		Plant	Monitoring Conventional	Samples	
Date Sunday, January 11, 2015	Dredging No Work	Aberdeen	SKOOKUIII	Aberdeen	SKOOKUIII	Aberdeen	SKOOKUIII	Other Activities		Results	Monitoring	Results	Removal	Results	Analyzed	Comments	Operation No Operations	Activities Results	Analyzed	Comments
Sunday, January 11, 2015	No Work (Sunday)								No Dredging								No Operations			
Monday, January 12, 2015	(Gariady)			A29, A80, B29,	A10, A17, A21,			Hydraulic	No Dredging								Operating	Routine		
				B30, B31, B32,	A5, A6, A66-A71,			Dredging									Normally	Monitoring		
				B34, B35, B36,	A9, B14-B21,															
				B37, B38, B40,	B66-B72, C16,															
				B75, B76, B77, C33, C37	C17, C19, C20, C66, C68, C69,															
				033, 037	Z67-Z71															
Tuesday, January 13, 2015				A72, A73, A75,	A16-A18, A21,			Hydraulic	No Dredging								Operating	Routine		
				A76, A77, A78,	A69-A71, B14,			Dredging									Normally	Monitoring-		
				A79, A80, B74,	B15, B17, B19,													No Sample		
				B75, B76, B77,	B24-B28, B60-													Collection		
				B78, B79	B63, B67-B70, C17, C21, C22,															
					C24, C26, C55,															
					C56, C64, C65,															
					C67, Z70, Z71															
Wednesday, January 14, 2015				A53, A59, A60,	A15, A17, A18,			Hydraulic	No Dredging								Operating	Routine		
				A61, A62, A63,	A21, A27, A29,			Dredging									Normally	Monitoring		
				A64, A65, A66, A67, A68, A71,	A31, A33, A34, A38, A39, A41,															
				A72, A73, A74,	B16, B17, B20,															
				A75, A76, A79,	B21, B26-B33,															
				A80, B52, B53,	B35, B37-B42,															
				B54, B55, B56,	C16, C17, C19,															
				B57, B58, B63,	C26, C27, C29,															
				B64, B65, B66, B67, B71, B72,	C31, C34, C40- C42, Z33, Z37-															
				B73, B74, B76,	Z42															
				B77, B78, B79,																
				C54, C55, C57,																
				C58, C63, C64,																
Thursday, January 15, 2015	A45, C44			C66, C67, C68	A5, A6, A17,			Removing sandy	Limited								Operating	Routine		
Thursday, barraary 13, 2015	7140, 044				A18, A29, A32,			gravel from A68,									Normally	Monitoring-		
					A33, A37, A39,			A69, B70, B72,	Monitoring									No Sample		
					A40, A41, A59-			B77 for Outfall Z,	Conducted									Collection		
					A67, A69-A71,			Hydraulic												
					A75-A78, B14,			Dredging												
					B19, B21, B24- B26, B29, B30,															
					B32-B38, B41,]	1
					B55-B59, B61-]	1
					B63, B67-B69,]	1
					B73-B80, C27,]	1
					C30-C32, C38,															
				1	C56, C67-C69, Z42, Z59, Z63-														1	1
					Z42, Z59, Z63- Z68, Z76, Z78,]	1
					Z79, Z76, Z78,			I	I				1	1					I	İ

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

				Activity	in Approval Units				Water (Quality Moni	toring of Dredgi	ng, Final Ba	ckfill, Sheetpile	Removal		Dre	dge Return Wa	ater Plant Water	Quality Monito	oring
	Clamshell	Initial	Backfill	Intermedi	ate Backfill	Final Backfill		Dredge Monitoring	Dredge Monitoring Conventional	Final Backfill	Final Backfill	Sheetpile	Sheetpile Removal Conventional	Samples		Plant	Monitoring	Conventional	Samples	
Date	Dredging	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen Skookum	Other Activities	Activities	Results	Monitoring		Removal	Results	Analyzed	Comments	Operation	Activities	Results	Analyzed	Comments
Friday, January 16, 2015	Dicaging			B72	A37, A43, A51,		Removing	No Dredging	resures	Monitoring	resuits	Kemovai	Results	Analyzea	Comments	Operating	Routine	Results	Analyzeu	Comments
					A53-A58, A60, A62, A64-A66, A69-A72, A74, A78, A79, B42, B43, B51, B52, B54, B55, B58- B60, B65, B66, B69, B70, B72, B73, B78, B79,		gravelly sand from A56, A57, A58, B72, B77 fo Outfall Z and Backfill Maintenance, Hydraulic Dredging									Normally	Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
					C39, C51, C53- C61, C65-C67, C69, Z64, Z65, Z67-Z69															
Saturday, January 17, 2015					A77, B77		Backfill maintenance in A54, A55, A61, A62, B53, C64, Hydraulic	No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Sunday, January 18, 2015	No Work (Sunday)						Dredging	No Dredging								No Operations				
Monday, January 19, 2015	(Sunday)				A43, A51, A52, A54, A55, A62, A66, A68-A75,		Backfill maintenance and Outfall Z and	No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
					B43, B51, B52, B55, B62, B70, B74, C39, C51, C52, Z60, Z69, Z70		South Outfall work in A60, A61 A62, A64, A65, A67, A68, A69, A71, B70, B71, B72, B77, Hydraulic Dredging	,												
Tuesday, January 20, 2015					A43, A51, A55- A57, A59-A65, A67, A69, A70, A76, B60, B62- B65, B68, B70, B71, B75, B76, B78, C60, C61, C64, Z70		Backfill maintenance and South Outfall work in A57, A68 A69, A70, B72, C62, C63, C64, C65, Hydraulic Dredging									Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Wednesday, January 21, 2015						A64, A65, A66, A67 A67, B60, B61, C C62, Z61-Z	7- maintenance in			Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Thursday, January 22, 2015						A63, A64, A65, A66, A67 B57, B61-B C56, C57, C C64, Z61, Z	6, Backfill 3, maintenance in 62- A55, A56,	No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Friday, January 23, 2015						A57, A58, A59, A60, B58, B59, B60 B67, C62, C C68	55- Backfill	No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

				Activity in	n Approval Units	3				Water	Quality Monit	oring of Dredgi	ng, Final Ba	ckfill, Sheetpile F	Removal		Dre	dge Return Water Plant Water	Quality Monito	oring
		Initial	Backfill	Intermedia	te Backfill	Final I	Backfill		Dredge	Dredge Monitoring	Final	Final Backfill		Sheetpile Removal						
Data	Clamshell	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Other Astivities	Monitoring Activities	Conventional	Backfill	Conventional	Sheetpile	Conventional	Samples	Comments	Plant	Monitoring Conventional Activities Results	Samples	C
Date Saturday, January 24, 2015	Dredging	71.001.00011	-	7130.0001	B76, B77	B63, B64, B65,	A56-A59, B57,	Other Activities Backfill	No Dredging	Results	Monitoring Intensive	Results Turbidity	Removal	Results	Analyzed	Comments	Operation Operating	Activities Results Routine	Analyzed	Comments
Catalogy, Sandary 2 1, 2010					510, 511	B66, B67	B58, B61, C56- C67	maintenance in A55, A56, Hydraulic Dredging	The Broading		Monitoring	Exceedance					Normally	Monitoring- No Sample Collection		
Sunday, January 25, 2015	No Work (Sunday)								No Dredging								No Operations			
Monday, January 26, 2015	B72					A62, A63, B62, B64, B65, B66, B67	A55-A61, B54- B61, C55-C57, Z54-Z56	Hydraulic Dredging	Limited Dredging-No Monitoring Conducted		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring		
Tuesday, January 27, 2015	B72					A61, A62, A63, A64, A65, A66, A67, B63, B64, B65, C63, C64, C65, C66	A55-A60, B55, B57-B60, B77, C56-C62, Z55- Z57, Z59, Z60	Hydraulic Dredging	Limited Dredging-No Monitoring Conducted		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection		
Wednesday, January 28, 2015	A78, B72					A74, A75, A76, A77, A78, A79, A80, B75, B76, B78, B79, B80	A55, A56, A60, A61, B55-B57, B61, C55-C59, C62-C68, Z55, Z56, Z60, Z61	Hydraulic Dredging	Limited Dredging-No Monitoring Conducted		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring		
Thursday, January 29, 2015				C69	B72, B77	A68, A69, A70, A76, A79, A80, B68, B69, B75, B77, B78, B79, B80, C68	A72-A75, B73- B75, Z72, Z73	Hydraulic Dredging	No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection		
Friday, January 30, 2015				B72, B74, B75, B76, B77		A71, A72, A73, B69, B70, B71, B73, B74, B75, C68, C70	A52-A54, B53, B54, C53-C55, Z52-Z54	Hydraulic Dredging	No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection		
Saturday, January 31, 2015	A48, A50, B46, B47					A68, B72, C68, C69, C70	A52-A56, B53- B56, C52-C55, Z53-Z56	Hydraulic Dredging	Limited Dredging-No Monitoring Conducted		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection		
Sunday, February 01, 2015	No Work (Sunday)								No Dredging								No Operations			
Monday, February 02, 2015	(Cartes),					A36, A37, A38, A39, A40, B35, B36, B37, B38, B39, B40, C39, C40	A31-A35, A71, A72, A74, A75, A77, B30-B34, B70-B78, C30, C65-C70, C78, Z31-Z34	Hydraulic Dredging	No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring		
Tuesday, February 03, 2015					B72	A28, A29, A30, B28, B29, B30, C32, C33, C34, C35, C36, C37, C38	A34-A37, A71, A73-A75, A77- A79, B32, B36, B71-B75, B77- B79, C32, Z35, Z36, Z38-Z41, Z72-Z79	Hydraulic Dredging	No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection		
Wednesday, February 04, 2015	A29, A45, B46, C48					A26, A27, A35, A36, A37, B26, B27, B28, B33, B34, C31, C32	A54-A57, A65, A69, A71, B52- B71, C52-C70,	Hydraulic Dredging	Limited Dredging-No Monitoring Conducted		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring		

Page 9 of 12

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

Date Thursday, February 05, 2015	Clamshell																				
	Ciamsneii	Initial E	Backfill	Intermedi	ate Backfill	Final E	Backfill		Dredge	Dredge Monitoring	Final	Final Backfill	Observite	Sheetpile Removal	0		Plant	Manifestor 0		0	
	Dredging	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Other Activities	Monitoring Activities	Conventional Results	Backfill Monitoring	Conventional Results	Sheetpile Removal	Conventional Results	Samples Analyzed	Comments	Plant Operation	Monitoring C Activities	Conventional Results	Samples	Comments
Thursday, 1 cordary 05, 2015	Dreaging					A22, A23, A24,	A56-A68, A70-	Hydraulic	No Dredging	Results	Intensive	Turbidity	Removai	Results	Analyzeu	Comments	Operation	Routine	Results	Analyzed	Comments
l I						A25, A26, A27,	A76, B54-B59,	Dredging	140 Dicaging		Monitoring	Exceedance					Normally	Monitoring-			
						A29, B22, B23,	B67-B69, C53,	2.0099			eg	2/0000441100						No Sample			
						B24, B25, C26,	C55, C58, C64-											Collection			
						C27, C28, C29,	C68, Z57-Z75														
						C30, C39					L							 _ _ _ _ _			
Friday, February 06, 2015						A16, A17, A18,	A19-A21, A29-	Hydraulic	No Dredging		Intensive	No					Operating	Routine			
						A19, A24, A25, A31, A32, A33,	A31, B20-B23, B28-B32, C20-	Dredging			Monitoring	Exceedances					Normally	Monitoring- No Sample			
						A31, A32, A33, A34, A77, A78,	C23, C29-C31,											Collection			
						A79, A80, B18,	Z19-Z21											Collocation			
						B80, C24, C25,	2.0 22.														
						C26															
Saturday, February 07, 2015						A13, A14, A16,	A26, A28-A31,	Backfill	No Dredging		Intensive	No					Operating	Routine			
						C16, C17, C18,	A38-A40, B20,	maintenance in			Monitoring	Exceedances					Normally	Monitoring-			
						C19	B21, B26-B34,	A35, A36,										No Sample			
							B38-B40, C26- C34, Z38, Z39	Hydraulic Dredging										Collection			
Sunday, February 08, 2015	No Work						004, 200, 200	Dicaging	No Dredging								No Operations				
-	(Sunday)																·				
Monday, February 09, 2015	A44, A45					A9, A21, A22,	A10-A20, B13-	Hydraulic	Limited		Intensive	No					Operating	Routine			
						A24, A25, A26,	B20, C13-C21,	Dredging	Dredging-No		Monitoring	Exceedances					Normally	Monitoring			
						A27, A28, A29,	Z9-Z13		Monitoring												
						A30, A31, A32, A33, A34, A35,			Conducted												
						A36, A37, A38,															
						A39, A40, B22,															
						B23, B24, B26,															
						B27, B28, B29,															
						B30, B32, B34,															
						B35, B40, C22,															
Tuesday 5-h	01:- 4 440					C23, C32	A.F. A.4.0. D.O. D.4.0.	I le cales cult a	No Doubledot		lata a si sa	NI-				NI:	0	Davidia			
Tuesday, February 10, 2015	Slip 4, A49					A3, A34, A35, A36, A37, B1, B2	A5-A16, B6-B18, C15-C18, Z4-	Hydraulic Dredging	No Daylight Dredging, No		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances				Nighttime Dredging in Slip 4	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring-			
						A30, A31, B1, B2	Z10, Z13, Z17-	Dieaging	Monitoring		Wormoning	Lxceedances				Dieaging in Slip 4	Normany	No Sample			
							Z19		Conducted									Collection			
Wednesday, February 11, 2015	Slip 4		A44-A46,		B48-B50, C49,	B5, B6, B7, B8,			No Daylight		Intensive	No				Nighttime	Operating	Routine			
			B44-B46,		C50	B11, B12, B13,			Dredging, No		Monitoring	Exceedances				Dredging in Slip 4	Normally	Monitoring			
			B49, C43,			B14, B15, B17,			Monitoring												
			C44, Z44,			C20, C21, C22,			Conducted												
Thursday, February 12, 2015	Slip 4	+	Z45 A48, B47,	A45, B45	A48, B47-B49,	C23	A3, A4, A19-A21,		No Daylight		Intensive	No	1			Nighttime	Operating	Routine			+
	- qÇ		B48, C47,	7, 10, 540	C48	1	A48, B4, B19-		Dredging, No			Exceedances				Dredging in Slip 4		Monitoring-			
			C48				B21, B47-B49,		Monitoring								,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	No Sample			
							C26-C35, C47,		Conducted									Collection			
							C48, Z4-Z7														
Friday, Fahryan, 40, 0045	Cli- 4		040 047	A 4.4	D47 D40 O40	-	A4 A42 A22 E4		Inter-Sec	N1 -	lintari - 1: · ·	Troub 1-06				Olin 4 Dun dudin -	Operation	Douting			
Friday, February 13, 2015	Slip 4		C46, C47	A44	B47, B48, C46, C47	1	A1-A13, A80, B1- B4, B6, B8-B10,		Intensive	No	Intensive	Turbidity				Slip 4 Dredging and Final Backfill	Operating	Routine			
					C47		B13, Z1-Z4, Z7-		Monitoring (partial	Exceedances	Monitoring	Exceedance				Monitoring	Normally	Monitoring- No Sample			
						1	Z13		round)							iviorino inig		Collection			
Saturday, February 14, 2015	Slip 4			A44, B45	B47, B48, C46-				No Daylight		Intensive	Turbidity				Nighttime	No Operations				
	•			Ť	C48				Dredging, No		Monitoring	Exceedance				Dredging in Slip 4					
						1			Monitoring		(Intermediat					Monitoring of					
						1			Conducted		e Backfill)					Intermediate					
						1										Backfill					
l I												I				Placement					1

Page 10 of 12

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

				Activity i	n Approval Units					Water (Quality Moni	toring of Dredgi	ing, Final Ba	kfill, Sheetpile	Removal		Dre	dge Return Wa	ater Plant Water	Quality Monito	oring
		Initial	Backfill	Intermedia	ite Backfill	Final B	tackfill		Dredge	Dredge Monitoring	Final	Final Backfill		Sheetpile Removal							
Date:	Clamshell	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Other Assistation	Monitoring	Conventional	Backfill	Conventional	Sheetpile	Conventional	Samples	0	Plant	Monitoring	Conventional	Samples	0
Date Sunday, February 15, 2015	Dredging Slip 4	Abciaccii	OKOOKuiii	Aberacen	OKOOKum	Aberacen	OKOOKUIII	Other Activities	Activities No Dredging	Results	Monitoring	Results	Removal	Results	Analyzed	Comments	Operation No Operations	Activities	Results	Analyzed	Comments
Guriday, 1 cordary 10, 2010	Onp 4								No Breaging								No operations				
Monday, February 16, 2015	Slip 4			A43, A44, C45, C47, C48			A2, Z2, Z3		No Daylight Dredging, No Monitoring Conducted		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances				Nighttime Dredging in Slip 4	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Tuesday, February 17, 2015	Slip 4						A4, A6-A11, A14-A17, A20, A22-A23, A29, A30, A37, A38, A40, B4, B7, B8, B11, B16, B17, B35, B38, C15, C34, C67-C69, Z5-Z7, Z9-Z11, Z14-Z20, Z39, Z36-Z39		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					BP2WQ- 0814 BP2WQ- 0817		Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Wednesday, February 18, 2015	Slip 4				A48-A51, B48- B51, C47-C50, Z50, Z51		Z41-43, A41, A42, Z42, C60, C61, C63, C64		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					BP2WQ- 0822 BP2WQ- 0825		Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Thursday, February 19, 2015	Slip 4			B46	Z50, Z51, A49- A51, B49, B50, C49		A41, A42, Z42, C57-C60, C62, C63, C65, C66		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances			3020		Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Friday, February 20, 2015	Slip 4				A44, A45, B44, B45, B48, C47- C49		A49-A52, B50- B52, Z49-Z52, Z80, C49-C54		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Saturday, February 21, 2015	Slip 4						A50-A52, B49- B51, C50, C51, Z49-Z51		Limited Dredging								No Operations	Collection			
Sunday, February 22, 2015	No Work						240 201		No Dredging								No Operations				
Monday, February 23, 2015	(Sunday) Dredging Completed	Slip 4	Slip 4	Slip 4	Slip 4		A50-A53, B50- B54, C50-C52, Z50-Z53, Z80, Z81		No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Tuesday, February 24, 2015		Slip 4	Slip 4	B46, B47, B48, Slip 4	Slip 4		201		No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring (Intermedia e Backfill)	Turbidity Exceedance				Monitoring of Intermediate Backfill Placement Conducted	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Wednesday, February 25, 2015				A45, A48, B43, B44, B47, C46, Slip 4		Slip 4	Slip 4		No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances				Conducted	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Thursday, February 26, 2015				B46, C46	Slip 4	Slip 4, A43, A44	Slip 4		No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance					Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Friday, February 27, 2015				B49, C45, C46, Slip 4		B41, C41, C42, Slip 4			No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances		Slip 4 Sheet Pile Removal & Final Backfilling	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Saturday, February 28, 2015						A43, A44, A45, B42, B43, C43, C44, C45, Slip 4			No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	Turbidity Exceedance	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances		Slip 4 Sheet Pile Removal & Final Backfilling	No Operations				

SCHEDULE OF DREDGING, BACKFILLING, AND WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project Boeing Plant 2 Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

				Activity i	n Approval Units	3				Water 0	Quality Monit	toring of Dredg	ing, Final Ba	ckfill, Sheetpile	Removal	1	Dree	dge Return Wa	ter Plant Water (Quality Monito	ring
	Clamshell		Backfill	Intermedia		Final B			Dredge Monitoring	Dredge Monitoring Conventional	Final Backfill	Final Backfill Conventional	Sheetpile		Samples		Plant		Conventional	Samples	
Date	Dredging	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Aberdeen	Skookum	Other Activities		Results	Monitoring	Results	Removal	Results	Analyzed	Comments	Operation	Activities	Results	Analyzed	Comments
Sunday, March 01, 2015	No Work (Sunday)								No Dredging								No Operations				<u> </u>
Monday, March 02, 2015						A47, B46, B47, B51, C46, C50, C51			No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances		Slip 4 Sheet Pile Removal & Final Backfilling	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Tuesday, March 03, 2015				C41		B40, B41, B42, B43, B44, B45, B46, C41, C43, C50			No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances		Slip 4 Sheet Pile Removal & Final Backfilling	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Wednesday, March 04, 2015						A48, B40, B42, B47, B48, B49, B54, B55, C47, C48, C49, C50, C51, C52, C53, C54			No Dredging		Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances	Intensive Monitoring	No Exceedances		Slip 4 Sheet Pile Removal & Final Backfilling	Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring			
Thursday, March 05, 2015					Slip 4	0.04			No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Friday, March 06, 2015						A55, B36, B38, B39, B41, B42, B53, B55, B57, C48, C49			No Dredging								Operating Normally	Routine Monitoring- No Sample Collection			
Saturday, March 07, 2015									No Dredging								Operation Completed			ĺ	 [
Sunday, March 08, 2015	No Work (Sunday)								No Dredging								No Operations				
Monday, March 09, 2015	, , , ,			B45, Slip 4					No Dredging								No Operations				
Tuesday, March 10, 2015									No Dredging								No Operations				
Wednesday, March 11, 2015									No Dredging								No Operations				
Thursday, March 12, 2015					Slip 4				No Dredging								No Operations				

Abbreviation(s)
DRW = dredge return water FB = filter blank FD = field duplicate

NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit RB = rinsate blank

Page 12 of 12 CMI Table 2 Scehdule_100915

Note(s)

1. Routine monitoring requirements for the Dredge Return Water processing plant included monitoring twice a week on non-consecutive days. Turbidity of a composite water sample collected over the time period that the system was discharging would be used to determine a turbidity exceedance. Boeing conducted daily monitoring of the DRW plant discharge. Water samples were collected twice a week on non-consecutive days and discarded if turbidity was less than 5 NTUs.

SETTLING BASIN PIEZOMETER MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

Date	9/8/201	4	9/15/20	14	9/22/20	14	10/1/20)14	10/7/20	14	10/13/20	14	10/20/20	14	10/27/20)14	11/3/20	ນ14
Time	12:08		16:30)	14:15		13:3	5	17:00		11:58		8:47		16:57	,	11:1	0
Basin Staff Gauge	0.19		0.15		0.47		0.42		1		0.6		0.99		0.15		1.22	2
Cumulative Rain During CS3 (in.)	0		0		0		0.33		0.33		0.33		0.98		3.89		5.82	2
Monitoring Point ID	Depth (ft btoc)	Elevation (ft MLLW)																
MP1	ND	ND																
MP2	ND	ND																
MP3	ND	ND																
MP4	ND	ND																
MP5	ND	ND																
MP6	ND	ND																
MP7	ND	ND																
MP8	ND	ND	4.45	19.708	ND	ND	4.67	19.488										
	Depth		Depth		Depth		Depth		Depth		Depth		Depth		Depth		Depth	
	(ft above	Elevation																
	ground surface)	`	ground surface)	(ft MLLW)	ground surface)	(ft MLLW)	ground surface	(ft MLLW)	ground surface)		ground surface)	(ft MLLW)	ground surface)	(ft MLLW)	ground surface)		ground surface	(ft MLLW)
MP1 Staff Gauge	0	ND																
MP2 Staff Gauge	0	ND																
MP7 Staff Gauge	0	ND	0.01	19.88	0.04	19.91	0.04	19.91	0.04	19.91								
MP8 Staff Gauge	0	ND	0.03	19.94	0.02	19.93	0.03	19.94										

Date	11/10/20)14	11/17/20)14	11/24/20	014	12/2/20	14	12/8/20	14	12/16/20)14	12/22/20	15	12/29/20)15	1/5/201	15
Time	13:21		10:20		17:40)	10:32	:	9:31		13:20		8:52		8:04		17:35	5
Basin Staff Gauge	0.78		0.65		0.33		0.96		1.08		0.84		80.0		0.51		0.59	
Cumulative Rain During CS3 (in.)	7.03		7.03		8.27		10.11		10.53		12.24	ļ	13.8		13.94	ļ	14.25	;
Monitoring Point ID	Depth (ft btoc)	Elevation (ft MLLW)																
MP1	ND	ND																
MP2	ND	ND																
MP3	ND	ND																
MP4	ND	ND																
MP5	ND	ND																
MP6	ND	ND																
MP7	ND	ND																
MP8	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	4.70	19.458	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	4.64	19.518
Staff Gauge ID	Depth (ft above ground surface)	Elevation (ft MLLW)	Depth (ft above ground surface)	Elevation) (ft MLLW)														
MP1 Staff Gauge	0	ND																
MP2 Staff Gauge	0	ND																
MP7 Staff Gauge	0.04	19.91	0	ND	0.02	19.89	0.02	19.89	0.04	19.91	0	ND	0.02	19.89	0.03	19.90	0.01	19.88
MP8 Staff Gauge	0.01	19.92	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0.03	19.94	0	ND	0	ND	0.04	19.95	0.02	19.93

Page 1 of 2

SETTLING BASIN PIEZOMETER MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project Boeing Plant 2 Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

Date	1/12/20	15	1/19/20	15	1/26/20	15	2/2/201	5	2/10/20	15	2/16/20	15	2/23/20	15	3/2/201	15
Time	13:00		7:41		8:53		11:00		9:46		10:51		16:15		7:59	
Basin Staff Gauge	0.48		0.96		0.42		0.46		1.02		0.99		0.22		0.16	
Cumulative Rain During CS3 (in.)	14.58		15.88	3	16.25	i	16.28		19.6		19.79		19.84		20.86	i
Monitoring Point ID	Depth (ft btoc)	Elevation (ft MLLW)	Depth (ft btoc)	Elevation (ft MLLW)	Depth (ft btoc)	Elevation (ft MLLW)	Depth (ft btoc)	Elevation (ft MLLW)								
MP1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND								
MP2	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND								
MP3	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND								
MP4	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND								
MP5	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND								
MP6	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND								
MP7	ND	ND	4.84	19.53	ND	ND	ND	ND	4.80	19.57	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
MP8	ND	ND	4.6	19.56	4.71	19.45	4.66	19.50	4.55	19.61	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Staff Gauge ID	Depth (ft above ground surface)	Elevation (ft MLLW)	,	Elevation (ft MLLW)	Depth (ft above ground surface)	Elevation (ft MLLW)	Depth (ft above ground surface)	Elevation (ft MLLW)								
MP1 Staff Gauge	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND								
MP2 Staff Gauge	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND								
MP7 Staff Gauge	0.03	19.90	0.03	19.90	0.03	19.90	0.01	19.88	0.03	19.90	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND
MP8 Staff Gauge	0.04	19.95	0.04	19.95	0	ND	0.04	19.95	0.05	19.96	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND

Abbreviation(s)
btoc = below top of casing MLLW = mean lower low water

ft = feet ND = not detected (monitoring point was dry)

YTD - year to date in. = inches

Page 2 of 2 CMI Table 3 Basin Levels_100915

ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING SHORELINE RE-EXCAVATION MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		Sample ID	BP2WQ-0416	BP2WQ-	-0418	BP2WQ-0	419	BP2WQ-0	413	BP2WQ-	0414	BP2WQ-	0422	BP2W0	0-0423	BP2W	/Q-0427	BP2WQ-0434	BP2WQ-	0436	BP2W	/Q-0437	BP2W0	Q-0438	BP2WQ-0	439	BP2WQ-0454
	ı	Monitoring	150 ft	300		300 ft		300 ft		300 f		300 ft		300			00 ft	150 ft		•							150 ft
		Location	Up River	Up Riv		Up Rive		Down Riv		Down Ri		Down Ri	-	Down			River	Up River	D	D:							Down River
		(ft below	Near-Bottom Inshore	Near-Bo		Near-Bott Offshor		Near-Surf Inshore		Near-Sur Offsho		Mid-der Inshor		Near-S Offsh			Bottom shore	Near-Bottom Offshore		wn River Near-Bo		nt					Mid-Depth Inshore
	wate	er surface)	(8.6 ft)	(9.7 f		(22.5 ft	-	(2 ft)		(2 ft)		(2 ft)	-	(2 1		_	.8 ft)	(15 ft)		Field Dup)	Rinsate	Blank	Filter Bla	ınk	(3.7 ft)
		mple Date	8/5/2014	8/5/20		8/5/201	,	8/5/201	4	8/5/20		8/6/201		8/6/2			/2014	8/7/2014	,-	8/7/2		<u>, </u>	8/7/2		8/7/201		8/9/2014
	Sa	mple Time	10:40	11:1	7	11:30		9:45		10:02	2	9:24		9:4	3	11	1:04	10:52		11:3	32		12:	30	12:30		10:08
	Sample	e Turbidity (NTU)	12.3	10.2	2	4.2		5.3		5.9		4.4		3.	5	1	0.6	10.1		5.3	3		Laborato	ory supp	ied reagent	water	8.7
	В	(NTO) ackground	9.5	8.5		5.7		4		4		3		3		1	3.6	5.3									5.2
		idity (NTU)						-													_			_	_		
		Difference	2.8	1.7		-1.5		1.3		1.9		1.4		0.	5		-3	4.8			-		_	-	_		3.5
Analyte	Acute Criteria ¹	Chronic Criteria ¹	Result Q1 ² Q2	3 Result Q	1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ²	Q2 ³	Result Q12	² Q2 ³	Result Q1	² Q2 ³	Result Q1	² Q2 ³	Result (01 ² Q2	3 Result	Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1	1 ² Q2 ³	Result	Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result (Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1	2 Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³
Dissolved Metal		1		111111111111111111111111111111111111111		111111111111111111111111111111111111111						111111111111111111111111111111111111111				1	4. 4-	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						11000111		
Cadmium	40	8.8	0.129 J	I _				_		_				_		_		0.248	0.153 J		0.209				0.197 U		0.197 U
Chromium	1100	50	0.30 J	_			i	_		_				_		_		0.25 J	0.25 J		0.27				0.99 U		0.99 U
Copper	4.8	3.1	1.03	_				_		_		_		_		_		1.02	0.91 J		0.94	J			0.99 U		0.52 J
Lead	210	8.1	0.258 J U	_				_		_		_		_		_		0.058 J U	0.394 U		0.394				0.090 J		0.394 U
Mercury	1.8		0.02 U	_		_		_		_		_		_		_		0.02 U	0.02 U		0.02				0.02 U		0.02 U
Silver	1.9	1.9	0.139 J U	_		_		_		_		_		_				0.177 J U	0.168 J	U	0.076				0.197 U		0.050 J U
Zinc	90	81	4.38 J U			_		_		_		_		_				4.40 J	3.07 J		2.91	J			4.93 U		4.16 J
Total Metals (µg	/L)																										
Mercury		0.025	0.02 U	_		_		_		_		_		_		_		0.02 U	0.02 U		0.02	U	0.02 L	J			0.02 U
PCBs (µg/L)																											
Aroclor 1016	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 L	UJ	0.010	U UJ	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010	U	0.010 L	J			0.010 U
Aroclor 1242	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U	UJ		UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 L	UJ	0.010	U UJ	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010	U	0.010 L	J			0.010 U
Aroclor 1248	NE	NE	0.012 Y UY	0.012 Y	UY	0.010 U	UJ	0.012 Y	UJY	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 L	UJ	0.010	U UJ	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010	U	0.010 L	J			0.010 U
Aroclor 1254	NE	NE	0.056	0.050		0.010 U	UJ	0.040	J	0.028	J	0.012	J	0.009 J	J	0.011		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010	U	0.010 L				0.016
Aroclor 1260	NE	NE	0.034	0.022		0.010 U	UJ	0.016	J	0.012	J	0.010 J	J	0.007 J	_	0.007	-	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010		0.010 L				0.0070 J
Aroclor 1221	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U	UJ		UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 L		0.010		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010		0.010 L				0.010 U
Aroclor 1232	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 L	UJ	0.010		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010		0.010 L				0.010 U
Total PCBs ⁴	10	0.03	0.090	0.072		0.010 U	UJ	0.056	J	0.040	J	0.022 J	J	0.016 J	J	0.018		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010	U	0.010 L	J			0.023 J
					A۱	verage for Aι	ugust 5	, 2014 = 0.0	43 µg/	L ⁵		Ave	rage fo	r August	6, 2014	$= 0.019 \mu$	g/L										

Note(s)

- 1. Criteria obtained from the following:
 - Lowest of National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: Aquatic Life Criteria. U.S.
 Environmental Protection Agency, http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/
 criteria/current/index.cfm or Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of
 Washington (WAC 173-201A-240).
- b. Acute and chronic criteria for metals (except for mercury) are based on the dissolved fraction. For mercury, chronic criterion is based on total recoverable and the acute criterion is based on the dissolved fraction.
- c. Acute and chronic criteria for chromium are for the hexavalent form. Hexavalent chromium is not one of the chemicals of concern at the Boeing Plant 2 site; therefore, total chromium will be reported.
- d. There is no chronic criterion for silver; the acute criterion of 1.9 $\mu g/L$ is used as the chronic criterion.
- e. Criteria for total PCBs are based on total recoverable fraction (EPA 2002).

2. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are defined as follows:

24-Hour Average Between ~11:00 August 5, 2014 and ~11:00 August 6, 2014 = $0.031 \mu g/L^5$

- U = analyte not detected at associated reporting limit value.
- Y = the analyte is not detected at or above the associated reporting limit value. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- J = analyte positively identified; value is approximate concentration in sample.
- 3. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
 - U = analyte not detected above the level of the associated reporting limit value.
 UJ = analyte was not detected at or above the associated reporting limit value shown; value is an estimate.
 - Y = the analyte is not detected at or above the associated reporting limit value. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- 4. Total PCBs calculated by summing detections or, if all not detected, using the highest highest reporting limit.
- 5. Averages calculated using one-half of reporting limit for non-detected results.

Abbreviation(s)

— = not analyzed

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ft = feet

NE = not established

NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls

 μ g/L = micrograms per liter

WAC = Washington Administrative Code

Reference(s)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2002. National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: 2002. EPA, Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, EPA-822-R-02-047, Washington, D.C.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING CS3 DREDGE SEASON

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		Sample ID	BP2WQ-0485	BP2WQ-0543	BP2WQ-0559	BP2WQ-0582	BP2WQ-0607	BP2WQ-0627	BP2WQ-0636	BP2WQ-0656	BP2WQ-0657	BP2WQ-0688	BP2WQ-0702
		Monitoring							Special Area	Special Area	Early Removal	Early Removal	Special Area
		Location		150 ft	150 ft	150 ft	150 ft	150 ft	300 ft	150 ft	Area	Area	150 ft
			Up River	Down River	Down River	Down River	Down River	Down River	Up River	Up River	150 ft	300 ft	Up River
		(ft below	Near-Bottom	Near-Bottom	Near-Bottom	Near-Bottom	Near-Bottom	Near-Bottom	Near-Bottom	Near-Bottom	Up River	Up River	Near-Bottom
	wa	ter surface)	(14.8 ft)	(10.83 ft)	(23.35 ft)	(15.3 ft)	(12.8 ft)	(3.9 ft)	(14.6 ft)	(21.4 ft)	Near-Surface	Near-Bottom	(19.4 ft)
	s	ample Date	9/24/2014	9/26/2014	10/2/2014	10/9/2014	10/13/2014	10/23/2014	10/23/2014	10/25/2014	10/27/2014	11/4/2014	11/7/2014
	S	ample Time	14:16	13:23	12:45	10:04	12:50	11:06	16:53	13:00	19:54	14:21	13:06
	Samp	le Turbidity (NTU)		5.3	3.7	14.4	10.9	11.9	9.5	6.8	5.5	6	7.8
	Backgrour	nd Turbidity (NTU)	3.6	3.9	2.6	3.3	2.4	5	1.6	3.6	4.4	1.3	5.5
		Difference		1.4	1.1	11.1	8.5	6.9	7.9	3.2	1.1	4.7	2.3
	Acute	Chronic											
Analyte	Criteria ¹	Criteria ¹	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2
Dissolved Metals	(µg/L)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Cadmium	40	8.8	0.115 J	0.199 U	0.197 U	0.199 U	0.232	0.199 U	0.124 J	0.144 J	0.199 U	0.097 J	0.305
Chromium	1100	50	0.27 J	0.22 J	0.99 U	1 U	0.39 J	1 U	0.27 J	0.23 J	1 U	0.25 J	0.42 J
Copper	4.8	3.1	1.3	1.93	1.87	2.18	0.6 J	2.75	0.75 J	0.04			
		0.1	1.5	1.33	1.07	2.10	0.6 3	2.75	0.75 3	0.64 J	1.14	0.63 J	0.69 J
Lead	210	8.1	0.398 U	0.398 U	0.394 U	0.398 U	0.398 U	0.398 U	0.055 J U	0.64 J 0.398 U	1.14 0.398 U	0.63 J 0.106 J U	0.69 J 0.115 J U
Lead Mercury													
	210		0.398 U	0.398 U	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U	0.398 U	0.398 U	0.398 U	0.055 J U	0.398 U	0.398 U	0.106 J U	0.115 J U
Mercury	210 1.8	8.1	0.398 U 0.02 U	0.398 U 0.02 U	0.394 U 0.02 U	0.398 U 0.02 U	0.398 U 0.02 U	0.398 U 0.02 U	0.055 J U 0.02 U	0.398 U 0.02 U	0.398 U 0.02 U	0.106 J U 0.02 U	0.115 J U 0.02 U
Mercury Silver	210 1.8 1.9 90	8.1 1.9	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.186 J U	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.189 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.148 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J U	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.085 J U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U
Mercury Silver Zinc	210 1.8 1.9 90	8.1 1.9	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.186 J U	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.189 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.148 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J U	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.085 J U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U
Mercury Silver Zinc Total Metals (µg/L	210 1.8 1.9 90	8.1 1.9 81	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U 1.73 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.186 J U 3.84 J	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U 3.17 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.189 J 5.54	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.148 J U 5.82	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J 4.63 J	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U 2.13 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J 4.98 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.085 J U 4.98 U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U 2.95 J	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U 3.26 J
Mercury Silver Zinc Fotal Metals (µg/L Mercury	210 1.8 1.9 90	8.1 1.9 81	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U 1.73 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.186 J U 3.84 J	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U 3.17 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.189 J 5.54	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.148 J U 5.82	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J 4.63 J	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U 2.13 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J 4.98 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.085 J U 4.98 U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U 2.95 J	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U 3.26 J
Mercury Silver Zinc Fotal Metals (µg/L Mercury PCBs (µg/L)	210 1.8 1.9 90	8.1 1.9 81	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U 1.73 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.186 J U 3.84 J	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U 3.17 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.189 J U 5.54	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.148 J U 5.82	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J U 4.63 J	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U 2.13 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J 4.98 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.085 J 4.98 U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U 2.95 J	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U 3.26 J
Mercury Silver Zinc Fotal Metals (µg/L Mercury PCBs (µg/L) Aroclor 1016	210 1.8 1.9 90)	8.1 1.9 81 0.025	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U 1.73 J 0.02 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.186 J U 3.84 J 0.02 U	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U 3.17 J 0.02 U	0.398 U	0.398 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J U 4.63 J 0.02 U	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U 2.13 J 0.02 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J 4.98 U 0.02 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.085 J U 4.98 U 0.02 U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U 2.95 J 0.02 U 0.010 U	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U 3.26 J 0.02 U 0.010 U
Mercury Silver Zinc Fotal Metals (μg/L Mercury PCBs (μg/L) Aroclor 1016 Aroclor 1242	210 1.8 1.9 90)	8.1 1.9 81 0.025	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U 1.73 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.186 J U 3.84 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U 3.17 J U 0.02 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.189 J U 5.54 U 0.02 U	0.398 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J U 4.63 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U 2.13 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J 4.98 U 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.085 J U 4.98 U 0.02 U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U 2.95 J U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U 3.26 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U
Mercury Silver Zinc Fotal Metals (μg/L Mercury PCBs (μg/L) Aroclor 1016 Aroclor 1242 Aroclor 1248	210 1.8 1.9 90)	8.1 1.9 81 0.025	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U 1.73 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.186 J U 3.84 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U 3.17 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.189 J U 5.54 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J U 4.63 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U 2.13 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J 4.98 U 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U 2.95 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U 3.26 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U
Mercury Silver Zinc Fotal Metals (μg/L Mercury PCBs (μg/L) Aroclor 1016 Aroclor 1242 Aroclor 1248 Aroclor 1254	210 1.8 1.9 90 .) NE NE NE NE	8.1 1.9 81 0.025 NE NE NE	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U 1.73 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U 3.17 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.189 J U 5.54 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.148 J U 5.82 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J U 4.63 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U 2.13 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.008 J	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J 4.98 U 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.085 J U 4.98 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U 2.95 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U 3.26 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U
Mercury Silver Zinc Fotal Metals (μg/L Mercury PCBs (μg/L) Aroclor 1016 Aroclor 1242 Aroclor 1248 Aroclor 1254 Aroclor 1260	210 1.8 1.9 90)	8.1 1.9 81 0.025 NE NE NE NE NE	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.101 J U 1.73 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U	0.394 U 0.02 U 0.094 J U 3.17 J U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.189 J U 5.54 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.021 J U 4.63 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.012 0 0.012	0.055 J U 0.02 U 0.067 J U 2.13 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.008 J 0.010 U	0.398 U 0.02 U 0.035 J 4.98 U 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.398 U	0.106 J U 0.02 U 0.102 J U 2.95 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U	0.115 J U 0.02 U 0.132 J U 3.26 J 0.02 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U 0.010 U

ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING CS3 DREDGE SEASON

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		Sample ID	BP2WQ-0717	BP2WQ-0	722	BP2WQ-07	36 BP2V	/Q-0734	BP2\	NQ-0733	з в	P2WQ-0	739	BP2WQ-0	740	BP2WQ-0764	ı	BP2WQ-0775	BP2W	Q-0777	BP2WQ	-0814 BP2W0	Q-0817	BP2WQ-08	22	BP2WQ-	-0825
	N	/lonitoring				Special Ar	ea Spec	ial Area								Special Area	1	Special Area	Speci	al Area	Slip 4 Add	ditional Slip 4 Ad	ditiona	I Slip 4 Additi	onal S	lip 4 Add	litional
		Location	300 ft	300 ft		150 ft	•	00 ft	3	00 ft		300 ft		300 ft		300 ft		150 ft		0 ft	150			150 ft		300	ft
			Up River	Down Riv	ver	Down Rive	er Dow	n River	Dow	n River		Up Rive	r	Up Rive	er	Up River		Down River	Dowr	n River	Up Str	eam Up St	ream	Up Strear	n	Up Stre	∍am
		(ft below	Near-Bottom	Near-Bott	tom	Near-Botto	m Near-	Bottom	Near	-Surface	e N	lear-Surf	ace	Near-Bot	om	Near-Bottom	1	Near-Bottom	Near-	Bottom	Near-Bo	ottom Near-B	ottom	Near-Botto	m	Near-Bo	ttom
	wate	er surface)	(9.6 ft)	(9.3 ft)		(13.9 ft)		2.2 ft)		2 ft)		(2 ft)		(21.8 ft	<u>, </u>	(13.0 ft)		(16.2 ft)		.3 ft)	(21.0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(17.8 ft)		(17.8	,
	Sa	mple Date	12/4/2014	12/4/201	14	12/6/2014	1 12/6	5/2014	12/	6/2014		12/6/201	4	12/6/20 ⁻	14	12/10/2014		12/12/2014	12/1:	2/2014	2/17/2	015 2/17/	2015	2/18/2015	5	2/18/2)15
		mple Time	13:19	14:27		12:56	1:	2:27	1	2:17		14:06		14:18		13:48		11:40	11	:17	12:5	57 11:	17	12:34		12:1	5
	Sample	Turbidity (NTU)	14.6	4.8		7.8		7.4		4.7		5.1		3.9		7.8		7.9	3	3.1	12.	2 7.	2	12.1		5.8	
		ackground idity (NTU)	4.3	5.1		3.4	;	3.6		4.6		4.7		3.3		3.7		2.2	2	2.2	0	(1.4		2.4	
		Difference	10.3	-0.3		4.4		3.8		0.1		0.4		0.6		4.1		5.7).9	12.2	2 7.	2	10.7		3.4	
		Chronic																									
Analyte	Criteria ¹	Criteria ¹	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q12	Q2 ³	Result Q1 ²	Q2 ³ Result	Q1 ² Q2	2 ³ Result	Q1 ² Q	2 ³ Res	sult Q12	$Q2^3$	Result Q1	Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q	2 ³ F	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result	Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q	1 ² Q2 ³ Result	Q1 ² Q2	Result Q1 ²	Q2 ³ R	esult Q	1 ² Q2 ³
Dissolved Meta	ls (µg/L)																										
Cadmium	40	8.8	0.199 U	_		0.169 J	_		_	-		_		_		0.199 U		0.625	_		0.273			0.437		_	
Chromium	1100	50	0.27 J	_		0.23 J	_		_			_		_		0.46 J		0.36 J	_		1.02			1.55			
Copper	4.8	3.1	0.74 J	_		0.61 J			_	-		_		_		1.1		1.84	_		0.85 J			4.09	J	_	
Lead	210	8.1	0.143 J U	_			U —		_	-		_		_		0.21 J U		0.104 J U	_		0.103 J	U —		1.61		_	
Mercury	1.8		0.02 U	_		0.02 U			_			_				0.02 U		0.02 U	_		0.02 U			0.02 U			
Silver	1.9	1.9	0.199 U			0.199 U			_	-		_		_		0.021 J		0.217	_		0.214			0.168 J		_	
Zinc	90	81	2.34 J			3.59 J			_			_		_		15.4		7.7	_		9.6	J —		7.13	J	_	
Total Metals (µg	ı/L)																										
Mercury		0.025	0.02 U	_		0.02 U	_		_			_		_		0.02 U		0.02 U	_		0.02 U			0.02 U		_	
PCBs (µg/L)																											
Aroclor 1016	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010	U UJ	0.010	U U.	J 0.	.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U UJ	J	0.010 U	0.010	U UJ	0.010 U	0.010 L	UJ	0.010 U	-	0.010 U	UJ
Aroclor 1242	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.038	0.010	U UJ	0.010	U U.	J 0.	.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U UJ	J	0.010 U	0.010	U UJ	0.010 U	0.010 L	UJ	0.010 U		0.010 U	UJ
Aroclor 1248	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.075	Y UY	0.010	U U.	J 0.	.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U UJ	J	0.022	0.010	U UJ	0.010 U	0.010 L	UJ	0.010 U		0.010 U	UJ
Aroclor 1254	NE	NE	0.011	0.010 U		0.035	0.077	UJ	0.010	U U.	J 0.	.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U UJ	J	0.034	0.010	U UJ	0.035	0.021	J	0.033	(0.020	J
Aroclor 1260	NE	NE	0.019	0.010 U		0.014	0.022	UJ	0.010	U U.	J 0.	.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U UJ	J	0.015	0.010	U UJ	0.011	0.010 L	UJ	0.010 U	-	0.010 U	UJ
Aroclor 1221	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010	U UJ	0.010	U U.	J 0.	.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.010 U UJ	J	0.010 U	0.010	U UJ	0.010 U	0.010 L	UJ	0.010 U	-	0.010 U	UJ
Aroclor 1232	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010	U UJ	0.010	U U.	J 0.	.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.025 BY UJ	J	0.010 U	0.010	U UJ	0.010 U	0.010 L	UJ	0.010 U	-	0.010 U	UJ
Total PCBs ⁴	10	0.03	0.030	0.010 U		0.087	0.099	UJ	0.010	U U.	J 0.	.010 U	UJ	0.010 U	UJ	0.025 BY UJ	J	0.071	0.010	U UJ	0.046	0.021	J	0.033		0.020	J
			Average	= 0.0175					·	Avera	ige = 0.	0285														·	_

Note(s)

- 1. Criteria obtained from the following:
- a. Lowest of National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: Aquatic Life Criteria. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/ criteria/current/index.cfm or Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of Washington (WAC 173-201A-240).
- b. Acute and chronic criteria for metals (except for mercury) are based on the dissolved fraction. For mercury, the chronic criterion is based on total recoverable and the acute criterion is based on the dissolved fraction.
- c. Acute and chronic criteria for chromium are for the hexavalent form. Hexavalent chromium is not one of the chemicals of concern at the Boeing Plant 2 site; therefore, total chromium will be reported.
- d. There is no chronic criterion for silver; the acute criterion of 1.9 $\mu g/L$ is used as the chronic criterion.
- e. Criteria for total PCBs based on total recoverable fraction (EPA 2002).

- 2. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are defined as follows:
- U = analyte not detected at associated reporting limit value.
- Y = the analyte is not detected at or above the associated reporting limit value. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
- J = analyte positively identified; value is approximate concentration in sample.
- 3. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
- U = analyte not detected above the level of the associated reporting limit value.

 UJ = analyte was not detected at or above the associated reporting limit value shown; value is an estimate.
- Total PCBs calculated by summing detections or, if all not detected, using the highest reporting limit.
- 5. Averages calculated using one-half of reporting limit for non-detected results.

Abbreviation(s)

— = not analyzed

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

ft = feet

NE = not established

NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls

 μ g/L = micrograms per liter

WAC = Washington Administrative Code

Reference(s)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2002. National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: 2002. EPA, Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, EPA-822-R-02-047, Washington, D.C.

TABLE 6 SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS RECORDED DURING DREDGE RETURN WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		Salin	ity (ppt)	Tem	p (°C)		рН	Turbid	ity (NTU)	DO	(mg/L)	
Discharge	Day	DRW ¹	Ambient ²	Comments ³								
9/24/2014	Average	12.4	4.0	19.4	16.5	7.6	7.3	0.0	4.4	2.9	8.1	Water sample 0 NTUs
9/25/2014	Average	11.5	4.9	19.7	16.5	7.6	7.3	0.0	3.6	3.6	7.8	Water sample 0 NTUs
9/26/2014	Average	11.0	5.8	19.9	16.4	7.4	7.3	0.0	3.8	4.3	8.0	Water sample 0.01 NTUs
9/27/2014	Average	12.4	5.4	19.9	16.2	7.4	7.3	0.0	3.3	4.1	8.0	Water sample 0 NTUs
10/1/2014	Average	14.3	6.2	18.0	15.2	7.4	7.3	0.0	2.2	3.5	8.2	Water sample 0 NTUs
10/2/2014	Average	16.1	5.6	19.1	15.9	7.4	7.3	0.0	2.3	5.6	8.3	Water sample 0.08 NTUs
10/3/2014	Average	16.5	5.3	18.8	14.9	7.4	7.3	0.0	2.8	5.1	8.5	Water sample 0.02 NTUs
10/7/2014	Average	18.5	5.9	20.1	15.7	7.4	7.3	0.0	2.3	4.4	8.3	Water sample 0 NTUs
10/8/2014	Average	19.6	6.8	19.5	15.6	7.3	7.3	0.0	2.1	5.4	8.2	Not sampled
10/9/2014	Average	18.8	7.4	19.3	15.6	7.4	7.4	0.0	2.4	5.5	8.2	Water sample 0.02 NTUs
10/10/2014	Average	18.2	10.7	18.1	14.7	7.4	7.4	0.0	2.6	4.9	7.7	Not sampled
10/13/2014	Average	18.3	7.2	17.4	14.7	7.3	7.3	0.0	2.2	4.8	8.3	Water sample 0.02 NTUs
10/14/2014	Average	16.6	5.4	16.8	14.7	7.4	7.3	0.0	3.0	5.3	8.5	Not sampled
10/16/2014	Average	14.7	5.0	16.1	14.1	7.2	7.3	0.0	2.8	4.3	8.4	Water sample 0.38 NTUs
10/17/2014	Average	14.3	5.8	16.1	13.8	7.3	7.3	0.0	2.4	4.4	8.6	Not sampled
10/20/2014	Average	15.0	5.1	17.3	14.5	7.3	7.3	0.0	2.3	5.4	8.6	Water sample 0.21 NTUs
10/21/2014	Average	14.8	4.2	16.4	14.0	7.3	7.3	0.0	3.1	6.2	8.7	Not sampled
10/22/2014	Average	14.7	5.6	15.0	13.4	7.4	7.3	0.0	4.0	6.2	8.6	Water sample 0.36 NTUs
10/23/2014	Average	NA	3.4	NA	13.3	NA	7.3	NA	5.7	NA	8.9	DRWS YSI logging failure
10/24/2014	Average	12.7	2.2	13.5	12.7	7.3	7.2	0.0	5.7	7.7	9.3	Not sampled
10/25/2014	Average	13.1	2.4	13.5	12.1	7.3	7.2	0.0	4.8	6.9	9.5	Not sampled
10/27/2014	Average	12.3	1.4	11.7	11.3	7.3	7.2	0.0	4.3	5.1	10.0	Water sample 0.09 NTUs
10/29/2014	Average	10.4	1.3	15.2	11.0	7.3	7.2	0.0	4.7	8.1	10.2	Not sampled
10/30/2014	Average	11.3	0.5	14.6	10.7	7.4	7.1	0.0	5.6	7.1	10.4	Water sample 0.17 NTUs
10/31/2014	Average	8.6	1.1	14.5	11.1	7.3	7.2	0.0	7.5	7.0	10.1	Water sample 0.17 NTUs
11/3/2014	Average	7.8	2.0	13.3	10.6	7.3	7.2	0.0	3.5	7.7	10.0	Water sample 0.16 NTUs
11/6/2014	Average	12.6	1.0	14.2	10.7	7.3	7.2	0.1	8.2	7.5	10.4	Water sample 0.11 NTUs
11/7/2014	Average	12.0	0.9	12.6	10.2	7.4	7.2	0.0	6.5	8.7	10.5	Water sample 0.13 NTUs
11/8/2014	Average	12.0	1.8	11.0	10.0	7.4	7.2	0.0	4.8	8.9	10.5	Water sample 0.06 NTUs
11/10/2014	Average	12.3	1.7	11.7	9.3	7.3	7.2	0.0	3.2	7.1	10.7	Water sample 0.38 NTUs
11/11/2014	Average	13.2	2.1	10.4	8.5	7.3	7.3	0.0	4.9	7.2	10.9	Water sample 0.19 NTUs
11/12/2014	Average	13.6	1.6	5.4	6.4	7.5	7.3	0.0	3.9	9.0	11.6	Water sample 0.12 NTUs

TABLE 6 SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS RECORDED DURING DREDGE RETURN WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		Salini	ity (ppt)	Tem	p (°C)		рН	Turbid	ity (NTU)	DO	(mg/L)	
Discharge	Day	DRW ¹	Ambient ²	Comments ³								
11/14/2014	Average	12.0	1.1	4.9	3.6	7.4	7.2	0.0	5.0	7.8	12.4	Water sample 0.19 NTUs
11/15/2014	Average	11.2	1.0	5.4	3.6	7.4	7.2	0.0	5.4	7.7	12.1	Water sample 0.34 NTUs
11/16/2014	Average	10.6	1.5	5.6	3.9	7.4	7.2	0.0	3.6	10.2	12.2	Water sample 0.13 NTUs
11/17/2014	Average	10.6	2.1	5.3	4.0	7.3	7.2	0.0	2.7	9.9	12.0	Water sample 0.21 NTUs
11/18/2014	Average	11.4	2.6	6.1	4.2	7.4	7.2	0.0	2.6	10.0	11.9	Water sample 0.15 NTUs
11/19/2014	Average	11.7	2.8	6.7	4.5	7.3	7.2	0.0	2.9	8.3	11.7	Not sampled
11/20/2014	Average	12.8	3.8	9.3	5.9	7.3	7.2	0.0	2.9	8.2	11.0	Water sample 0.17 NTUs
11/21/2014	Average	14.9	5.2	10.5	7.3	7.2	7.2	0.0	4.0	7.1	10.3	Water sample 0.15 NTUs
11/22/2014	Average	15.5	5.4	9.3	8.0	7.2	7.2	0.0	3.9	7.6	9.9	Water sample 0.07 NTUs
11/24/2014	Average	14.3	2.7	7.8	7.4	7.1	7.3	0.0	6.3	7.1	11.1	Water sample 0.18 NTUs
11/25/2014	Average	12.4	1.3	10.2	7.3	7.2	7.3	0.0	7.7	7.0	11.6	Water sample 0.01 NTUs
12/1/2014	Average	10.1	1.0	5.2	3.7	6.9	7.1	0.0	14.1	7.7	12.2	Water sample 0.01 NTUs
12/2/2014	Average	9.4	0.3	4.6	3.2	7.0	7.1	0.0	11.4	8.0	12.5	Water sample 0.22 NTUs
12/3/2014	Average	10.2	0.9	4.9	3.9	7.1	7.2	0.0	7.8	10.1	12.2	Water sample 0.16 NTUs
12/4/2014	Average	10.6	1.4	5.8	4.4	7.2	7.2	0.0	5.7	9.3	12.0	Water sample 0.21 NTUs
12/5/2014	Average	9.7	2.3	6.6	5.7	7.2	7.2	0.0	5.7	8.1	11.4	Water sample 0.13 NTUs
12/8/2014	Average	9.2	3.1	10.1	7.5	7.0	7.2	0.0	4.3	8.1	10.8	Water sample 0.3 NTUs
12/9/2014	Average	8.4	3.3	12.0	8.2	7.0	7.2	0.0	5.0	7.8	10.6	Water sample 0.04 NTUs
12/10/2014	Average	8.2	3.2	12.6	8.8	7.0	7.2	0.0	5.7	7.2	10.5	Water sample 0.06 NTUs
12/11/2014	Average	9.4	2.3	11.0	8.8	7.1	7.2	0.0	6.3	7.5	10.3	Water sample 0.05 NTUs
12/12/2014	Average	9.9	2.5	10.6	8.5	7.1	7.2	0.0	5.6	7.7	10.4	Water sample 0.03 NTUs
12/16/2014	Average	13.3	2.4	8.5	6.6	7.1	7.2	0.0	3.3	7.5	11.1	Water sample 0.02 NTUs
12/17/2014	Average	13.4	1.8	8.6	6.6	7.2	7.2	0.0	3.1	7.6	11.2	Water sample 0.1 NTUs
12/18/2014	Average	13.6	2.0	9.2	6.8	7.2	7.2	0.0	3.2	7.3	11.1	
12/19/2014	Average	11.4	2.6	10.2	7.7	7.1	7.2	0.0	4.3	7.0	10.6	
12/20/2014	Average	10.0	2.9	9.0	7.8	7.1	7.2	0.0	4.1	7.2	10.5	
12/21/2014	Average	8.5	3.4	10.1	8.2	7.0	7.2	0.0	5.2	5.8	10.4	
1/5/2015	Average	6.0	1.5	10.8	6.8	6.8	7.3	0.0	11.3	7.5	11.6	Water sample 0 NTUs
1/6/2015	Average	10.3	0.3	10.4	6.3	7.1	7.0	0.0	131.6	7.5	12.3	
1/7/2015	Average	11.9	0.1	9.7	6.2	7.2	7.0	0.0	108.2	7.9	12.3	Water sample 0.01 NTUs
1/8/2015	Average	12.6	0.2	8.9	6.1	7.1	7.1	0.0	60.1	8.4	12.3	·
1/9/2015	Average	18.1	0.3	9.4	6.0	7.1	7.1	0.0	34.8	7.6	12.2	

TABLE 6 SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS RECORDED DURING DREDGE RETURN WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Salin	ity (ppt)	Tem	np (°C)	I	рН	Turbid	ity (NTU)	DO	(mg/L)	
Discharge Day	DRW ¹	Ambient ²	Comments ³								
1/12/2015 Average	21.6	0.5	10.8	6.9	7.0	7.1	0.0	12.5	6.7	11.6	Water sample 0.06 NTUs
1/13/2015 Average	24.6	0.5	9.7	6.5	7.0	7.2	0.0	10.0	7.5	11.9	
1/14/2015 Average	25.4	0.5	8.7	5.9	7.0	7.2	0.0	9.4	6.7	12.0	Water sample 0.04 NTUs
1/15/2015 Average	25.0	0.7	7.1	5.2	7.0	7.2	0.0	11.7	5.3	12.1	
1/16/2015 Average	23.5	1.1	10.0	5.8	6.9	7.2	0.0	13.0	6.4	11.7	
1/17/2015 Average	24.4	1.3	9.6	6.0	6.9	7.1	0.0	12.9	6.6	11.5	
1/19/2015 Average	23.9	0.5	10.8	6.8	6.9	7.2	0.0	28.2	5.5	11.9	Water sample 0.01 NTUs
1/20/2015 Average	24.4	0.5	11.0	6.6	6.9	7.2	0.0	15.8	5.4	12.1	
1/21/2015 Average	24.5	0.5	10.1	5.8	7.0	7.2	0.0	11.1	6.6	12.3	Water sample 0.01 NTUs
1/22/2015 Average	24.3	0.5	10.8	6.0	7.1	7.2	0.0	9.1	6.9	12.3	
1/23/2015 Average	24.1	0.9	11.4	6.9	7.0	7.2	0.0	8.1	6.2	11.9	
1/24/2015 Average	24.4	1.0	12.7	7.9	7.1	7.2	0.0	8.6	6.3	11.3	
1/26/2015 Average	21.2	0.6	12.6	7.7	7.0	7.2	0.0	12.4	6.0	11.7	Water sample 0 NTUs
1/27/2015 Average	17.9	0.5	11.4	7.2	7.1	7.2	0.0	9.2	6.7	11.8	·
1/28/2015 Average	20.4	0.3	12.0	7.5	7.0	7.2	0.0	7.6	6.6	11.8	Water sample 0 NTUs
1/29/2015 Average	19.6	0.5	10.9	7.4	7.1	7.1	0.0	6.4	7.0	11.6	·
1/30/2015 Average	22.5	0.8	10.4	6.9	7.2	7.1	0.0	5.7	7.1	11.5	
1/31/2015 Average	25.4	1.2	10.1	6.7	7.2	7.1	0.0	4.9	6.8	11.4	
2/2/2015 Average	24.9	1.9	10.4	7.2	7.1	7.2	0.0	4.7	6.9	11.2	Water sample 0.01 NTUs
2/3/2015 Average	26.3	1.7	10.4	7.3	7.1	7.2	0.0	4.1	6.7	11.3	·
2/4/2015 Average	27.2	1.7	10.1	7.4	7.2	7.2	0.0	4.2	6.8	11.3	Water sample 0.01 NTUs
2/5/2015 Average	21.7	1.7	12.0	8.0	7.1	7.2	0.0	8.5	6.7	10.9	·
2/6/2015 Average	18.8	1.3	12.4	8.7	7.1	7.2	0.0	10.7	7.0	10.8	
2/7/2015 Average	18.2	0.9	11.8	8.8	7.1	7.2	0.0	12.7	7.1	10.8	
2/9/2015 Average	20.5	0.9	12.4	8.6	7.0	7.2	0.0	9.8	6.7	11.1	Water sample 0.03 NTUs
2/10/2015 Average	20.2	0.7	12.7	8.8	7.1	7.2	0.0	9.2	6.8	11.3	
2/11/2015 Average	20.8	0.6	12.8	8.3	7.1	7.2	0.0	14.6	6.3	11.6	Water sample 0.02 NTUs
2/12/2015 Average	18.9	0.5	13.1	8.3	7.1	7.2	0.0	12.3	6.1	11.6	
2/13/2015 Average	17.3	0.6	13.8	8.9	7.0	7.2	0.0	6.4	5.5	11.3	
2/16/2015 Average	17.1	0.8	12.1	8.2	6.9	7.2	0.0	3.7	4.3	11.4	Water sample 0.02 NTUs
2/17/2015 Average	17.5	1.2	11.1	8.0	7.0	7.2	0.0	3.6	5.6	11.2	
2/18/2015 Average	17.7	2.1	10.7	7.6	7.1	7.2	0.0	3.3	5.1	11.1	Water sample 0.08 NTUs

SUMMARY OF WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS RECORDED DURING DREDGE RETURN WATER QUALITY MONITORING

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		Salin	ity (ppt)	Tem	np (°C)	I	рΗ	Turbid	ity (NTU)	DO ((mg/L)	
Discharge l	Day	DRW ¹	Ambient ²	Comments ³								
2/19/2015	Average	17.6	1.7	10.7	7.9	7.1	7.2	0.0	4.2	5.4	11.0	
2/20/2015	Average	17.7	1.9	11.2	8.7	7.1	7.1	0.0	6.0	5.5	10.5	
2/23/2015	Average	18.5	2.4	9.8	8.0	7.1	7.2	0.0	4.1	6.9	10.7	Water sample 0.01 NTUs
2/24/2015	Average	19.2	3.3	10.2	7.5	7.2	7.2	0.0	3.6	6.9	10.7	
2/25/2015	Average	18.2	2.7	10.0	7.4	7.2	7.1	0.0	3.0	5.8	10.6	Water sample 0 NTUs
2/26/2015	Average	16.6	2.1	11.2	8.3	7.2	7.1	0.0	3.4	5.5	10.4	
2/27/2015	Average	11.0	1.6	12.1	9.1	7.1	7.2	0.0	5.8	5.5	10.5	
3/2/2015	Average	10.3	3.1	9.7	7.7	7.0	7.2	0.0	3.4	6.0	10.6	Water sample 0.11 NTUs
3/3/2015	Average	11.7	2.7	7.5	7.7	7.1	7.2	0.0	3.0	2.5	10.4	
3/4/2015	Average	12.0	2.9	7.4	7.5	7.0	7.2	0.0	3.2	1.2	10.4	Water sample 0.02 NTUs
3/5/2015	Average	12.4	3.9	8.8	7.2	7.0	7.2	0.0	3.3	2.1	10.6	
3/6/2015	Average	12.6	NA	8.0	NA	7.1	NA	0.0	NA	1.9	I NA	Ambient water quality instrument removed

Note(s)

- 1. Average of values recorded by the instrument installed in the dredge return water system discharge line during the time that the system was discharging on given calendar day. The instrument recorded salinity (ppt), temperature (°C), pH, turbidity (NTU), and dissolved oxygen (mg/L) every 30 seconds or every minute when the system was discharging.
- 2. Ambient is the average of the measurements collected at the upstream *in situ* water quality instrument that was installed approximately 2 feet below the water surface. The *in situ* instrument recorded salinity (ppt), temperature (°C), pH, turbidity (NTU), and dissolved oxygen (mg/L) every 5 minutes continuously. The average for each parameter was calculated from the readings recorded during the time the dredge return water system was discharging on given calendar day.
- 3. Water Sample NTUs Turbidity of composite water sample collected during the time the dredge return water system was operating as measured by a portable turbidimeter.

Abbreviation(s)

°C = degrees Celsius NA = data not recorded

DO = dissolved oxygen NTU = nephelometric turbidity unit

DRW = dredge return water NM = not measured mg/L = milligrams per liter ppt = parts per thousand

ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR DREDGE RETURN WATER SAMPLES COLLECTED DURING CS3 DREDGE SEASON

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		Sample ID	BP2WQ-0490	BP2W	Q-0492	BP2WQ-0498	BP2W	Q-0501	TSCA-GAC	:-1	BP2WQ-0502	TSCA-G	AC-2	BP2WQ-0504	TSC	A-GAC-3	TSCA-GAC-	-4	BP2WQ-0517	BP2WQ	-0519
		Monitoring Location	DRW Plant Discharge Line		Plant rge Line	Special Area DRW Plant Discharge Line	DRW	al Area Plant ge Line	Early Remo Area DRW P Recirc. Lir	lant	Early Removal Area DRW Plant Discharge Line	Early Ren Area DRW Recirc. I	Plant	Early Removal Area DRW Plant Discharge Line	Area D	Removal RW Plant rc. Line	Early Remov Area DRW Pla Recirc. Line	ant	Special Areas DRW Plant Discharge Line	Special A DRW P Discharg	Plant
	S	ample Date	9/24/2014	9/26	/2014	10/23/2014	10/25	/2014	10/28/201	4	10/30/2014	10/30/2	014	11/1/2014	11/	4/2014	11/5/2014		12/3/2014	12/5/2	014
		ample Time	19:35	18	:30	19:20	21	:00	15:20		1:20	17:2	5	1:18	1	7:15	17:45		17:40	13:5	0
Analyte	Acute Criteria ¹	Chronic Criteria ¹	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result	Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result	Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ²	$Q2^3$	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q	1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result	Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q1 ²	Q2 ³	Result Q1 ² Q2 ³	Result Q	Q1 ² Q2 ³
Dissolved Meta	ls (µg/L)				·		·	·										•		,	
Cadmium	40	8.8	0.199 U	0.199	U	0.199 U	0.199	U	_		0.086 J	_		0.154 J	_				0.199 U	0.082 J	
Chromium	1100	50	0.42 J	0.59	J	0.46 J	0.52	J	_		0.51 J	_		0.49 J	_		_		0.37 J	0.53 J	
Copper	4.8	3.1	0.22 J	0.33	J	0.21 J	0.3	J	_		0.47 J	_		1 U	_		_		1 U	0.35 J	
Lead	210	8.1	0.398 U	0.398	U	0.398 U	0.398	U	_		0.398 U	_		0.398 U	_		_		0.398 U	0.054 J	U
Mercury	1.8		0.02 U	0.02	U	0.02 U	0.02	U	_		0.02 U	_		0.02 U	_	-	_		0.02 U	0.02 U	
Silver	1.9	1.9	0.259 J	0.114	J U	0.085 J	0.11	J	_		0.115 J U	_		0.04 J U	_		_		0.026 J	0.199 U	
Zinc	90	81	5.71	4.72	J	4.98 U	4.98	U	_		4.98 U	_		3.01 J	_	-	_		4.98 U	4.98 U	
Total Metals (µg	J/L)																				
Mercury		0.025	0.02 U	0.02	U	0.02 U	0.02	U	_		0.02 U	_		0.02 U	_		_		0.02 U	0.02 U	
PCBs (µg/L)																					
Aroclor 1016	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U UJ	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U	
Aroclor 1242	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U UJ	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U	
Aroclor 1248	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U UJ	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U	
Aroclor 1254	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U UJ	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U	
Aroclor 1260	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U UJ	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U	
Aroclor 1221	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U UJ	0.010		0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U	
Aroclor 1232	NE	NE	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U UJ	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U	
Total PCBs ⁴	10	0.03	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U		0.010 U UJ	0.010	U	0.010 U		0.010 U	0.010 U	

Note(s)

- 1. Criteria obtained from the following:
 - a. Lowest of National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: Aquatic Life Criteria. U.S.
 Environmental Protection Agency, http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/
 criteria/current/index.cfm or Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters of the State of
 Washington (WAC 173-201A-240).
- b. Acute and chronic criteria for metals (except for mercury) are based on the dissolved fraction. For mercury, the chronic criterion is based on total recoverable and the acute criterion is based on the dissolved fraction.
- c. Acute and chronic criteria for chromium are for the hexavalent form. Hexavalent chromium is not one of the chemicals of concern at the Boeing Plant 2 site; therefore, total chromium will be reported.
- d. There is no chronic criterion for silver; the acute criterion of 1.9 μ g/L is used as the chronic criterion.
- e. Criteria for total PCBs based on total recoverable fraction (EPA 2002).

- 2. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are defined as follows:
 - U = analyte not detected at reporting limit presented.
 - $\label{eq:J} \textbf{J} = \text{analyte positively identified; value is approximate concentration in sample.}$
- 3. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
 - U = analyte not detected above the level of the associated reporting limit value.
 UJ = analyte was not detected at or above the associated reporting limit value; reporting limit is estimated.
- Total PCBs calculated by summing detections or, if all not detected, using the highest reporting limit.
- 5. Averages calculated using one-half reporting limit for non-detected results.

Abbreviation(s)

— = not analyzed

DRW = dredge return water

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

NE = not established

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls

Q1 = laboratory qualifiers

Q2 = validation qualifiers

Recirc. Line = Recirculation Line

 μ g/L = micrograms per liter

WAC = Washington Administrative Code

Reference(s)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2002. National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: 2002. EPA, Office of Water, Office of Science and Technology, EPA-822-R-02-047, Washington, D.C.

PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Pre-Southwe Excavation Mo	onitoring	WA State North Zon Surve (Average of Three for Compos	e, NAD 83, y Feet Location e Grabs	Pre-Dredge 2 Monitorin	g	Surve (Average	e, NAD 83, y Feet Location e Grabs	End of Sea 2015 Monito	ring	North Zon Surve (Average of Thre	te Plane, e, NAD 83, by Feet Location e Grabs site Sample)
Sample Location	Sample ID ¹	Date	Footing	No uthin a	Sample ID ¹	Date	Faatina	Northing	Sample ID ¹	Date	Factions	Northing
SD-PER501	Sample ID	Sampled	Easting	Northing	SD-PER501-0914	Sampled 9/10/2014	Easting 1273442 ³	199190 ³	SD-PER501-0315	Sampled 3/20/2015	Easting 1273437 ³	199194 ³
SD-PER502					SD-PER501-0914 SD-PER502-0914	9/10/2014	1273442 1273412 ³	199041 ³	SD-PER501-0315 SD-PER502-0315	3/20/2015	1273437 1273402 ³	199194 199044 ³
SD-PER503					SD-PER503-0914	9/10/2014	1273335 ³	198899 ³	SD-PER503-0315	3/20/2015	1273335 ³	198899 ³
SD-PER504					SD-PER504-0914	9/10/2014	1273282	198820	SD-PER504-0315	3/16/2015	1273282	198818
SD-PER505					SD-PER505-0914	9/10/2014	1273132	198658	SD-PER505-0315	3/16/2015	1273130	198654
SD-PER525 ²					SD-PER525-0914	9/10/2014	1273128	198656	SD-PER525-0315	3/16/2015	1273130	198657
SD-PER506					SD-PER506-0914	9/11/2014	1272917	198487	SD-PER506-0315	3/13/2015	1272919	198490
SD-PER507					SD-PER507-0914	9/11/2014	1272778	198477	SD-PER507-0315	3/13/2015	1272779	198477
SD-PER508					SD-PER508-0914	9/10/2014	1273193	198870	SD-PER508-0315	3/11/2015	1273194	198870
SD-PER509					SD-PER509-0914	9/10/2014	1273227	198833	SD-PER509-0315	3/12/2015	1273228	198834
SD-PER510					SD-PER510-0914	9/10/2014	1273119	198767	SD-PER510-0315	3/11/2015	1273120	198769
SD-PER511					SD-PER511-0914	9/10/2014	1273146	198698	SD-PER511-0315	3/16/2015	1273145	198697
SD-PER512					SD-PER512-0914	9/11/2014	1272599	198650	SD-PER512-0315	3/12/2015	1272600	198651
SD-PER513					SD-PER513-0914	9/10/2014	1273053	198708	SD-PER513-0315	3/11/2015	1273052	198705
SD-PER514					SD-PER514-0914	9/12/2014	1273063	198633	SD-PER514-0315	3/13/2015	1273065	198632
SD-PER515					SD-PER515-0914	9/12/2014	1272975	198640	SD-PER515-0315	3/13/2015	1272977	198642
SD-PER516					SD-PER516-0914	9/12/2014	1272911	198570	SD-PER516-0315	3/13/2015	1272915	198572
SD-PER517					SD-PER517-0914	9/12/2014	1272881	198541	SD-PER517-0315	3/13/2015	1272881	198541
SD-PER518					SD-PER518-0914	9/11/2014	1272812	198599	SD-PER518-0315	3/12/2015	1272812	198601
SD-PER101					SD-PER101-0914	9/15/2014	1271445	199744	SD-PER101-0315	3/16/2015	1271449	199742
SD-PER102					SD-PER102-0914	9/16/2014	1271396	199655	SD-PER102-0315	3/17/2015	1271397	199654
SD-PER103					SD-PER103-0914	9/17/2014	1271499	199660	SD-PER103-0315	3/17/2015	1271502	199658
SD-PER104					SD-PER104-0914	9/16/2014	1271351	199569	SD-PER104-0315	3/17/2015	1271353	199568
SD-PER105					SD-PER105-0914	9/16/2014	1271446	199571	SD-PER105-0315	3/17/2015	1271448	199570
SD-PER106					SD-PER106-0914	9/15/2014	1271456	199431	SD-PER106-0315	3/19/2015	1271461	199430
SD-PER126 ²					SD-PER126-0914	9/15/2014	1271456	199434	SD-PER126-0315	3/19/2015	1271459	199433
SD-PER201					SD-PER201-0914	9/16/2014	1272558	198396	SD-PER201-0315	3/16/2015	1272557	198392
SD-PER202					SD-PER202-0914	9/19/2014	1272923	198121	SD-PER202-0315	3/17/2015	1272926	198121
SD-PER203					SD-PER203-0914	9/17/2014	1272622	198134	SD-PER203-0315	3/16/2015	1272623	198136
SD-PER204					SD-PER204-0914	9/17/2014	1273014	197916	SD-PER204-0315	3/18/2015	1273010	197917
SD-PER205					SD-PER205-0914	9/19/2014	1273381	197722	SD-PER205-0315	3/18/2015	1273379	197719
SD-PER206					SD-PER206-0914	9/17/2014	1273137	197705	SD-PER206-0315	3/18/2015	1273136	197707
SD-PER207					SD-PER207-0914	9/19/2014	1273485	197501	SD-PER207-0315	3/18/2015	1273482	197500
SD-PER208					SD-PER208-0914	9/25/2014	1273795	197343	SD-PER208-0315	3/19/2015	1273793	197347
SD-PER209					SD-PER209-0914	9/17/2014	1273587	197310	SD-PER209-0315	3/18/2015	1273587	197311
SD-PER210					SD-PER210-0914	9/22/2014	1273947	197086	SD-PER210-0315	3/18/2015	1273945	197086
SD-PER230 ²					SD-PER230-0914	9/22/2014	1273947	197088	SD-PER230-0315	3/18/2015	1273945	197087
SD-PER211					SD-PER211-0914	9/22/2014	1274301	196841	SD-PER211-0315	3/19/2015	1274299	196841
SD-PER212					SD-PER212-0914	9/17/2014	1274129	196823	SD-PER212-0315	3/19/2015	1274128	196821
SD-PER213					SD-PER213-0914	9/17/2014	1274380	196678	SD-PER213-0315	3/19/2015	1274380	196679

Page 1 of 2

PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

			North Zon Surve	te Plane, e, NAD 83, y Feet Location			North Zon Surve	te Plane, ne, NAD 83, ey Feet Location			North Zon Surve	te Plane, ne, NAD 83, ey Feet e Location
	Pre-Southwes Excavation More		of Thre		Pre-Dredge Monitorin		of Thre	e Grabs site Sample)	End of Sea		of Thre	e Grabs site Sample)
Sample Location	Sample ID ¹	Date Sampled	Easting	Northing	Sample ID ¹	Date Sampled	Easting	Northing	Sample ID ¹	Date Sampled	Easting	Northing
SD-PER301	SD-PER301-0714	7/14/2014	1274638	196476	SD-PER301-0914	9/12/2014	1274636	196478	SD-PER301-0315	3/9/2015	1274640	196475
SD-PER302	SD-PER302-0714	7/14/2014	1274773	196414	SD-PER302-0914	9/12/2014	1274773	196411	SD-PER302-0315	3/9/2015	1274772	196413
SD-PER303	SD-PER303-0714	7/14/2014	1274855	196260	SD-PER303-0914	9/11/2014	1274851	196262	SD-PER303-0315	2/26/2015	1274850	196263
SD-PER304	SD-PER304-0714	7/14/2014	1275030	196186	SD-PER304-0914	9/12/2014	1275027	196189	SD-PER304-0315	2/27/2015	1275026	196191
SD-PER305	SD-PER305-0714	7/14/2014	1275099	196038	SD-PER305-0914	9/11/2014	1275100	196039	SD-PER305-0315	2/27/2015	1275096	196035
SD-PER306	SD-PER306-0714	7/14/2014	1275277	196015	SD-PER306-0914	9/15/2014	1275276	196016	SD-PER306-0315	2/27/2015	1275276	196013
SD-PER307	SD-PER307-0714	7/15/2014	1275275	195873	SD-PER307-0914	9/15/2014	1275274	195865	SD-PER307-0315	3/9/2015	1275278	195868
SD-PER327 ²	SD-PER327-0714	7/15/2014	1275275	195861	SD-PER327-0914	9/15/2014	1275279	195868	SD-PER327-0315	3/9/2015	1275278	195868
SD-PER308	SD-PER308-0714	7/15/2014	1275487	195828	SD-PER308-0914	9/15/2014	1275487	195829	SD-PER308-0315	3/9/2015	1275486	195832
SD-PER309	SD-PER309-0714	7/15/2014	1275550	195659	SD-PER309-0914	9/16/2014	1275550	195655	SD-PER309-0315	3/9/2015	1275547	195655
SD-PER310	4				SD-PER310-0914	9/16/2014	1275763	195590	SD-PER310-0315	2/27/2015	1275761	195590
SD-PER311	SD-PER311-0714	7/15/2014	1275681	195408	SD-PER311-0914	9/16/2014	1275682	195403	SD-PER311-0315	2/27/2015	1275684	195402
SD-PER312	SD-PER312-0714	7/14/2014	1274836	196223	SD-PER312-0914	9/11/2014	1274832	196225	SD-PER312-0315	2/26/2015	1274835	196224
SD-PER313	SD-PER313-0714	7/14/2014	1275091	195991	SD-PER313-0914	9/11/2014	1275090	195989	SD-PER313-0315	2/27/2015	1275092	195985
SD-PER401	SD-PER401-0714	7/15/2014	1276140	194403	SD-PER401-0914	9/19/2014	1276138	194399	SD-PER401-0315	2/25/2015	1276138	194399
SD-PER402	SD-PER402-0714	7/15/2014	1275990	194312	SD-PER402-0914	9/19/2014	1275994	194315	SD-PER402-0315	2/25/2015	1275993	194314
SD-PER403	SD-PER403-0714	7/15/2014	1276092	194312	SD-PER403-0914	9/19/2014	1276091	194313	SD-PER403-0315	2/25/2015	1276089	194315
SD-PER404	SD-PER404-0714	7/16/2014	1275945	194227	SD-PER404-0914	9/19/2014	1275943	194226	SD-PER404-0315	2/26/2015	1275944	194223
SD-PER405	SD-PER405-0714	7/16/2014	1276042	194228	SD-PER405-0914	9/22/2014	1276044	194230	SD-PER405-0315	2/26/2015	1276047	194231
SD-PER406	SD-PER406-0714	7/16/2014	1276145	194228	SD-PER406-0914	9/22/2014	1276148	194229	SD-PER406-0315	2/26/2015	1276146	194230
SD-PER426 ²	SD-PER426-0714	7/16/2014	1276147	194230	SD-PER426-0914	9/22/2014	1276144	194226	SD-PER426-0315	2/26/2015	1276145	194224

Note(s)

- 1. Sample ID includes sampling station location plus four-digit code (MMYY) to indicate month/hear of sample collection (e.g., 0714 following station location indicates the July 2014 sampling event).
- 2. Field duplicate sample collected at this location. Duplicate sample is identified by a 20 or 30 series sequential location ID (e.g., SD-PER426-[MMYY] is field duplicate of SD-PER406-MMYY).
- 3. Diver core: approximate location of sample collection.
- 4. Station blocked by Jorgensen dredge barge; not sampled.

Abbreviation(s)

NAD = North American Datum

WA State Plane = Washington State Plane Coordinates

CMI Table 8 Nav Report Perimeter Grabs All 2014-2015

AREA 1 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

Corrective Measure Implementation Report Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project Boeing Plant 2 Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location						SD-PE	R101										SD-PI	ER102				
Construct	ion Season	Construction	n Season 1	1		Cor	nstructio	n Season 2	Co	nstructi	on Season :	3	Constru	uctio	n Season 1		Co	nstructio	on Season 2	Co	nstruct	on Season	3
Samı	pling Event	Pre- Construction	Pos Constru		1	Pre- Construc		Post- Construction	Pre Constru		Pos Constru		Pre- Construction	n	Post- Construction	n	Pre Constru		Post- Construction	Pre Constru		Pos Constr	
Colle	ection Date	12/4/2012	3/8/20	013		12/13/2	013	3/12/2014	9/15/2	014	3/16/2	015	12/4/2012		3/8/2013		12/17/2	2013	3/13/2014	9/16/2	2014	3/17/2	2015
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.	.33		0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0	.33
•		SD-PER101-1212	SD-PER10	01-031	13 5	SD-PER10	1-1213	SD-PER101-0314	SD-PER10	01-0914	SD-PER1	01-0315	SD-PER102-12	212	SD-PER102-03	313	SD-PER10	2-1213	SD-PER102-0314	SD-PER1	02-0914	SD-PER1	02-0315
	SMS SQS						1 1 1		9 - 1 - 111														
Analyte	Criteria 3	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																							
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.78	3			3.18		1.96	2.73		1.57		2.33		2.73		2.46		1.73	1.2		2.11	1
Wetals (mg/kg)	l I																						
Arsenic	57	12.3	12.5			8.2		9	9.4		12.4		11.9		11.7		9		8.2	8.3		11.3	3
Cadmium	5.1	0.6	1			0.4	U	0.7	0.4	U	0.7		0.5		0.9		0.4	U	0.7	0.4	U	0.6	6
Chromium	260	29	31			30		30.9	29		31		26		29		24		31	31		26	6
Copper	390	47.6	49.4			46.8		44.1	44.4		52.9		42.4		45.2		33.6		35.4	42.3		38.3	3
Lead	450	18	18			17		19	17		22		15		17		11		14	13		15	5
Mercury	0.41	0.11	0.19	J	J	0.07		0.11	0.11		0.12		0.11		0.15		0.19		0.07	0.09		0.12	
Silver	6.1	0.6 U	0.6			0.6	U	0.6 U	0.6		0.6	_	0.6 U		0.6 U		0.6		0.6 U	0.6			3 U
Zinc	410	102 J	102			96		92	94		106		90	J	96		72		79	90		80)
PCBs (µg/kg)																							
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9 U	3.9	U		20	U	3.9 U	3.9	U	4	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.7	U	3.9 U	3.9	U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U	3.9	U		20	U	3.9 U	3.9	U	4	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.7	U	3.9 U	3.9	U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9 U	3.9			20		3.9 U	3.9		4	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.7		3.9 U	3.9		3.9	
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9 U	3.9	U		20		3.9 U	3.9	U		U	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.7		3.9 U	3.9	U		U
Aroclor 1248	NE	27	46			60	Y UY	31	19		78		24		45		26		12	18		34	
Aroclor 1254	NE	61	72			71		57	39		110		58		70		49		36	36		57	
Aroclor 1260	NE	36	46			42		50	31		56		31		42		31		66	18		31	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	124	164			113		138	89		244		113		157		80		114	72		122	2
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	4.5	5.5			3.6		7.0	3.3		15.5		4.8		5.8		3.3		6.6	6.0		5.8	3

AREA 1 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location						SE	D-PER10)3													SD-P	ER104								
Construct	ion Season	Cons	structio	on Season	1	С	onstru	ction Se	ason 2		C	onstruc	tion Seas	on 3		Cons	struc	ction Season	1		Con	structio	on Seasor	2		Co	onstruc	ction S	eason 3	3	
Sami	oling Event	Pre-		Pos			e-		Post-		Pr			Post-		Pre-		Pos			Pre-			ost-		Pre			Pos		
Cum	pinig Event	Construc		Constr					onstruct		Constr			struct		Construct		Constr		n	Construc		Cons			Constru			Constr		n
Colle	ection Date	12/4/201	12	3/8/2		12/17	/2013		3/12/201	4	9/17/	2014	3/1	7/201	5	12/4/201	2	3/8/2			12/17/20	13	3/12	2/2014	4	9/16/2	014		3/17/2	2015	
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33		0 - 0		0 - 0			0 - 0.33		0 - 0			- 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0			0 - 0.33			0.33		0 - 0.			0 - 0		
		SD-PER103	-1212	SD-PER1	03-03	13 SD-PER	103-12 ⁻	13 SD-	PER103	-0314	SD-PER	103-091	SD-PE	R103-	0315	SD-PER104-	-1212	2 SD-PER1	04-0	313	SD-PER104	-1213	SD-PER	104-	0314	SD-PER10	04-0914	4 S	D-PER1	04-0	315
	SMS SQS																														
Analyte	Criteria 3	Value Q	1 Q2	Value	Q1 (Q2 Value	Q1 (Q2 Va	ue Q	1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1	Q2	Value Q1	I Q	Q2 Value	Q1	Q2	Value Q	1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 V	/alue	Q1	Q2
Conventionals																															
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.43		3.3		4.26			1.67		2.53		2.6	6		2.79		2.98			3.1		2.22	:		2.19			1.83		
Metals (mg/kg)																															
Arsenic	57	12.4		11.1		14.1			8.8		8.6		10.			10.2		11.8			12.4		10.5	i		10.2			10.8		
Cadmium	5.1	0.6		0.9		0.5			0.7		0.4		0.			0.5		0.9			0.5 U		0.8			0.5			0.7		
Chromium	260	29		30		33			30		32			3		28		28			25		31			34			31		
Copper	390	48.6		47.9		61.9			63.1		47.9		48.			46.3		45.5			43.8		45.5			51.2			51		
Lead	450	18		18		25			20		20		_	9		16		19			16		20			20			20		
Mercury	0.41	0.13		0.14		0.11			0.15		0.04		0.0	_		0.08		0.19			0.12		0.12			0.11			0.11		
Silver	6.1	0.6 U	-	0.6	_	0.8			0.6 U		0.6			6 U		0.6 U	-	0.6	U		0.7 U		0.6			0.6 L	,		0.6 (U	
Zinc	410	127	J	104		125)		95		104		9	9		96	J	94			90		96	1		113			103		
PCBs (μg/kg)	1																														
Aroclor 1016		3.9 U			U	3.9			3.9 U		3.9			9 U		3.9 U		4 (3.9 U		3.9			3.9 L			3.9 l		
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U			U	3.9			3.9 U		3.9			9 U		3.9 U		4 (3.9 U		3.9			3.9 L			3.9 (
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9 U 3.9 U			U U	3.9			3.9 U 3.9 U		3.9			9 U 9 U		3.9 U 3.9 U		4 1	_		3.9 U 3.9 U		3.9			3.9 L			3.9 l 3.9 l		
Aroclor 1242 Aroclor 1248	NE NE	26		49			Y L	IV	29		3.9			9 U 8		22	+	40	_		3.9 U	UY	26			3.9 C	,		42	U	
Aroclor 1246 Aroclor 1254	NE NE	58		80		100		, 1	60		65			7		42		63			77	01	56			62			72		
Aroclor 1260	NE	39		80		72			60		43			8		26		110	P	J	120		70			42			83		
Total PCBs	130	123		209		172			149		139		17			90		213		J ⁶	197		152			143			197		
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) 5	12	5.1		6.3		NA			8.9		5.5		6	5		3.2		7.1		J ⁷	6.4		6.8			6.5			10.8		

Page 2 of 4

AREA 1 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location							S	D-PE	R105								
Constructi	on Season	Const	ructio	n Season	1		C	Const	ructio	n Season	2		(Const	ructio	n Season	3	
Samn	oling Event	Pre-			st-			re-			st-			re-			ost-	
Cump	Jiiiig Event	Construction		Consti		on	Const			Const			Const			Const	ructio	on
Colle	ection Date	12/4/2012		3/8/2	2013		12/19	9/2013	3	3/13	/2014		9/16	/2014		3/17	/2015	,
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33		0 - 0	0.33		0 - 0	0.33		0 -	0.33		0 - 0	0.33		0 -	0.33	
	Sample ID	SD-PER105-1	212	SD-PER	105-0	313	SD-PER	105-1	213	SD-PER	105-0	314	SD-PER	105-0	914	SD-PER	105-0	315
	SMS SQS																	
Analyte	Criteria 3	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2
Conventionals																		
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.04		1.62			2.99			2.5			2.47			1.97		
Metals (mg/kg)	<u> </u>																	
Arsenic	57	11		9.7			9.2			8.7			8.4			10		
Cadmium	5.1	0.5		0.8			0.4			0.6			0.4	IJ		0.6		
Chromium	260	26		27.8			29	_		30			30.5			28.3		
Copper	390	38.2		41			49.6			35.8			43			40.4		
Lead	450	14		14			18			15			15			15		
Mercury	0.41	0.09		0.12			0.16			0.15			0.1			0.08		
Silver	6.1	0.6 U		0.6	U		0.6	U		0.6	U		0.5	U		0.5	U	
Zinc	410	84	J	96			100			80			95			83		
PCBs (µg/kg)																		
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9 U		4	U		20	U		3.9	U		4	U		4	U	
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U		4	U		20	U		3.9	U		4	U		4	U	
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9 U		4	U		20	U		3.9	U		4	U		4	U	
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9 U		4	U		20	U		3.9	U		4	U		4	U	
Aroclor 1248		19		42			46			17			24			35		
Aroclor 1254	NE	54		62			88			42			48			56		
Aroclor 1260	NE	73		41			54			48			36			30		
Total PCBs	130	146		145			188			107			108			121		
(μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	100			. 40			.50			.07								
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	7.2		9.0			6.3			4.3			4.4			6.1		

Page 3 of 4

AREA 1 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location					SD-PE	R106										5	D-PER12	6 (Field	Dup. of SD-PE	R106)				
Constructi	on Season	Construction	on Season 1		Cons	tructio	n Season	2	(Constr	uctio	n Season 3			Const	ruction	n Season 1	С	onstruc	tion Season 2		Cons	struction	on Season	3
Samp	oling Event	Pre- Construction	Post- Constructio	n Cor	Pre- structi	on	Po Const	st- ruction	P Const	re- ructior	ı	Post- Construct	ion		Pre- struction	on	Post- Construction	Pr Constr		Post- Constructi	on	Pre- Construct	ion		st- ruction
Colle	ection Date	12/11/2012	3/12/2013	12	/13/201	3	3/13/	/2014	9/15	/2014		3/19/201	5	12/	11/201	2	3/12/2013	12/13	/2013	3/13/201	4	9/15/201	4	3/19	/2015
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.33	C	- 0.33		0 - 0	0.33	0 -	0.33		0 - 0.33		0 -	- 0.33		0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0	0.33
	Sample ID	SD-PER106-1212	SD-PER106-0	313 SD-P	ER106-	1213	SD-PER	106-0314	SD-PER	106-09	14	SD-PER106-	0315	SD-PE	R126-1	212	SD-PER126-0313	SD-PER1	26-1213	SD-PER126-	0314	SD-PER126	0914	SD-PER	126-0315
	SMS SQS																								
Analyte	Criteria 3	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2 Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals	I.																								
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.64	3	J 3	3.1		1.76	J	1.4		J	2.58		2.35			1.7 J	3.11		2.4		2.35	J	2.12	
Metals (mg/kg)	I																								
Arsenic	57	14.8	13.9	8	3.1	J	9.8		9.8		J	12.2		13.5			12.6	13.2		10		12.1	J	11.1	
Cadmium	5.1	0.6	1.2	(.4		0.8		0.4			0.6		0.6			1	0.4	U	0.7		0.4		0.5	
Chromium	260	30	35		32		33		27.7			29		29			31	31		32		29.8		28.6	
Copper	390	47.7	56.2	53	8.8		50.2		45			45.9		45.6			46	53.7		46.4		45.7		40.9	
Lead	450	21	22		22		26		19			18		20			18	24		23		20		16	
Mercury	0.41	0.15	0.19		05 U		0.13		0.08			0.09		0.14			0.14	0.06		0.12		0.11		0.09	
Silver	6.1	0.6 U	0.6 U		0.6 U		0.6		0.5	_		0.6 U		0.6	_		0.6 U	0.6	U	0.6 U		0.6 U		0.5	
Zinc	410	107	117	1	12		107		92			92		104			101	118		101		104		87	
PCBs (µg/kg)																									
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9 U	3.9 U		18 U		3.8	U	4	U		3.9 U		19	U		3.8 U	18	U	3.9 U		3.9 U			U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U	3.9 U		18 U		3.8		4	U		3.9 U		19			3.8 U	18		3.9 U		3.9 U			U
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9 U	3.9 U		18 U		3.8		4	U		3.9 U		19			3.8 U	18		3.9 U		3.9 U			U
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9 U	3.9 U		18 U		3.8		· ·	U		3.9 U		19			3.8 U	18	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		1	U
Aroclor 1248	NE	27	17		73 Y	UY	30		32			34 P	J	34			33 J	52		32		28		35	
Aroclor 1254	NE	59	31		90	J	65		61			56		86			52 J	94		66		52		58	
Aroclor 1260	NE	36 J	26	2	00	J	56		34			35		79		J	40	64		72		27		58	
Total PCBs (μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	122 J ⁶	74	J ⁶ 3	90	J ⁶	151		127			125	J ⁶	199		J ⁶	125 J ⁶	210		170		107		151	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	4.6 J ⁷	2.5	J 7 12	2.6	J 7	8.6	J 7	9.1		J ⁷	4.8	J 7	8.5		J 7	7.4 J ⁷	6.8		7.1		4.6	J 7	7.1	

Note(s)

- 1. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are as follows:
- U = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value.
- P = analyte detected on both chromatographic columns; RPD >40% with no chromatographic interference.
- 2. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
- J = analyte positively identified; value is approximate concentration in sample.
- 3. Criteria obtained from Table 3 of Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring QAPP (AMEC et al. 2012e).
- 4. Total PCBs calculated by summing results for detected Aroclors.
- 5. NA: TOC outside the range for normalization (<0.5% or >4.0%).
- If 20% or more of total detected Aroclors are qualified as estimated, the total calculated PCB concentration will also be considered estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier.
- 7. If the total calculated PCB concentration is considered to be estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier, then the organic carbon normalized value will also be assigned a "J" qualifier. Organic carbon-normalized PCB values will also be considered estimated if the TOC value is qualified as estimated.

Abbreviation(s)

ft = feet
mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
mg/kg OC = milligrams per kilogram organic carbon
NE = not established
PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls
Q1 = laboratory qualifiers
Q2 = validation qualifiers

QAPP = Quality Assurance Project Plan

RPD = relative percent difference
SMS SQS = Washington Sediment Management
Standards Sediment Quality Standards
(WAC 173-204-320)
TOC = total organic carbon
μg/kg = micrograms per kilogram
μg/kg Dry-Weight = micrograms per kilogram dry weight
WAC = Washington Administrative Code

<u>Reference(s)</u>

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc., Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc., and Floyd|Snider, Inc. (AMEC et al.). 2012e. Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.

Revised Table 9 to Perimeter All Years_110315

AREA 2 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location					SD-PE	ER201											SD-F	PER202							
Construct	tion Season	Co	nstructio	on Season 1	Co	nstructio	n Season	2	Co	onstructi	on Season :	3	C	onstructi	on Season 1		С	onstructio	on Season :	2		Co	onstru	ıction	Season 3	
Sam	pling Event	Pre Constru		Post- Construction	Pre Constru		Po Constr		Pro Constr		Po: Constr		Pr Constr		Post- Construc		Pro		Pos Constr		n	Pro		1	Pos Constru	
Coll	lection Date	12/11/2	2012	3/11/2013	12/10/2	2013	3/14/	/2014	9/16/2	2014	3/16/	2015	12/5/	2012	3/11/20	13	12/10/	2013	3/14/2	2014		9/19/2	2014		3/17/2	015
Samp	le Depth (ft)	0 - 0.	.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.	.33	0 - 0	0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0	33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.3	3	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.3	33
	. , ,	SD-PER2	01-1212	SD-PER201-0313	SD-PER2	01-1213	SD-PER2	201-0314	SD-PER2	01-0914	SD-PER2	01-0315	SD-PER2	202-1212	SD-PER202	2-0313	SD-PER2	02-1213	SD-PER2	02-03	314	SD-PER2	02-09	14	SD-PER20	02-0315
	SMS SQS																									
Analyte	Criteria 3	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value (Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																										
Total Organic Carbor (percent)		2.06		1.77	2.09		2.26	6	2.26		0.927		2.2	2 J	2.06		2.75		2.13			2.25			2.09	
Metals (mg/kg)	•																									
Arsenio	57	10.1		11.9	11		10.5	5	9.4		9.7		14.1		10.4		11.5		10.3			11.7			12.4	
Cadmium	5.1	0.5		0.9	0.4	U	0.6	6	0.4		0.6		0.5	5	1		0.4	U	0.7			0.4	U		0.7	
Chromium		24.1		29	31		30		30.5		28.8		28.6		30.7		29.9		33.8			29.9			32.2	
Coppe	r 390	32.8		44.4	52.7		38.6		43.8		42.8		41.9		44.6		48.4		43.8			46.6			49.9	
Lead		32		16	21		17	•	18		17		15		17		20		21			20			20	
Mercury	0.41	0.14		0.13 J	0.15		0.1		0.1		0.11		0.44		0.15		0.12		0.12			0.15			0.1	
Silve		0.6		0.6 U	0.6			6 U	0.6		0.5			U	0.5 L	J	0.6		0.6			0.5	U		0.5	
Zino	410	75		96	113		87	7	96		88		93	3 J	95		102		95			98			93	
PCBs (µg/kg)																										
Aroclor 1016		3.8		3.9 U	3.9			9 U		U	3.9			U	3.9 L			U	3.8			3.9			4	
Aroclor 1221		3.8		3.9 U	3.9			9 U		U	3.9			U	3.9 L			U	3.8			3.9			4	
Aroclor 1232		3.8		3.9 U	3.9			9 U		U	3.9			U	3.9 L			U	3.8			3.9			4	
Aroclor 1242	-	3.8		3.9 U	3.9			9 U		U	3.9			U	3.9 L	J		U	3.8			3.9			4	
Aroclor 1248		16		41	34		25		40		35			Y UY			36		38			37			59	
Aroclor 1254		45 31		65 45	70 56		54 92		85 56		66		360		66 49		91 73		90 120			110 100			98 100	
Aroclor 1260 Total PCBs		92		151	160		17		181		151		360		156		200		248			247			257	
(μg/kg Dry-Weight) ² Total PCBs	•	92		151	160		17	1	181		151		360	,	136		200		248			247			257	
(mg/kg OC)	12	4.5		8.5	7.7		7.6	6	8.0		16.3		16.4	J 7	7.6		7.3		11.6			11.0			12.3	

AREA 2 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

	Location				SD	-PER2	203													SI	D-PER204					
Construct	ion Season	Construction	on Season 1		Construc	tion S	Season 2			Consti	uctio	n Season 3			Coi	nstructio	on Season	1	Co	onstru	ction Season	2	Co	nstructi	on Season 3	3
Sam	pling Event	Pre- Construction	Post- Constructio	n	Pre- Construction		Post		1	Pre- Construction	n	Pos Constru		n	Pre- Constru		Pos Constru		Pro		Po: Constr		Pro		Pos	
Coll	ection Date	12/11/2012	3/11/2013		12/11/2013		3/14/20)14		9/17/2014		3/16/2	015		12/5/2	012	3/11/2	2013	12/11/	2013	3/17/2	2014	9/17/2	2014	3/18/2	2015
Sampl	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.3	33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	33		0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.	.33
	. ,		SD-PER203-0	313	SD-PER203-1213	3 SI	D-PER20		14	SD-PER203-0	914	SD-PER2		315	SD-PER20		SD-PER2						SD-PER2		SD-PER2	
	SMS SQS	00 1 211200 1212		1							• • •	02 1 2.12			02 : =:::20		02 : 2:::						02 1 2.1.2			
Analyte	Criteria ³	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q	2 \	Value	Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1	Q2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals	•																									
Total Organic Carbon (percent)		2.07	1.93		2.35		2.76			2.66		1.34			2.37	J	2.6		2.9)	2.09		2.43		2.31	
Metals (mg/kg)																										
Arsenio	57	14.9	12.7		11.3		11.7			12		11.9			11.8		12.1		10.7	7	11.6	6	11.4		10.8	
Cadmium	5.1	0.6	1		0.4 U		0.8			0.6		0.6			0.5		1		0.4	U	8.0	3	0.5		0.7	
Chromium	260	32	31		31		32			39		32			28		28.6		29		35		31		33.2	
Copper	390	61.9	74.4		65		69.8			104		74.2			42.9		43.8		47.2		43.2		43.4		49.3	
Lead	450	29	35		31		35			118		29			16		25		18		21		18		21	
Mercury	0.41	0.17	0.13		0.11		0.12			0.08		0.13			0.1		0.14		0.12	_	0.11		0.06		0.12	
Silver	6.1	0.7 U	0.6 U		0.6 U		0.6	U		0.7 U		0.7	_		0.6		0.6		0.6		0.7		0.6		0.6	
Zinc	410	158	154		139		140			230		123			93	J	97		101		95	5	94		102	
PCBs (µg/kg)																										
Aroclor 1016	NE	4 U	4 U		3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9 U		3.9	U		4	U	4	U	3.9	U	3.9	U	3.9	U	4	U
Aroclor 1221	NE	4 U	4 U		3.9 U		3.9			3.9 U		3.9			4			U	3.9		3.9		3.9			U
Aroclor 1232	NE	4 U	4 U		3.9 U		3.9			3.9 U		3.9			4			U		U	3.9		3.9			U
Aroclor 1242	NE	4 U	4 U		3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9 U		3.9			4	_		U	3.9		3.9		3.9	_		U
Aroclor 1248		15	29		20		29			27		38			_	Y UY	38		28		35		40		44	
Aroclor 1254	NE	32	46		42		59			54		63			54		60		67		74		90		80	
Aroclor 1260	NE	24	38		32		59			35		36			36		43		68	3	120)	65		95	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	71	113		94		147			116		137			90		141		163	3	229		195		219	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	3.4	5.9		4.0		5.3			4.4		10.2			3.8	J 7	5.4		5.6	3	11.0		8.0		9.5	

AREA 2 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

	Location				SD-PE	R205									SI	D-PER206						
Construct	ion Season	Constructio	n Season 1		Construction	on Season 2	Co	nstruction	on Season	3	Construction	on Season	1	Co	nstru	ction Seas	on 2		Cons	structio	n Season 3	,
Sam	pling Event	Pre- Construction	Pos Constru		Pre- Construction	Post- Construction	Pre Constru		Pos Constr		Pre- Construction	Pos Constru		Pre Constru			Post- struction	Co	Pre- nstruc	tion	Post Construc	
Coll	ection Date	12/6/2012	3/11/2	013	12/11/2013	3/17/2014	9/19/2	014	3/18/2	2015	12/5/2012	3/11/2	2013	12/12/2	2013	3/	4/2014	9	/17/201	4	3/18/20	015
Sampl	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.	.33	0	- 0.33		0 - 0.33	3	0 - 0.3	33
	Sample ID	SD-PER205-1212	SD-PER20	05-0313	SD-PER205-1213	SD-PER205-0314	SD-PER2	05-0914	SD-PER2	205-0315	SD-PER206-1212	SD-PER2	06-0313	SD-PER2	06-12	13 SD-PE	R206-0314	SD-F	ER206	-0914	SD-PER20	06-0315
	SMS SQS								-													
Analyte	Criteria ³	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2 Value	e Q1 Q2	2 Val	ue C	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals	•																					
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.46	2.13		2.77	2.5	2.42		1.18	3	1.83 J	1.21		1.36		1	.39		1.2		0.53	
Metals (mg/kg)																						
Arsenio	57	10.3	11.4		12.4	12.4	11.2		9.7	,	9.6	5.3		3.7			7.1		6.9		6.4	
Cadmium	5.1	0.5	0.9		0.4 U	0.7	0.4		0.7	7	0.3 U	0.5		0.3	U		0.4		0.3 U		0.3	
Chromium	260	32.3	27.9		30	33	30.2		30.7	7	23.4	19.5		16		1	9.8		19.4		18.2	
Copper	390	35.4	41.3		52.5	44.7	47.3		44.6		30.9	26.2		23.6		2	4.4		24.8		24.6	
Lead	450	14	18		22	22	21		18		19	18		19			18		16		17	
Mercury	0.41	0.1	0.15		0.18	0.13	0.14		0.08		0.1	0.12		0.04			.07		0.03 U		0.06	
Silver	6.1	0.6 U	0.5		0.6 U	0.6 U	0.5		0.5		0.5 U	0.5		0.5	U		0.5 U		0.4 U		0.4	
Zino	410	110 J	96		111	97	100		90)	75 J	59		64			57		60		54	
PCBs (µg/kg)																						
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9 U	3.9	U	3.9 U	3.9 U	3.9	U	3.9	U	3.9 U	4	U	19	U		3.9 U		3.8 U		3.8 (U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U	3.9	U	3.9 U	3.9 U	3.9	U	3.9	U	3.9 U	4	U	19	U		3.9 U		3.8 U		3.8 (U
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9 U	3.9	U	3.9 U	3.9 U	3.9	U		U	3.9 U	4	U	19	U		3.9 U		3.8 U		3.8 (
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9 U	3.9	U	3.9 U	3.9 U	3.9		3.9		3.9 U		U	19			3.9 U		3.8 U		3.8 l	
Aroclor 1248	NE	25	84		32	51	52		53		16 Y UY	25		40			13		16		20	
Aroclor 1254	NE	61	140		80	110	120		90		35	42		78			31		44		41	
Aroclor 1260	NE	52	180		100	290	110		110)	28	28		48			37		23		32	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	138	404		212	451	282		253	3	63	95		166			81		83		93	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	5.6	19.0		7.7	18.0	11.7		21.4		3.4 J ⁷	7.9		12.2			5.8		6.9		17.5	

AREA 2 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

	Location						SI	D-PER207									SI	D-PER208						
Construc	tion Season	Co	onstr	ructio	on Season 1		Constru	ıction Season 2	Co	nstructi	on Season :	3	Construction	on Season	1	Co	nstru	ction Seas	on 2		Cons	structio	n Season 3	
San	npling Event	Pro	-	on	Pos Constru		Pre- Construction	Post- Construction	Pre		Pos Constru		Pre- Construction	Pos Constru		Pre Constru			Post- struction		Pre- Construc	tion	Post Construc	
Co	llection Date	12/5/	2012	?	3/12/2	013	12/12/2013	3/17/2014	9/19/2	014	3/18/2	2015	12/6/2012	3/12/2	2013	12/12/2	2013	3/	17/2014		9/25/201	14	3/19/20	015
Samı	ole Depth (ft)	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.	.33		- 0.33		0 - 0.33	3	0 - 0.3	33
	Sample ID	SD-PER2	207-1	212	SD-PER20	7-031	3 SD-PER207-12	13 SD-PER207-0314	SD-PER2	07-0914	SD-PER2	07-0315	SD-PER208-1212	SD-PER2	08-0313	SD-PER2	08-12	13 SD-P	R208-031	4 S	D-PER208	-0914	SD-PER20	8-0315
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³					Q1 (Q2 Value Q1 Q2	_	Q1 Q2		Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2		Q1 Q2	Value		Q2 Valu				Q1 Q2		Q1 Q2
Conventionals																								
Total Organic Carbo (percen		2.71		J	3.62		3.19	2.77	2.55		1.92		2.13	2.26		2.53		:	2.42		2.09		1.53	
Metals (mg/kg)																								
Arseni	ic 57	10.5	5		12.5		11.6	12.4	13.5		10.9		11.5	11.5		11.6			0.8		10.8		9.6	
Cadmiur	n 5.1	0.4	L		0.9		0.4 U	0.8	0.4	U	0.7		0.5	1		0.4	U		0.7		0.4		0.6	
Chromiur	m 260	24.4	l .		26		24	33	33		31		26.8	29.9		29			31		34.2		30.5	
Сорре	er 390	35.7	7		40.6		37.6	46.7	50.4		46.9		38.1	42.3		47.4		;	39.9		43.2		37.7	
Lea	d 450	14			17		14	22	21		20		14	17		19			20		22		19	
Mercui	y 0.41	0.09			0.12		0.1	0.13	0.3		0.1		0.11	0.13		0.09).12		0.11		0.09	
Silve			U		0.6		0.7 U	0.6 U	0.6	_	0.6		0.5 U	0.6		0.6			0.6 U		0.5 U	ı	0.5	IJ
Zin	c 410	79)	J	86		79	101	106		95		86 J	96		98			91		97		84	
PCBs (µg/kg)																								
Aroclor 101	6 NE		U		3.8	U	19 U	3.9 U	4	U	3.9	U	3.8 U	4	U	18	U		8.4 U		3.9 U	ı	4 (
Aroclor 122	1 NE		U		3.8		19 U	3.9 U		U	3.9		3.8 U		U	18			8.4 U		3.9 U		4	
Aroclor 123	2 NE		U		3.8		19 U	3.9 U		U	3.9		3.8 U		U	18			8.4 U		3.9 U		4	
Aroclor 124			U		3.8		19 U	3.9 U		U	3.9		3.8 U		U	18	_		8.4 U		3.9 U	l	4 (_
Aroclor 124				UY	29	Y U		31	43		42		27	36		56			46		47		71	
Aroclor 125		46			62		100	64	100		76		66 J	57		120			96		120		160	
Aroclor 126	-	28	3		44		95	84	76		89		53	37		130			160		100		370	
Total PCB (µg/kg Dry-Weight)	130	74	ı		106		247	179	219		207		146 J ⁶	130		306			302		267		601	
Total PCB (mg/kg OC)	₅ 12	2.7	,	J ⁷	2.9		7.7	6.5	8.6		10.8		6.9 J ⁷	5.8		12.1			2.5		12.8		39.3	

AREA 2 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location								S	D-PE	ER209								
Constructi	on Season	Co	onstr	uctic	n Season ′	1		Co	nstr	uctio	n Season	2		Co	nstr	uctio	n Season 3	3	
Samp	oling Event	Pre	_	n	Pos Constru		n	Pre Constru		n	Pos Constru	•	n	Pre Constru		n	Pos Constru	•	n
Colle	ection Date	12/5/2	2012		3/12/2	2013		12/12/2	2013		3/14/2	2014		9/17/2	014		3/18/2	015	
	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0	33		0 - 0.			0 - 0.	33		0 - 0	33		0 - 0.			0 - 0.3		_
•	Sample ID			212	SD-PER2		313	SD-PER2		213	SD-PER2		314	SD-PER2		914	SD-PER20		₹15
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1		Value	Q1		Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1		Value	Q1		Value	Q1	
Conventionals																			
Total Organic Carbon (percent)		1.09)	J	0.438			1.23			1.22			0.652			0.412		
Metals (mg/kg)																			
Arsenic	57	6.7			3.9			5.9			9.6			5.8			6.3		
Cadmium	5.1	0.3	U		0.5			0.3	U		0.4			0.3	U		0.3		
Chromium	260	23	3		11.6			15.2			18.9			14.3			18.2		
Copper	390	12.9)		10.2			20.8			20.4			17			17.4		
Lead	450	7			4			26			18			9			10		
Mercury	0.41	0.03	_		0.03	_		0.03	_		0.03			0.03	U		0.04		
Silver	6.1	0.4	_		0.4	_		0.4	U		0.4	_		0.4			0.4	U	
Zinc	410	36	i	J	25			47			49			38			39		
PCBs (µg/kg)																			
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9	U		3.7	U		19	U		3.9	U		4	U		3.8	U	
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9	U		3.7	U		19			3.9	U			U		3.8	U	
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9			3.7			48		UY	3.9				U		3.8		
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9			3.7	_		19			3.9	_			U		3.8	_	
Aroclor 1248	NE	5.8		UY	3.7	_		19	U		17			10			37	Р	J
Aroclor 1254	NE	18	_		3.5	-		68			43			23			80		
Aroclor 1260	NE	13	1		3.7	U		33			28			12			26		
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	31			3.5	J		101			88			45			143		J ⁶
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	2.8	1	J ⁷	NA			8.2			7.2			6.9			NA		

Revised Table 9 to Perimeter All Years_110315

AREA 2 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

	Location						SD-PE	R210											SD-PER23	0 (Field	Dup. of SD-PER210)				
Construct	ion Season	Co	onstr	ructio	on Season 1	Co	nstructio	n Season 2	2		Co	nstructi	on Season 3		Co	nstruction	on Season	1	Co	nstruc	tion Season 2	Co	nstru	ction Seas	on 3	
Sam	pling Event	Pro Constr	-	on	Post- Construction	Pro Constru		Pos Constru		n	Pre Constru		Post Construc		Pre Constru		Pos Constru		Pre Constru		Post- Construction	Pre Constru			Post- struction	n
Coll	ection Date	12/6/	2012	:	3/13/2013	12/13/	2013	3/21/2	014		9/22/2	014	3/18/20	15	12/6/2	2012	3/13/2	2013	12/13/2	2013	3/21/2014	9/22/2	014	3/1	18/2015	
	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0			0 - 0.33	0 - 0		0 - 0.			0 - 0		0 - 0.3		0 - 0.		0 - 0		0 - 0.		0 - 0.33	0 - 0.			- 0.33	
- Campi		SD-PER2		212	SD-PER210-0313	SD-PER2		SD-PER2		314	SD-PER2		SD-PER21		SD-PER2		SD-PER2		SD-PER2			SD-PER2			R230-03	315
	SMS SQS	05 1 2.1.2						05 1 2.1.2			00 1 2.12				_		_									
Analyte	Criteria ³	Value	Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 (Q2 Value	9 Q1	Q2
Conventionals																										
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.66	6		2.35	2.4		1.95			2.11		2.06		2.21		2.53		1.99		2	2.19		1	.85	
Metals (mg/kg)	•																									
Arsenic	57	12.1	ı		10.7	8.3		10.8			10.5		9.8		11.5		9.8		9.5		10.8	9.5		1	0.8	
Cadmium	5.1	0.5	5		0.9	0.4	U	0.4	U		0.5		0.7		0.5		0.9		0.4	U	0.4 U	0.4			0.6	
Chromium	260	28.5			26.3	28		36		J	30.9		32		28.3		28		29		28.1	29.5			29	
Copper	390	39.5	5		39.1	40.2		41.7			44.2		48.1		39.5		39		45.5		41.9	41.6			2.8	
Lead	450	15			16 J	16		17			19		19		16		15		18		16	18			17	
Mercury	0.41	0.1			0.11 J	0.09		0.21		J	0.11		0.1		0.11		0.11		0.31		0.11	0.12			.09	
Silver		0.6			0.6 U	0.6		0.6			0.6		0.6	J	0.6		0.5		0.6		0.5 U	0.5			0.6 U	
Zinc	410	90)	J	88	90		91			97		98		89	J	87		94		88	92			89	
PCBs (µg/kg)																										
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9	U		3.7 U	19	U	3.9	U		3.9	U	4 (J	3.8	U	3.9	U	19	U	3.9 U	4	U	;	3.9 U	
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9	U		3.7 U	19	U	3.9	U		3.9		4 (J	3.8	U	3.9	U	19	U	3.9 U	4	U		3.9 U	
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9			3.7 U		U	3.9			3.9		4 (3.8		3.9		19		3.9 U		U		3.9 U	
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9			3.7 U	_	U	3.9	_		3.9		4 (J	3.8		3.9		19		3.9 U		U		3.9 U	
Aroclor 1248		26			30		Y UY	28			42		30		19		34		48		26	33			35	
Aroclor 1254		48		J	49	74		64			98		53		39		48		93		49	82			58	
Aroclor 1260	1	50)		38	60		77			72		56 I) J	35		32		69		50	66			56	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	124	1	J ⁶	117	134		169			212		139	J ⁶	93	J _e	114		210		125	181		1	149	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	4.7	7	J ⁷	5.0	5.6		8.7			10.0		6.7	J ⁷	4.2	J ⁷	4.5		10.6		6.3	8.3			8.1	

AREA 2 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location								S	D-PE	R211								
Construct	ion Season	Co	nstr	uctio	on Season	1		Co	nstr	uctio	n Season 2	2		Co	nstr	uctio	n Season 3	3	
Samı	oling Event	Pre Constru		n	Pos Constru		n	Pre Constru		n	Pos Constru	•	n	Pre Constru		n	Pos Constru		n
Colle	ection Date	12/6/2	2012		3/13/2	2013		12/19/2	2013		3/17/2	014		9/22/2	014		3/19/2	015	
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.	33		0 - 0.	33		0 - 0.	.33		0 - 0.	33		0 - 0.	33	
	Sample ID	SD-PER2	11-1	212	SD-PER2	11-0	313	SD-PER21	11-12	213	SD-PER2	11-0	314	SD-PER2	11-0	914	SD-PER2	11-0:	315
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1		Value	Q1		Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1		Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2
Conventionals	•																		
Total Organic Carbon (percent)		2.03			2.66			1.79			2.48			2.05			1.7		
Metals (mg/kg)																			
Arsenic	57	9.2			9.4			10.7			11.8			9.9			8.2		
Cadmium	5.1	0.4			0.9			0.3	U		0.7			0.4			0.5		
Chromium	260	23.8			25.4			24.6			30.6			27.8			25.2		
Copper	390	33.6			36.8			35.7			36.4			72.5			34		
Lead	450	15			16			15			19			17			15		
Mercury	0.41	0.08			0.17			0.11			0.1			0.1			0.08		
Silver	6.1	0.4	U		0.6	U		0.5	U		0.5	U		0.5	U		0.5	U	
Zinc	410	77		J	85			79			87			95			73		
PCBs (µg/kg)																			i
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.8	U		3.8	U		20	U		3.8	U		3.8	U		3.8	U	
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.8	U		3.8	U		20	U		3.8	U		3.8	U		3.8	U	
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.8	U		3.8	U		20	U		3.8	U		3.8	U		3.8	U	
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.8	U		3.8	U		20			3.8	U		3.8	U		3.8	U	
Aroclor 1248	NE	43			190	Υ	UY	2400	ΕY	UY	28			96	Υ	UY	43		
Aroclor 1254	NE	77		J	600			17000			63			550			70		
Aroclor 1260	NE	45			79			3600			85			190			75		
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	165		J ⁶	679			20600			176			740			188		
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	8.1		J ⁷	25.5			1150.8			7.1			36.1			11.1		

Page 7 of 8

AREA 2 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location						SD-F	PER212									SI	D-PE	R213					
Constructi	on Season	Co	onstr	uctio	n Season 1	Co	nstruct	ion Season 2	Co	onstructi	on Season :	3	Co	nstructi	ion Season 1	Coi	nstru	ıctior	n Season 2		Cons	struction	on Season	3
Samp	oling Event	Pre Constru		n	Post- Construction	Pre Constru		Post- Construction	Pr Constr		Pos Constru		Pre Constru		Post- Construction	Pre- Constru		n	Post- Construction		Pre- struct	ion	Pos Constru	
Colle	ection Date	12/6/2	2012		3/13/2013	12/17/2	2013	3/24/2014	9/17/2	2014	3/19/2	015	12/11/2	2012	3/13/2013	12/17/2	013		3/24/2014	9/1	7/201	4	3/19/2	2015
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.3	33		0 - 0.33	0	- 0.33	3	0 - 0.	.33
•	• • •	SD-PER2	12-12	212	SD-PER212-0313	SD-PER21	2-1213	SD-PER212-0314	SD-PER2	12-0914	SD-PER2	12-0315	SD-PER21	3-1212	SD-PER213-0313	SD-PER21	3-12	13	SD-PER213-0314	SD-PE	R213	-0914	SD-PER2	13-0315
	SMS SQS								V - 1 - 1 - 1															
Analyte	Criteria ³	Value	Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	! Value	Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q	2 Value	Q	1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																								
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	1.39			1.37	0.37		1.09	0.7		0.543		2.22		2.67	1.78			1.6	0.0	78		2.52	
Metals (mg/kg)																								
Arsenic	57	9)		6.4	5.2		4.9 J	4.4	l l	5.2		9.4		8.2	5.8			9.5		7.2		10	
Cadmium	5.1	0.3	U		0.6	0.3	U	0.3 U	0.3	U	0.3		0.6		1	0.3	U		0.4		0.3		0.7	
Chromium	260	15.2			20	12.5		13.4	14.9)	13.5		27		32	18.9			29.7		34		30	
Copper	390	26.5	,		54.4	15.8		26.3 J	17.5	5	15.6		41.7		49.3	26.3			50.9	3	3.3		48	3
Lead	450	17			37	12		12	14	l l	11		18		21	11			23		15		22	2
Mercury	0.41	0.04			0.08	0.03	U	0.03 U	0.03	U	0.04		0.1		0.15	0.06			0.12	0	.04		0.13	3
Silver	6.1	0.4	U		0.5 U	0.4	_	0.4 U	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.6	U	0.6 U	0.5	U		0.5 U		0.5 U		0.6	U
Zinc	410	67		J	98	39		44	42	2	39		90		108	59			99		79		97	
PCBs (µg/kg)																								
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.8	U		3.9 U	3.8	U	3.9 U	4	U	3.9	U	4	U	3.8 U	3.8	U		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8	U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.8	U		3.9 U	3.8	U	3.9 U	4	U	3.9	U	4	U	3.8 U	3.8	U		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8	U
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.8	U		3.9 U	3.8	U	3.9 U	4	U	3.9	U	4	U	3.8 U	3.8	U		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8	U
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.8	U		3.9 U	3.8	U	3.9 U	4	U	3.9	U	4	U	3.8 U	3.8	U		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8	U
Aroclor 1248	NE	15	;		43	19	Y UY	14	9.1		13		28		61	25	Υ	UY	57		27		44	
Aroclor 1254	NE	34		J	81	34		32	28	3	27		61		120	47			86		56		73	3
Aroclor 1260		27			96	32		79 J	18	3	84		34		70	31			74		36		37	
Total PCBs (μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	76		J ⁶	220	66		125 J ⁶	55.1		124		123		251	78			217	,	19		154	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	5.5		J ⁷	16.1	NA		11.5 J ⁷	7.9		22.8		5.5		9.4	4.4			13.6	1	2.2		6.1	

Note(s)

- 1. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are as follows:
- EY = Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte. Value raised due to chromatographic interferences.
- P = analyte detected on both chromatographic columns; RPD >40% with no chromatographic interference.
- U = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value.
- Y = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value.

 The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interferences.
- 2. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
- UY = analyte was not detected; raised reporting limit.
- J = analyte positively identified; value is approximate concentration in sample.
- Criteria obtained from Table 3 of Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring QAPP (AMEC et al. 2012e).

- 4. Total PCBs calculated by summing results for detected Aroclors.
- 5. NA: TOC outside the range for normalization (<0.5% or >4.0%).
- If 20% or more of total detected Aroclors are qualified as estimated, the the total calculated PCB concentration will also be considered estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier.
- 7. If the total calculated PCB concentration is considered to be estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier, then the organic carbon normalized value will also be assigned a "J" qualifier. Organic carbon-normalized PCB values will also be considered estimated if the TOC value is qualified as estimated.

Abbreviation(s)

ft = feet

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram mg/kg OC = milligrams per kilogram organic carbon

NE = not established PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls

Q1 = laboratory qualifiers Q2 = validation qualifiers

QAPP = Quality Assurance Project Plan

SMS SQS = Washington Sediment Management Standards Sediment Quality Standards (WAC 173-204-320)

TOC = total organic carbon

μg/kg = micrograms per kilogram

µg/kg Dry-Weight = micrograms per kilogram dry weight WAC = Washington Administrative Code

Reference(s)

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc., Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc., and Floyd|Snider, Inc. (AMEC et al.).

2012e. Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish
Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila,
Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.

Page 8 of 8

AREA 3 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location					SD-PI	ER301										SD-PE	R302						
Construction	on Season	Constru Seaso		Co	nstruction	on Season 2		Co	onstruction Se	asor	1 3		Constr		Constru	uctic	on Season 2			Constru	uction Se	easor	3	
		Pre	9-	Pre)-	Post-	Pro	e-	Pre-		Post-		Pro	e-	Pre-		Post-	Pr	e-		Pre-		Pos	st-
Samp	ling Event	Constru	uction	Constru	uction	Construction	Constr	uction	Construction	n	Construction	on	Constr	uction	Construction	n	Construction	Constr	uction	Co	onstructio	on	Constr	uction
Colle	ction Date	12/11/	2012	12/13/	2013	3/14/2014	7/14/2	2014	9/12/2014		3/9/2015		12/10/	/2012	12/16/2013		3/13/2014	7/14/	2014	9	9/12/2014	ļ	3/9/2	2015
Sample	Depth (ft)	0 - 0.	.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0	.33
•	0	00.0500	04 4040	00.0500	04 4040	OD DEDOM 0044	00.0500	04.074.4	CD DEDOM 0	04.4	CD DEDOM (245	OD DEDO	200 4046	0D DED000 40	240	0D DED000 0044	0D DED		4 60 1	DEDAGG (2044	CD DED2	202 0245
	Sample ID	SD-PER3	01-1212	SD-PER3	01-1213	SD-PER301-0314	SD-PER3	301-0714	SD-PER301-0	914	SD-PER301-0	J315	SD-PER3	302-1212	SD-PER302-12	213	SD-PER302-0314	SD-PER	302-071	4 SD-I	PER302-0	J914	SD-PER3	02-0315
Analyta	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 C	2 Val	lue Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																								
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	1.72		1.87		1.82 J	1.1		1.73		1.83	J	1.75		1.69		1.59	2.67		C	0.789		1.57	J
Metals (mg/kg)																								
Arsenic	57	8.5		6.2		4.2	7.2	UJ	10.2		9.3		8.3		6.5		5.6	6.6	U	J	5.5		6.5	
Cadmium	5.1	0.5		0.4	U	0.5	0.5		0.4 U		0.4		0.4		0.3 U		0.5	0.4			0.3 U		0.5	
Chromium	260	23.8		23		34.4	29.4		29.1		27		19.3		26.8		25.1	24			24.9		26.3	
Copper	390	29.3		32.6		29	34.1		40		31.2		22.5		29		27.1	26.7			27.3		33.6	
Lead	450	10		13		8	9		19		11		8		11		11	10			10		13	
Mercury	0.41	0.06		0.06		0.05 J	0.08		0.07		0.24		0.06		0.06		0.06	0.07			0.06		0.07	
Silver	6.1	0.5		0.6		0.4 U	0.5		0.5 U		0.6 U		0.5		0.5 U		0.5 U	0.5			0.5 U		0.5	
Zinc	410	68		66		52	69	U	99		75		59		73		67	68	U		72		79	
PCBs (µg/kg)																								
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.8		20	_	3.9 U	3.9		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8		3.9 U		4 U	3.8			4 U		3.8	
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.8	_	20		3.9 U	3.9		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8	_	3.9 U		4 U	3.8	_		4 U		3.8	
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.8		20	_	3.9 U	3.9		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8		3.9 U		4 U	3.8			4 U		3.8	
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.8		20		3.9 U	3.9		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8		3.9 U	1.157	4 U	3.8			4 U		3.8	
Aroclor 1248	NE	9.8		32		7.9	8.7		34		24		7.1			UY	14	15			24		28	
Aroclor 1254 Aroclor 1260	NE NE	21 17		55 51		16 21	23 16	_	69 36		39 29		13 8.6		24 16		25 33	34 27			39 31		50 47	
Total PCBs	NE	17		51		Z1	16		30		29		8.6		10		33	21			31		47	
(μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	47.8		138		44.9	47.7	J ⁶	139		92		28.7		40		72	76			94		125	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	2.8		7.4		2.5 J ⁷	4.3	J 7	8.0		5.0	J 7	1.6		2.4		4.5	2.8			11.9		8.0	J 7

Page 1 of 7

AREA 3 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location						SD-	-PER303										SI	D-PEF	R304					
Construction	on Season	Construction Season 1		Constr	uctio	on Season	2		C	onstruction Seaso	n 3			Construction Season 1		Constru	ction Seaso	on 2			C	onstructi	on Seaso	n 3	
0		Pre-		Pre-		Pos	t-	Pro) -	Pre-		Post-		Pre-		Pre-	Р	ost-		Pr	e-	F	re-	Pos	st-
Samp	ling Event	Construction	on	Construction	on	Constru	ction	Constr	uction	Construction	Cons	structi	on	Construction	Con	truction	Cons	tructio	n	Constr	uction	Cons	truction	Constr	uction
Colle	ction Date	12/7/2012	2	12/16/2013	3	3/13/2	014	7/14/2	2014	9/11/2014	2/2	26/201	5	12/6/2012	12/	20/2013	3/1	7/2014		7/14/	2014	9/12	2/2014	2/27/	2015
Sample	Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33	0	- 0.33		0 - 0.33	0	0.33	0 -	0.33		0 - 0	.33	0 -	0.33	0 - 0	.33
	Sample ID SD-PER3		1212	SD-PER303-1	1213	SD-PER3)3-03 ⁻	14 SD-PER3	03-0714	SD-PER303-0914	SD-PE	R303-	0315	SD-PER304-1212	SD-PE	R314-12	13 SD-PEI	R304-0	314	SD-PER:	304-0714	SD-PER	R304-0914	SD-PER3	04-0315
Analyta	SMS SQS	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 (Q2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	2 Value	01	Q2	Value Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1	Q2 Value	Q1	02	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
7 mary to	Criteria 3	Value Q1	٧.	value Q1	٧.	Value	۷. ۱	QL Value	۷. ۷.	value Q1 Q2	Value	<i>,</i> ~.	~~	value Q1 Q	- Valu	٠.	value	۷.	~-	Value	Q. Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q. Q2
Conventionals																									
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.17		1.95		1.45		1.15		1.32	2.	24	J	1.38	1.	35	1.4	19		0.452		0.646	6	2.28	
Metals (mg/kg)																									
Arsenic	57	10.9		9.1		7.4		8	UJ	8.4	8	3.3		7.6		.7	7	.2		5.8	UJ	7.4	1	6.6	
Cadmium	5.1	0.5		0.4 U		0.5		0.4		0.4 U	(0.5		0.4	(.3 U	0	.6		0.3	3	0.3	3 U	0.4	
Chromium	260	20		25		28.3		25.5		28.4	26	6.3		24	24	.5	25	.9		22.5		25.6	6	27.4	
Copper	390	27.2		36.2		31.9		30.5		36.4	32	2.6		27.2	28	.8	3	30		22.8	3	29.1		30.1	
Lead	450	9		13		13		10		13		12		8		10	1	15		7		12		11	
Mercury	0.41	0.09		0.08		0.07		0.08		0.07		06		0.06		80	0.1	12	J	0.06		0.04	1	0.08	
Silver	6.1	0.6 U		0.6 U		0.5	J	0.5		0.6 U).5 U		0.5 U		.5 U		.5 U		0.5			I U	0.5	
Zinc	410	62		76		74		72		83		75		68 J		71	7	73		60		75	5	71	
PCBs (µg/kg)																									
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8 U		20 U	3	.8 U		3.9	U	3.8	3 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8	_	3.9	_	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8 U		20 U		.8 U		3.9			3 U	3.9	
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8		3.9		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8 U		30 Y (.8 U		3.9	_		3 U	3.9	
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8	U	3.9		3.9 U		3.9 U		3.8 U		20 U		.8 U		3.9			3 U	3.9	
Aroclor 1248	NE		UY	!	UY	13		14		24		24		8	_	20 U		19		5.9		19		32	
Aroclor 1254	NE	23		52		28		36		56		42 B		14 J		41		35			P J	40		48	
Aroclor 1260	NE	22		100		41		28		41		29 P	J	10	1 1	20 .	4	10		8.3		20)	64	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	45		152		82		78		121		95	J ⁶	32 J ⁶	1	51 .	6 9	94		28.2	J 6	79	9	144	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	2.1		7.8		5.7		6.8		9.2	4	1.2	J 7	2.3 J ⁷	1	.9 .	7 6	.3		NA		12.2	2	6.3	

Revised Table 9 to Perimeter All Years_110315

AREA 3 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location					SD-P	ER305										SE)-PE	R306					
Constructi	on Season	Constructi Season		Coi	nstructi	on Season 2		Co	onstruction Se	easor	n 3		Construction Season 1	ı	Construction	on Season	2			C	onstructio	n Seasoi	n 3	
C	line Frant	Pre-		Pre	-	Post-	Pro	9-	Pre-		Post		Pre-		Pre-	Pos	st-		Pre	:-	Pr	e-	Po	st-
Samp	oling Event	Constructi	on	Constru	ction	Construction	Constr	uction	Construction	on	Construc	tion	Construction	1	Construction	Constr	uctio	n	Constru	ıction	Constr	uction	Constr	uction
Colle	ection Date	12/7/201	2	12/16/2	2013	3/11/2014	7/14/2	2014	9/11/2014	ļ.	2/27/20	15	12/10/2012		12/19/2013	3/11/2	2014		7/14/2	014	9/15/	2014	2/27/	2015
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.3	3	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0	.33
	Sample ID	SD-PER305-	1212	SD-PER30	05-1213	SD-PER305-0314	SD-PER3	05-0714	SD-PER305-0	0914	SD-PER30	5-0315	SD-PER306-121	12	SD-PER306-1213	SD-PER3	306-03	314	SD-PER3	06-0714	SD-PER	306-0914	SD-PER3	306-0315
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value (Q1 Q2	Value Q1 C	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals	Officia	74.40																\exists						
Total Organic Carbon	_	2.18		2.25		1.93	1.04		1.34		1.69		2.98		1.87	1.46			0.877		1.72	,	2.23	
(percent)	_	2.10		2.23		1.93	1.04		1.54		1.09		2.90		1.07	1.40			0.077		1.72		2.23	
Metals (mg/kg)																								
Arsenic	57	9.7		10.2		7.8	8.7	UJ	7.7		8		10.9		8.6	7.7			8.9	UJ	9.3		8.7	
Cadmium	5.1	0.4		0.4	U	0.6	0.4		0.3 U		0.4		0.5		0.4 U	0.6			0.5		0.3		0.5	
Chromium	260	21		27		26.2	25.2		27		24.9		25		31.2	25			29.7		26.7		29.5	
Copper	390	26.7		38.7		33.4	30.7		31.2		31		36.9		44.7	31.8	_		31.5		31.6		38.3	
Lead	450	9		13		13	10		11	-	11		13		17	17			11		19		16	
Mercury	0.41	0.08		0.08		0.09	0.08		0.07		0.06		0.13		0.1	0.09			0.1		0.08		0.14	
Silver	6.1	0.6 U 63		0.7 81	U	0.5 U	0.5 71		0.5 U 74		0.5 L 69		0.6 U 84		0.6 U 103	0.5 77	_		0.5 75	U	0.5 79		0.6 85	
Zinc PCBs (µg/kg)	410	03		01		74	71		74		69		04		103	11		-	75		78		60	'
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9 U		3.8	U	3.8 U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.9 L		3.8 U		20 U	3.8	U		3.8	U	3.9	U UJ	3.9	U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U		3.8		3.8 U	3.8		3.9 U		3.9 L		3.8 U		20 U	3.8			3.8			U UJ	3.9	
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9 U		3.8	U	3.8 U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.9 L		3.8 U		20 U	3.8	U		3.8	U	3.9	U UJ	3.9	U
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9 U		3.8	U	3.8 U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.9 L		3.8 U		20 U	3.8	U		3.8	U	3.9	U UJ	3.9	U
Aroclor 1248	NE	12 Y	UY	17	Y UY	9.6 Y UY	10		17		25 F	J	21		37	31			28		34	. J	39	
Aroclor 1254	NE	25		40		27	25		38		43 E		35		71	61			65		85		62	
Aroclor 1260	NE	20		70		28	23		23		35 F	J	22		52	66			50		38	J	59	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	45		110		55	58		78		103	J ⁶	78		160	158			143		157	J 6	160	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	2.1		4.9		2.8	5.6		5.8		6.1	J ⁷	2.6		8.6	10.8			16.3		9.1	J 7	7.2	

Page 3 of 7

AREA 3 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location					SD-	PER307										SD	D-PER327 (Field D	Oup. of SD	-PER307)			
Construction	on Season	Constru Seaso		Construction	on Season 2	2		C	Construction	Seaso	n 3			Construction Season 1	1	Con	struction	Season 2		С	onstructio	on Seaso	າ 3	
0		Pre	-	Pre-	Post	-	Pro)-	Pre-		Po	st-		Pre-		Pre-		Post-	Pr	e-	Pı	·e-	Pos	st-
Samp	ling Event	Constru	ction	Construction	Constru	ction	Constr	uction	Construct	ion	Constr	uction	า	Construction	า	Construc	ction	Construction	Constr	uction	Const	ruction	Constru	uction
Colle	ction Date	12/7/2	012	12/16/2013	3/11/20	014	7/15/2	2014	9/15/201	14	3/9/2	2015		12/7/2012		12/16/20	013	3/11/2014	7/15/	2014	9/15/	2014	3/9/20	015
Sample	Depth (ft)	0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33	}	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0).33	0 - 0.	.33
	. ` ` ′																							
	Sample ID	SD-PER30	07-1212	SD-PER307-1213	SD-PER30	7-031	4 SD-PER3	07-0714	SD-PER307	-0914	SD-PER	307-03	15	SD-PER327-12	212 8	SD-PER32	7-1213 S	SD-PER327-0314	SD-PER3	327-0714	SD-PER	327-0914	SD-PER32	27-0315
Analyta	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q	1 Q2	Value	Q1 (Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value 0	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																								
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.25		2.94	1.55	J	1.51	J	1.84	J	3.53			2.05		2.88		2.41	0.886	J	2.45	5 J	3.91	
Metals (mg/kg)																								
Arsenic	57	9		13.3 J	6.5	J	8.1	UJ	6.9	J	7.8			8.6		9.8		8.1	7		10.2	2 J	8	
Cadmium	5.1	0.4		0.4 U	0.6		0.5		0.4 U		0.4			0.4		0.4 U	J	0.6	0.5		0.4	I U	0.5	
Chromium	260	22.4		28	27		26.7		26.4		24			22		31		26	26.8		31		26	
Copper	390	29.3		39.2	116	J	35.1		33.2	J	28.8			29		34.7		35.2	35.6		43.6	S J	32.6	
Lead	450	11		14	13		11		11		9			9		10		15	9		18	3	10	
Mercury	0.41	0.06		0.07	0.09		0.09		0.07		0.09			0.09		0.08		0.09	0.12		0.09)	0.06	
Silver	6.1	0.6 l	U	0.7 U	0.6 l	J	0.6	U	0.6 U		0.6	U		0.6 U		0.6 U	J	0.6 U	0.5	U	0.6	S U	0.6	U
Zinc	410	65		83	73		77		75	J	63			63		72		74	72		98	3 J	70	
PCBs (µg/kg)																								
Aroclor 1016	NE	4 l	U	3.8 U	3.9 ℓ	J	3.8	U	4 U		3.9	U		3.9 U		3.9 U	J	3.9 U	3.8	U	3.8	3 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1221	NE	4 l	U	3.8 U	3.9 ₪	J	3.8	U	4 U		3.9	U		3.9 U		3.9 U	J	3.9 U	3.8	U	3.8	3 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1232	NE	4 l	U	3.8 U	3.9 ℓ	J	3.8	U	4 U		3.9	U		3.9 U		3.9 U	J	3.9 U	3.8	U	3.8	3 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1242	NE	4 l	U	3.8 U	3.9 l	J	3.8	U	4 U		3.9	U		3.9 U		3.9 U	J	3.9 U	3.8		3.8	3 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1248	NE	9.9	Y UY	17 Y UY	9.8	Y U	Y 10		12	J	16			9.8 Y l	UY	17 Y	/ UY	9.8 Y UY	8.5		32	2 J	16	
Aroclor 1254	NE	20		53 P J	25		19		25	J	27			20		44		20	24		64		28	
Aroclor 1260	NE	19		55	34		12		15	J	15			22		56		30	18		28	3 J	17	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	39		108 J ⁶	59		41		52	J 6	58	J	6	42		100		50	50.5	J 6	124	J 6	61	J ⁶
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	1.7		3.7 J ⁷	3.8	J ⁷	2.7	J ⁷	2.8	J ⁷	1.6	J	7	2.0		3.5		2.1	5.7	J 7	5.1	J 7	1.6	J 7

Page 4 of 7

AREA 3 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location					SD-PI	ER308										SD-PE	R309						
Construction	on Season	Constru Seaso		Co	nstructio	on Season 2		C	onstruction S	easoı	n 3		Constr Seas		Constru	ıctio	on Season 2			Cons	struction Se	easor	1 3	
		Pre	e-	Pre)-	Post-	Pre	}-	Pre-		Post		Pr	e-	Pre-		Post-	Pı	e-		Pre-		Pos	st-
Samp	ling Event	Constru	uction	Constru	ıction	Construction	Constru	uction	Constructi	on	Construc	tion	Constr	uction	Construction	n	Construction	Consti	uction		Construction	on	Constr	uction
Colle	ction Date	12/10/	2012	12/19/2	2013	3/11/2014	7/15/2	2014	9/15/201	4	3/9/20	15	12/10/	/2012	12/19/2013		3/21/2014	7/15/	2014		9/16/2014	1	3/9/2	2015
Sample	Depth (ft)	0 - 0.	.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.3	3	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0	.33
•	. ,																			_				
	Sample ID	SD-PER3	08-1212	SD-PER3	08-1213	SD-PER308-0314	SD-PER3	08-0714	SD-PER308-	0714	SD-PER30	3-0315	SD-PER3	809-1212	SD-PER309-12	213	SD-PER309-0314	SD-PER	309-07 ⁻	14 SI	D-PER309-0	0914	SD-PER3	09-0315
Analyta	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value (Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	2 Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 (٦2 ١	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																								
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	3.47		2.32		2.16	1.64		1.74		1.34		2.67		2.41		1.1	1.38			0.668		1.8	J
Metals (mg/kg)																								
Arsenic	57	8.2		9.9		8.3	9.6	UJ	8.8		7.3		7.3		6.1		4.7	4.3	l	UJ	3.2		5.9	
Cadmium	5.1	0.5		0.4		0.7	0.5		0.3 U		0.3		0.4		0.4 U		0.3 U	0.4			0.3 U		0.4	
Chromium	260	25		28		30.5	26.2		29.2		25.1		21.8		24.5		24.5	24.2			31		25.9	
Copper	390	36.2		42.2		39.4	33.2		33.9		29		25.6		31.4		25.7	25.8			31.9	J	30.4	
Lead	450	13		16		20	13		15		14		8		10		6	6			4		9	
Mercury	0.41	0.13		0.18		0.11	0.11		0.07		0.07		0.05		0.09		0.04	0.04			0.03 U		0.1	
Silver	6.1	0.6	U	0.6	U	0.6 U	0.5		0.5 U		0.5		0.5	U	0.6 U		0.4 U	0.4	U		0.4 U		0.5	U
Zinc	410	85		93		89	77	U	79		68		64		72		55	56			55		67	
PCBs (µg/kg)																								
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9	U	19	U	4 U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.8 L	1	4	U	20 U		4 U	3.8	U		4 U		3.9	U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9	U	19	U	4 U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.8 L		4	U	20 U		4 U	3.8	U		4 U		3.9	U
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9	U	19	U	4 U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.8 L	ı	4	U	20 U		4 U	3.8	U		4 U		3.9	U
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9	U	19	U	4 U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.8 L	ı	4	U	20 U		4 U	3.8	U		4 U		3.9	U
Aroclor 1248	NE	30		46		34	26		29		43		11		20 U		7.7	6.8			5.3		14	
Aroclor 1254	NE	44		81		72	79		60		86		23		42		13	13			12		23	P J
Aroclor 1260	NE	24		48		95	89		30	J	150		14		46		16	10			9.4		24	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	98		175		201	194		119	J ⁶	279		48		88		36.7	29.8			26.7		61	J 6
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	2.8		7.5		9.3	11.8		6.8	J 7	20.8		1.8		3.7		3.3	2.2			4.0		3.4	J 7

Page 5 of 7

AREA 3 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

	Location						SD-PER	310												SD-PI	ER311						
Constructi	ion Season	Constru Seaso			Constr	uctio	n Season 2		Co	onsti	ructio	on Season 3	3	Construc Season			Const	ructio	on Season	2			Co	onstruction	n Seasc	n 3	
Same	oling Event	Pre	;-		Pre-		Post-	-	Pr	·е-		Post	-	Pre-			Pre-		Pos	t-	Pro) -		Pre)-	Po	st-
Samp	Jillig Evelit	Constru	ıction	Cons	structio	n	Construc	tion	Constr	ucti	on	Constru	ction	Construc	tion		Constructi	on	Constru	ction	Constr	uctic	on	Constru	ıction	Constr	uction
Colle	ection Date	12/10/	2012	12/	19/2013	3	3/12/20	14	9/16/	2014	4	2/27/20)15	12/10/20)12		12/20/201	3	3/12/2	014	7/15/2	2014		9/16/2	014	2/27/	2015
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.	33	0	- 0.33		0 - 0.3	3	0 - 0	0.33		0 - 0.3	3	0 - 0.3	3		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0	.33
	Sample ID	SD-PER3	10-12	12 SD-PE	R310-1	213	SD-PER31	0-0314	SD-PER	310-0	0914	SD-PER31	0-0315	SD-PER311	I-1212	2 S	SD-PER311-	1213	SD-PER3	11-0314	SD-PER3	11-0	714	SD-PER3	11-0914	SD-PER3	311-0315
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1 (Q2 Value	Q1	Q2	Value 0	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	2 Value 0	Q1 Q2	2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	. Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals	!																										
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.62		2.	68		2.77		2.31			2.49		2.15			1.94		1.44		1.6			1.85		2.06	
Metals (mg/kg)																											
Arsenic	57	8.1			9		9		9.5	5		9.7		26.8			9.4		6.2		10		UJ	9		8.9	
Cadmium	5.1	0.7		().5		0.9		0.6	3		0.6		0.5			0.4 U		0.5		0.6			0.4		0.4	
Chromium	260	33			37		36		35			31		26			30.1		24.3		28			32.1		28	
Copper	390	56.3			l.2		57.9		54.6	_		43.9		32.4			38.3		28.7		37.2			33.5		36.3	
Lead		28			31		33		40			21		11			19		11		13			25		13	
Mercury	0.41	0.14			13		0.16		0.16			0.12		0.09			0.09		0.07		0.12			0.07		0.06	
Silver		0.6	U).6 U		0.6 U	J	0.6			0.6 l	J	0.6 U		_	0.6 U		0.5	U	0.6			0.5		0.6	
Zinc	410	119		1	33		121		126	5		94		81		-	94		67		82			96		82	
PCBs (μg/kg)	T																										
Aroclor 1016		3.8			19 U		3.8 U			U		4 l		3.8 U			19 U	1	4		3.8			3.8			U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.8			19 U		3.8 U			l U		4 l		3.8 U		\perp	19 U		4		3.8			3.8	_		U
Aroclor 1232		3.8			19 U		3.8 U			l U		4 l		3.8 U		_	19 U		4		3.8	_		3.8			U
Aroclor 1242		3.8	U		19 U		3.8 U)		U		4 l	J	3.8 U			19 U		4		3.8			3.8			U
Aroclor 1248		47			76		56		86			56	_	14		-	30		9.9	Y UY	15			35		23	
Aroclor 1254	NE	87 51			50 84		92 62		130			90 E 51	3	29		+	66	+	24		37 20			68 29		39	
Aroclor 1260 Total PCBs	NE	51			04		62		60	,		51		21		+	46	+	22		20			29		24	
(µg/kg Dry-Weight) 4	130	185		3	10		210		276	6		197		70			142		46		72			132		86	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	7.1		11	.6		7.6		11.9	9		7.9		3.3			7.3		3.2		4.5			7.1		4.2	

AREA 3 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location						SD-I	PER312											S	D-PI	ER313					
Constructi	ion Season	Constructi Season 1	-	Co	nstructio	on Season 2			С	onstructio	n Seaso	n 3			onstru Seaso		Constru	uctio	n Season 2			Co	onstruction	Seaso	n 3	
Samr	pling Event	Pre-		Pre		Post	•	Pr		Pro		Pos			Pre		Pre-		Post-		Pre		Pre		Po	
Cump	piilig Evelit	Constructi		Constru		Constru		Constr		Constr		Constru			onstru		Constructio		Construction		Constru		Constru		Consti	
Colle	ection Date	12/7/201	2	12/16/	2013	3/12/20	14	7/14/	2014	9/11/2	2014	2/26/2	015		12/7/2	012	12/16/2013		3/12/2014	1	7/14/2	014	9/11/2	014	2/27/	2015
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	.33	0 - 0.3	3	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.	33		0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0).33
	Sample ID	SD-PER312-	1212	SD-PER3	12-1213	SD-PER31	2-031	4 SD-PER3	312-0714	SD-PER3	12-0914	SD-PER31	2-031	5 SD-	PER31	13-1212	SD-PER313-1	213	SD-PER313-0	0314	SD-PER3	13-0714	SD-PER3	3-0914	SD-PER	313-0315
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Va	lue	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																										
Total Organic Carbon	_	2.19		2.36		2.05	J	1.84		1.73	J	1.93	J		2.15		2.63		2.14		1.32		2.01		2.34	l l
(percent)																										
Metals (mg/kg)																										
Arsenic	57	10		9.3		8.6		9.8		9.6		11.5			12		9.5		8.2		10	UJ	8.3		9	
Cadmium	5.1	0.5		0.4		0.6		0.5		0.4		0.6			0.5		0.5 U		0.5		0.6		0.4	J	0.5	
Chromium	260	25		26		26		29		28		30			26		28		26.3		31		28.2		27	
Copper	390	37.8		39		34.5		37.7		37.9		42.7			37.8		41.1		32.7		42.6		36		36.6	
Lead	450	11		12		14		12		13		14			12		12		13		24		11		11	
Mercury	0.41	0.07		0.11		0.1	-	0.09		0.08		0.1			0.1		0.07		0.09		0.11		0.06		0.08	
Silver	6.1	0.7 U		0.6		0.6 l	,	0.6		0.6		0.6	J		0.7	U	0.7 U		0.6 U		0.6	U	0.5	J	0.6	
Zinc	410	79		78		71		82		81		87			80		83		70		91		77		75)
PCBs (μg/kg)																										
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9 U		3.8		3.9 l		3.9		3.9		4			3.9		3.8 U		3.9 U		4		3.9			U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U		3.8		3.9 ℓ		3.9		3.9		4			3.9		3.8 U		3.9 U		4		3.9			U
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9 U		3.8		3.9 ℓ		3.9		3.9		4			3.9		3.8 U		3.9 U		4		3.9			U
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9 U		3.8		3.9 ℓ	J	3.9		3.9		4	J		3.9		3.8 U		3.9 U		4	U	3.9	J		U
Aroclor 1248	NE	_	UY					11		15		27	_		9.7	Y UY	_	UY	15		14		20			P J
Aroclor 1254	NE	27		29		44		33		41		53	3		24		45		42		47		50			В
Aroclor 1260	NE	27		28		66		29		31		48			29		70		62		49		39		19	P J
Total PCBs (μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	54		57		124		73		87		128			53		115		119		110		109		69	J 6
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	2.5		2.4		6.0	J 7	4.0		5.0	J ⁷	6.6	J	7	2.5		4.4		5.6		8.3		5.4		2.9	J 7

Note(s)

- 1. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are as follows:
- U = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value.
- Y = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interferences.
- P = analyte detected on both chromatographic columns; RPD >40% with no chromatographic interference.
- B = analyte detected in an associated method blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's reporting limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample
- 2. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
 - UJ = analyte was not detected at or above the associated reporting limit value; reporting limit is estimated and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
 - UY = analyte was not detected at or above associated reporting limit value; raised reporting limit.
- J = analyte positively identified; value is approximate concentration in sample.

- 3. Criteria obtained from Table 3 of Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring QAPP (AMEC et al. 2012e).
- 4. Total PCBs calculated by summing results for detected Aroclors.
- 5. NA: TOC outside the range for normalization (<0.5% or >4.0%).
- 6. If 20% or more of total detected Aroclors are qualified as estimated, the total calculated PCB concentration will also be considered estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier.
- 7. If the total calculated PCB concentration is considered to be estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier, then the organic carbon normalized value will also be assigned a "J" qualifier. Organic carbon-normalized PCB values will also be considered estimated if the TOC value is qualified as estimated.

Abbreviation(s)

ARI = Analytical Resources, Inc. ft = feet

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram mg/kg OC = milligrams per kilogram organic carbon

NA = not applicable, percent carbon less than 0.5 percent

NE = not established

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls Q1 = laboratory qualifiers

Q2 = validation qualifiers

QAPP = Quality Assurance Project Plan RPD = relative percent difference SMS SQS = Washington Sediment Management Standards Sediment Quality Standards (WAC 173-204-320)

TOC = total organic carbon

µg/kg = micrograms per kilogram

µg/kg Dry-Weight = micrograms per kilogram

WAC = Washington Administrative Code

dry weight

<u>leference(s)</u>

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc., Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc., and Floyd|Snider, Inc. (AMEC et al.). 2012e. Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.

Page 7 of 7

AREA 4 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location				SD-PER401										SD-PE	R402					
Construct	ion Season	Construction	on Season 1	Construction	on Season 2		(Construction Seas	on 3		Construction	on Season	1	Construction	on Season	2		Constructi	on Seaso	n 3	
Sam	pling Event	Pre- Construction	Post- Construction	Pre- Construction	Post- Construction	Pr Constr		Pre- Construction		st- ruction	Pre- Construction	Pos Constru		Pre- Construction	Pos Constru		Pre- Construction		re- ruction	Post- Construc	
Colle	ection Date	12/10/2012	3/14/2013	12/10/2013	3/21/2014	7/15/	2014	9/19/2014	2/25	/2015	12/10/2012	3/14/2	2013	12/10/2013	3/21/2	2014	7/15/2014	9/19	/2014	2/25/20	15
Sampl	le Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	0.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.	.33	0 - 0.33	0 -	0.33	0 - 0.33	3
				SD-PER401-1213	SD-PER401-0314									SD-PER402-1213			SD-PER402-071	4 SD-PER	402-0914		
	SMS SQS																				
Analyte	Criteria ³	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	Value Q1 Q	Q2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 C	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value C	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																					
Total Organic Carbon (percent)		2.05	1.91	2.65	1.88	1.48		1.87	2.49	9	1.94	3.76		2.9	2.08		1.33	3.1	2	2.35	
Metals (mg/kg)	•																				
Arsenic	57	8.6	10.6	7.9	11.6	8.3	U.	J 11.6	8.7	7	9.9	8.4		6.9	8.6		8.2 L	J 8.	1	8.8	
Cadmium	5.1	0.5	0.9	0.4 U	0.4 U	0.4		0.4	0.5	5	0.5	0.8		0.4 U	0.4	U	0.6	0.	4 U	0.6	
Chromium	260	29	31	31	31	18.7		32	3′	1	25.4	28		31	29		28.8	28.		29	
Copper	390	35.7	42.5	42.6	44.7	24.9		44.3	38.		33.2	40.3		39.5	36.6		36.6	36.	8	38.2	
Lead	450	18	23	22	24	15		38	33		11	13		13	12		12		4	14	
Mercury	0.41	0.1	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.07		0.14	0.1		0.08	0.1		0.08	0.09		0.09	0.1		0.1	
Silver		0.6 U	0.7 U	0.6 U	0.6 U	0.6		0.5 U		5 U	0.6 U	0.7		0.6 U	0.6		0.6 U		5 U	0.6 U	<u> </u>
Zinc	410	88	98	101	100	58		109	92	2	82	93		93	81		86	8	3	87	
PCBs (µg/kg)																					
Aroclor 1016	NE NE	3.9 U	3.9 U	4 U	4 U	3.8		3.9 U		9 U	3.9 U	3.9		3.8 U		U	3.8 U		4 U	4 U	
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U	3.9 U	4 U	4 U	3.8		3.9 U		U	3.9 U	3.9		3.8 U		U	3.8 U		4 U	4 U	
Aroclor 1232		3.9 U	3.9 U	4 U	4 U	3.8		3.9 U		9 U	3.9 U	3.9		3.8 U		U	3.8 U		4 U	4 U	
Aroclor 1242		3.9 U	3.9 U	4 U	4 U	3.8		3.9 U		U	3.9 U	3.9		3.8 U		U	3.8 U		4 U	4 U	
Aroclor 1248		26	38	35	41	32		110	52		13	23		13	13		13		0	21	
Aroclor 1254		50	63	110	87	92		260		В	26	33		25	25		32		8	37 B	
Aroclor 1260		29	28	52	54	44		100	5′	1	21	18		20	23		18	3	1	24 P	, J
Total PCBs (μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	.1 130	105	129	197	182	168		470	203	3	60	74		58	61		63	9	9	82	J ⁵
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC)	12	5.1	6.8	7.4	9.7	11.4		25.1	8.2	2	3.1	2.0		2.0	2.9		4.7	3.	2	3.5	J 6

Revised Table 9 to Perimeter All Years_110315

AREA 4 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1,2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location							SD-PE	R403												SD-PER404						
Construction	on Season	Соі	nstruction	on Season	1	Co	nstructi	on Season	2		С	onstructio	n Seaso	n 3		Co	nstructi	ion Season 1	Co	nstruction	on Season 2		С	onstruction	Season	3	
Samp	ling Event	Pre Constru		Pos Constr		Pro Constr		Pos Constri		Pre Constru		Pre Constru		Po: Constr		Pre Constru		Post- Construction	Pre		Post- Construction	Pro Constru		Pre- Construc	tion	Pos Constru	
Colle	ction Date	12/10/2	2012	3/14/	2013	12/10/	/2013	3/24/2	2014	7/15/2	2014	9/19/2	2014	2/25/2	2015	12/11/	2012	3/14/2013	12/11/	2013	3/21/2014	7/16/2	2014	9/19/20	14	2/26/2	2015
	Depth (ft)	0 - 0.		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0.		0 - 0.		0 - 0		0 - 0.		0 - 0.33	0 - 0		0 - 0.33	0 - 0		0 - 0.3		0 - 0.	
•	• ` ` /																										
	Sample ID	SD-PER40)3-1212	SD-PER4	03-0313	SD-PER4	103-1213	SD-PER4	03-0314	SD-PER4	03-0714	SD-PER4	03-0914	SD-PER4	03-0315	SD-PER4	04-1212	2 SD-PER404-031:	3 SD-PER4	04-1213	SD-PER404-0314	SD-PER4	04-0714	SD-PER404	I-0914	SD-PER4	04-0315
Analyta	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	2 Value Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value 0	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																											
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	1.95		1.66		2.3		1.55		1.03		2.25		2.19		1.54		1.34	1.72		1.36	1.14	J	2.83		1.46	J
Metals (mg/kg)																											
Arsenic	57	7.9		9.6		8.4		9.8		8.1	UJ	8.6		10.1		9.9		8	7.4		7	7.3		7.9		6.8	
Cadmium	5.1	0.5		0.8		0.4		0.4		0.5		0.4		0.6		0.4		0.6	0.3		0.3 U	0.4		0.4 U		0.5	
Chromium	260	27		28		29		31		26.4		30.2		28		25.4		23.5	25.4		27.7	23.8		25.1		24.9	
Copper	390	33.7		36		38.7		40.1		33.5		36.1		37.5		31.8		30	34.2		34.4	29.5		34.6		31.7	
Lead	450	11		12		14		13		12		15		15		16		14	16		14	12		15		15	
Mercury	0.41	0.05	U	0.08		0.1		0.08		0.08		0.08		0.09		0.08		0.14	0.07		0.08	0.07		0.07		0.06	
Silver	6.1	0.6	U	0.6		0.6	U	0.6	U	0.5		0.5		0.6	_	0.4	U	0.5 U	0.5	_	0.5 U	0.5	U	0.5 U		0.5	_
Zinc	410	80		87		93		91		78		83		84		82		77	84		81	71		78		75	
PCBs (µg/kg)																											
Aroclor 1016	NE	4	U	3.9	U	3.9	U		U	3.8	U	3.9		3.9		3.8	U	4 U		U	4 U	3.9	U UJ	4 U			U
Aroclor 1221	NE	4	U	3.9	U	3.9	U	4	U	3.8	U	3.9	U	3.9	U	3.8		4 U	4	U	4 U	3.9	U UJ	4 U		4	U
Aroclor 1232	NE	4		3.9		3.9			U	3.8		3.9		3.9		3.8		4 U		U	4 U	3.9		4 U			U
Aroclor 1242	NE	4		3.9		3.9			U	3.8		3.9		3.9		3.8		4 U		U	4 U	3.9		4 U			U
Aroclor 1248	NE	10		16		17		15		13		28		28		19		29	42		17	12		26		41	
Aroclor 1254	NE	17		25		34		31		37		62		50		48		40	57		30	32		61		51	
Aroclor 1260	NE	11		13		28		28		24		30		33	P J	26		24	33		25	17	J	32		26	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	38		54		79		74		74		120		111	J ⁵	93		93	132		72	61	J ⁵	119		118	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC)	12	1.9		3.3		3.4		4.8		7.2		5.3		5.1	J ⁶	6.0		6.9	7.7		5.3	5.4	J ⁶	4.2		8.1	J 6

Page 2 of 4

AREA 4 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location									SD-PE	R40	5									\Box
Constructi	ion Season	Co	nstr	uctio	on Season	1	Co	nstr	uctio	on Season	2				С	onstructio	n Se	asor	1 3		
Samp	oling Event	Pre Constru	-	on	Pos Constru	•	Pr Constr	-	on	Pos Constru		on	Pre Constru		on	Pro Constru		n	Pos Constru	•)n
Colle	ection Date	12/14/	2012	2	3/14/2	2013	12/12	/2013	3	3/24/2	2014		7/16/2	2014		9/22/2	2014		2/26/2	2015	
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0	.33		0 - 0	.33		0 - 0	.33		0 - 0	.33		0 - 0.	.33	
	Sample ID	SD-PER4	05-1	212	SD-PER4	05-031	3 SD-PER	105-1	213	SD-PER4	05-0	314	SD-PER4	05-0	714	SD-PER4	05-0	914	SD-PER4	05-0	315
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 C	2 Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2
Conventionals																					
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.14			2.58		1.71		J	1.11			1.04			1.31			2.06		J
Metals (mg/kg)																					
Arsenic	57	10.2			8.3		7.7			8.5			7			8			8.7		
Cadmium	5.1	0.4			0.7		0.4	U		0.4	U		0.5			0.4	U		0.5		
Chromium	260	25			23.3		27			27.8			25.6			26.7			26.3		
Copper	390	29.7			31.6		34.5			35.1			33.2			32.5			31.2		
Lead	450	12			10		18			11			13			14			11		
Mercury	0.41	0.09			0.07		0.06			0.08			0.07			0.09			0.09		
Silver	6.1	0.6	_		0.6	U	0.6	U		0.5	_		0.5	_		0.5	U		0.5	_	
Zinc	410	75			75		80			79			79			80			73		
PCBs (µg/kg)																					
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9	U		3.9	U	19			3.9			3.8	U	UJ		U		3.9	U	
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9	U		3.9	U		U		3.9	U		3.8	U	UJ		U		3.9	U	
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9	U		3.9	U	19			3.9			3.8	U	UJ		U		3.9	U	
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9	U		3.9	U	19			3.9	U		3.8	U	UJ	1	U		3.9	U	
Aroclor 1248	NE	11			17		24		UY	11			13		J	23			19		UY
Aroclor 1254	NE	39			26		44			22			34		J	52				BP	J
Aroclor 1260		55			17		22			22			19		J	56			23		
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) 4	130	105			60		66			55			66		J ⁵	131			55		J ⁵
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC)	1 12	4.9			2.3		3.9		J ⁶	5.0			6.3		J 6	10.0			2.7		J ⁶

Page 3 of 4

AREA 4 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location							SD-P	ER406										5	D-PER4	26 (Field Dup. of S	D-PER406)					
Construction	on Season	Co	nstruct	ion Seaso	n 1	Co	onstruct	ion Seaso	n 2		С	onstructio	n Seaso	n 3		Co	nstruct	ion Season 1	Co	nstructi	on Season 2		С	onstruction	Season	3	
Samp	ling Event	Pre Constru			ost- truction	Pr Constr			ost- ruction	Pre Constr		Pre Constru		Po Constr		Pro Constr		Post- Construction	Pro Constr		Post- Construction	Pre		Pre Constru		Pos Constru	
Colle	ction Date	12/19/2	2012	3/13	3/2013	12/11	/2013	3/24	/2014	7/16/2	2014	9/22/2	2014	2/26/	2015	12/19/	2012	3/13/2013	12/11/	/2013	3/24/2014	7/16/2	2014	9/22/2	014	2/26/2	2015
Sample	Depth (ft)	0 - 0.	33	0 -	0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 -	0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.	.33	0 - 0).33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33	0 - 0.	.33	0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0.	.33
·	Sample ID	SD-PER4	06-1212	SD-PER	406-03°	3 SD-PER	106-1213	SD-PER	406-0314	SD-PER4	06-0714	SD-PER4	06-0914	SD-PER	406-0315	SD-PER4	26-1212	SD-PER426-031	3 SD-PER4	26-1213	SD-PER426-0314	SD-PER4	26-0714	SD-PER42	26-0914	SD-PER4	26-0315
	SMS SQS																										
∆nalvte	Criteria ³	Value	Q1 Q2	2 Value	Q1 (Q2 Value	Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	2 Value Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																											
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.84	J	1.1	5	2.42		1.	3 J	1.22		0.97	J	2.74	J	2.31	J	1.21	2.1		1	1.09		1.9	J	2.53	J
Metals (mg/kg)																											
Arsenic	57	10.1		8.	6	9.8	J	10.	2 J	8		8.9		9.8	3	9.4		7	7.7		7.9	9.5		9.1		9.6	
Cadmium	5.1	0.6		0.	9	0.5	6	0.	4 U	0.5		0.4		0.6	3	0.7		0.9	0.4	U	0.4 U	0.6		0.4		0.5	
Chromium	260	26.3	J	2	9	28	3	28.	4	28.4	J	29.7		30)	36.3	J	28.6	29		28.5	45.6		31.2		28	
Copper	390	34.4	J	31.	4	40.5		36.	2	35.6		37.5		38.7	1	52.1	J	35	39.2		35.8	35		40		37.3	
Lead	450	18			0	16			3	14	J	25		23		131	_	12	15		14	33		22		17	
Mercury	0.41	0.1		0.0		0.08		0.1		0.1		0.08		0.08		0.09		0.11	0.09		0.09	0.08		0.09		0.09	
Silver	6.1	0.6	_		6 U	0.6			5 U	0.5		0.5		0.6		0.6	_	0.5 U	0.6	_	0.6 U	0.5	-	0.6	U	0.6	_
Zinc	410	82	J	8	2	98	1	8	7	84	J	93		90)	136	J	85	94		86	135		95		86	
PCBs (μg/kg)																											
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9		3.	8 U	3.9	_	_	8 U	3.7	U UJ	3.9		3.9		3.9		3.9 U		U	3.9 U	3.8		4		8.1	U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9		3.	8 U	3.9	U	3.	8 U	3.7	U UJ	3.9		3.9		3.9	U	3.9 U	4	U	3.9 U	3.8		4		8.1	U
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9		_	8 U	3.9	_	_	8 U	3.7		3.9		3.9		3.9		3.9 U		U	3.9 U	3.8		4		8.1	_
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9			8 U	3.9			8 U	3.7		3.9		3.9		3.9		3.9 U		U	3.9 U	3.8		4		8.1	_
Aroclor 1248	NE	27			7	22			7	18		53		58		25		20	16		17	19		47		48	
Aroclor 1254	NE	45			4	51			0	46		120		120		63		35	31		35	54		110		91	
Aroclor 1260	NE	29		2	6	39	J	8	4 J	43	J	49	J	53	3	33		20	21		28	27	J	91	J	46	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	101		9	7	112	J 5	14	1 J ⁵	107	J ⁵	222	J ⁵	231		121		75	68		80	100	J ⁵	248	J ⁵	185	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC)	12	3.6	J ⁶	8.	4	4.6	J 6	10.	8 J 6	8.8	J 6	22.9	J ⁶	8.4	J 6	5.2	J 6	6.2	3.2		8.0	9.2	J ⁶	13.1	J ⁶	7.3	J ⁶

Note(s)

- 1. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are as follows:
- U = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value.
- Y = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interferences.
- P = analyte detected on both chromatographic columns; RPD >40% with no chromatographic interference.
- B = analyte detected in an associated method blank at a concentration greater than one-half of ARI's reporting limit or 5% of the regulatory limit or 5% of the analyte concentration in the sample.
- 2. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
- UY = analyte was not detected at or above associated reporting limit value; raised reporting limit.
- UJ = analyte was not detected at or above associated reporting limit value; reporting limit is estimated and may be inaccurate or imprecise.
- J = analyte positively identified; value is approximate concentration in sample.

- Criteria obtained from Table 3 of Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring QAPP (AMEC et al. 2012e).
- 4. Total PCBs calculated by summing results for detected Aroclors.
- If 20% or more of total detected Aroclors are qualified as estimated, the total calculated PCB concentration will also be considered estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier.
- 6. If the total calculated PCB concentration is considered to be estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier, then the organic carbon normalized value will also be assigned a "J" qualifier. Organic carbon-normalized PCB values will also be considered estimated if the TOC value is qualified as estimated.

Abbreviation(s)

ARI = Analytical Resources, Inc.

ft = feet
mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram
mg/kg OC = milligrams per kilogram organic carbon
NE = not established
PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls
Q1 = laboratory qualifiers
Q2 = validation qualifiers
QAPP = Quality Assurance Project Plan

RPD = relative percent difference
SMS SQS = Washington Sediment Management
Standards Sediment Quality Standards
(WAC 173-204-320)
TOC = total organic carbon
μg/kg = micrograms per kilogram
μg/kg Dry-Weight = micrograms per kilogram dry weight
WAC = Washington Administrative Code

Reference(s)

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc., Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc., and Floyd|Snider, Inc. (AMEC et al.). 2012e. Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.

Page 4 of 4

AREA 5 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location uction Season Construmpling Event Construction Ollection Date 12/21/2012				SD-PI	ER501							SD-PI	ER502							SD-PE	ER503			
Constructi	ion Season	Co	nstructio	on Season	1	Co	nstructi	on Season	3	Con	structi	on Season	1	Cor	structi	on Season 3		Co	nstructi	on Season 1		Cons	tructio	n Season	3
Samp	oling Event			Pos Constr		Pr Constr		Pos		Pre- Construc		Po Constr		Pre Constru		Post- Construc		Pre		Post- Construc		Pre- Construc	tion	Pos Constru	
Colle	ection Date	12/21/	2012	3/27/2	2013	9/10/	2014	3/20/2	2015	12/21/20	012	3/27/	2013	9/10/2	014	3/20/20	15	12/21/	2012	3/27/20	13	9/10/20	14	3/20/2	2015
				0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.3		0 - 0		0 - 0.3		0 - 0.33		0 - 0		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	
_										SD-PER50				SD-PER50										SD-PER50	
	0110 000																								
Analyte	Criteria ³	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	? Value	Q1 Q2	Value 0	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value G	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value C	Q1 Q2	Value Q	1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																									
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.13	J	0.805		3.47		2.38		1.48	J	1.26	3	2.44		2.26		2.73	J	4.14		2.82		2.3	
Metals (mg/kg)	l.																								
Arsenic	57	7.4		3.2		13.3		17.1		4.2		4.2	!	11.4		11.9		11.5		11.7		14.4		16.1	
Cadmium	5.1			0.4		0.8		0.8		0.4		0.5		0.6	U	0.8		0.6		0.9		0.5 U		0.9	
Chromium	260	27.2		14.5	J	43		36		25.6		17.6	3	40		35		34		29		38		35	
Copper	390	41.1		20.9	J	83.6		74.9		20.9		19.4		72.3		63.9		43.6		50.6		72.6		63.6	
Lead	450	17		3		41		36		5		4		30		26		15		18		31		26	
Mercury	0.41	Construction Date 12/21/201		0.02	U	0.13		0.13		0.06		0.03		0.14		0.13		0.11		0.12		0.16		0.14	
Silver	6.1			0.3		0.9		0.9		0.4 L	J	0.4		0.9	U	0.8 U		0.5		0.7 U		0.8 U		0.7	
Zinc	410	99		33	1	233		167		45		38	3	156		132		93		98		147		118	
PCBs (µg/kg)																									
Aroclor 1016	NE			3.7		11	_	3.9		3.9 L		3.8		10	_	4 U		3.9		3.9 U		10 U		3.9	
Aroclor 1221				3.7		11		3.9		3.9 L		3.8		10		4 U		3.9		3.9 U		10 U		3.9	
Aroclor 1232				3.7		11		3.9		3.9 L		3.8		10		4 U		3.9		3.9 U		10 U		3.9	
Aroclor 1242				3.7		11		3.9		3.9 L	J	3.8		10	J	4 U		3.9		3.9 U		10 U		3.9	
Aroclor 1248				5.6				120		13			Y UY	87		85		26		50		120		62	
Aroclor 1254				9.9		170		230		31		18		120		110		54		83		140		110	
Aroclor 1260 Total PCBs	NE	30		4.6		94		280		14		11		83		100		36		61		97		100	
(μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	Construct			14.5		394		630		58		29		290		295		116		194		357		272	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	Constructive		J ⁷	1.8		11.4		26.5		3.9	J ⁷	2.3	3	11.9		13.1		4.2	J 7	NA		12.7		11.8	

Page 1 of 6

AREA 5 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location				SD-PI	ER504							SD-P	ER505				;	SD-PER525 (Fi	ield D	up. for SD-	PER50	5)	
Constructi	ion Season	Constr	uction	Season 1		Cons	tructio	on Season	3	Co	nstructi	on Season	1	Const	tructio	on Season 3	Co	onstruction	on Season 1		Cor	struction	on Season	1 3
Samp	oling Event	Pre- Construction	on	Post- Construc		Pre- Construct	tion	Pos Constru		Pre		Po: Constr		Pre- Construct	ion	Post- Construction	Pro Constr		Post- Construction	on	Pre- Constru		Po Constr	st- ruction
Colle	ection Date	12/14/2012	2	3/6/20	13	9/10/201	4	3/16/2	015	12/13/	2012	3/6/2	2013	9/10/201	4	3/16/2015	12/13/	/2012	3/6/2013		9/10/2	014	3/16/	2015
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.3		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0		0 - 0	33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33	0 - 0		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.3		0 - 0).33
Gamp.		SD-PER504-1	1212	SD-PER504		SD-PER504				SD-PER5				SD-PER505-						0313				
	SMS SQS																							
Analyte	Criteria ³	Value Q1	Q2	Value 0	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q	1 Q2	Value Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																								
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	3.21		3.86		3		0.058		3.05		2.34	J	2.73	J	0.049	2.64		3.39	J	1.47	J	0.056	;
Metals (mg/kg)	l.																							
Arsenic	57	15.8		14.7		15.3		3.1		13		13.1		16.3		2.5	10.4		13.1		14		2.2	<u>. </u>
Cadmium	5.1	0.7		1.1		0.5 U		0.3		0.7		0.9		0.5		0.2 U	0.7		1.2		0.5		0.2	
Chromium	260	35		35		37		17.4		33		30		51	J	17.1	29		34		36	J	21.2	<u> </u>
Copper	390	64.7		68.8		63.5		16.4		58.4		52.8		63.6		15	48.2	!	65		62.9		15.2	1
Lead	450	25		23		25		2		25		20		25		2 U	22		26		26		2	2 U
Mercury	0.41	0.19		0.17		0.15		0.02	U	0.14		0.12		0.11		0.02 U	0.08		0.17		0.16		0.02	
Silver	6.1	0.8 U		0.8 U	J	0.8 U		0.3	U	0.7		0.7		0.7 U		0.3 U	0.7		0.7 U		0.7	J	0.3	
Zinc	410	123		122		131		34		116		103		131		27	101		124		127		29	4
PCBs (µg/kg)																								
Aroclor 1016	NE	20 U		3.9 U	J	4 U		3.7		20		3.9		3.9 U		3.8 U	19		3.9 U		4 (3.7	
Aroclor 1221	NE	20 U		3.9 U		4 U		3.7		20		3.9		3.9 U		3.8 U	19		3.9 U		4 (7 U
Aroclor 1232	NE	20 U		3.9 U		4 U		3.7		20		3.9		3.9 U		3.8 U	19		3.9 U		4 (1	7 U
Aroclor 1242	NE	20 U		3.9 U	J	4 U		3.7		20		3.9		3.9 U		3.8 U	19		3.9 U		4 (J		7 U
Aroclor 1248	NE	44		51		64	J	3.7		99		100		80		3.8 U	99		190	J	64			7 U
Aroclor 1254	NE	110		86		110		3.3		230		160		120		3.8 U	200		300	J	100			7 U
Aroclor 1260 Total PCBs	NE	75		64		84		3.7	U	93		99		98		3.8 U	86		120		80		3.7	7 U
(μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	229		201		258	J ⁶	3.3	J	422		359	J ⁶	298		3.8 U	385		610	J ⁶	244		3.7	U
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	7.1		5.2		8.6	J ⁷	NA		13.8		15.3	J 7	10.9	J ⁷	NA	14.6		18.0	J 7	16.6	J 7	NA	

Page 2 of 6

AREA 5 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	on Season	Co										- 05	-PER												
Samı			nstructio	on Season 1		Co	nstructio	on Season 3		Constr	uction Seaso	n 1		Const	ructio	on Season	3	Constr	uctio	on Season	1	Co	nstruc	ion Seas	on 3
	oling Event	Pre		Post- Construction	on	Pre		Post- Construc		Pre- Construction		ost- ruction		Pre- Constructi	on	Pos Constru		Pre- Construction	on	Pos Constri		Pro			Post- struction
Colle	ection Date	12/13/	2012	3/7/2013		9/11/2	2014	3/13/20	15	12/5/2012	2 3/7	2013		9/11/2014	4	3/13/2	2015	2/14/2012	2	3/5/2	013	9/10/2	2014	3/1	1/2015
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0.	.33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.3	3	0 - 0.33	0 -	0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0	.33	0 - 0	.33	0 -	0.33
	Sample ID	SD-PER5	06-1212	SD-PER506-0	0313	SD-PER5	06-0914	SD-PER506	6-0315	SD-PER507-1	212 SD-PEF	507-031	3 S	D-PER507-	0914	SD-PER5	07-0315	SD0052 8		SD-PER5	08-0313	SD-PER5	08-091	SD-PE	R508-0315
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value 0	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2 Value	Q1 Q	2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																									
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	3.02		3.53		2.74		0.103	J	3.35	J 3.5	6		3		3.67	J	0.085		3.54		2.87	J	3.:	33
Metals (mg/kg)																									
Arsenic	57	10.6		10.1		10.6		2		19.3	11	3		12.1		12.9				15.3		17		15	.7
Cadmium	5.1	0.8		0.9		0.5		0.2 U		0.6	0	9		0.5		0.7				1.1		0.5	U	C).7
Chromium	260	32		28		34		18.5		31	2	7		77		31				35		39			42
Copper	390	54.3		46.7		57.5		14.4		53.3	42			58.7		64.5				69.4		70		69	
Lead	450	20		18		24		2 U		21		3		24		18				24		29			26
Mercury	0.41	0.13		0.13		0.16		0.02		0.13	0.1			0.13		0.13				0.18		0.15			15
Silver	6.1	0.7		0.7 U		0.6		0.3 U		0.7 U		3 U		0.7 U		0.6	U			0.7		0.8			.8 U
Zinc	410	110		97		116		27		110	J 8	3		125		101				128		141		1	18
PCBs (µg/kg)																									
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9		4 U			U	3.9 U		3.8 U		9 U		9.2 U		3.9		4 U		3.8		9.9			i.9 U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9		4 U			U	3.9 U		3.8 U		9 U		9.2 U		3.9		4 U		3.8		9.9			i.9 U
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9		4 U			U	3.9 U		3.8 U		9 U		9.2 U		3.9		4 U		3.8		9.9			.9 U
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9		4 U			U	3.9 U		3.8 U		9 U		9.2 U		3.9		4 U		3.8		9.9			i.9 U
Aroclor 1248		32		62		67		3.9 U				4		62		49 81		4 U		68		82			71 30
Aroclor 1254 Aroclor 1260	NE NE	66 35		100 55		120 64		3.9 U 3.9 U		83 55	10	9		130 76		94		4 U 4 U		100 73		120 95			40
Total PCBs		133		217		251		3.9 U		138	22			268		224		4 U		241		297		3	
(μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴ Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	4.4		6.1		9.2		NA		4.1	J 7 6	3		8.9		6.1	.1 7	NA		6.8		10.3	J.	10	.2

Page 3 of 6

AREA 5 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location				SD-P	ER509							SD-P	PER510							SD-PER5	11				
Constructi	on Season	Co	onstructi	on Season	1	Coi	nstructi	on Season	3	Cons	structi	ion Season 1		Constr	uctio	on Season 3	3		Construct	on Se	ason 1		Cons	structio	n Season 3	,
Samp	oling Event	Pr Constr		Pos Constru		Pre Constru		Pos Constr		Pre- Construc	tion	Post- Construction	n	Pre- Construction	n	Post Constru		Pro Constru		re- tructio	Post- on Construct	ion	Pre- Construct	tion	Post Construc	
Colle	ection Date	2/14/	2012	3/6/20	013	9/10/2	014	3/12/2	2015	10/31/20	12	3/5/2013		9/10/2014		3/11/20)15	10/31/	2012 10/3	1/2012	2 3/6/201	3	9/10/201	14	3/16/20)15
Sample	e Depth (ft)	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0.	33	0 - 0	.33	0 - 0.33	3	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.3	3	0 - 0	.33 0 -	0.33	0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33	3	0 - 0.3	3
·	Sample ID	SD00)51 ⁸	SD-PER5	09-0313	SD-PER50	09-0914	SD-PER5	09-0315	SD0058	8	SD-PER510-0	313	SD-PER510-0	914	SD-PER51	0-0315	SD00	62 ^{8,9} SD0	063 ^{8,9}	SD-PER511	-0313	SD-PER511	-0914	SD-PER51	1-0315
Analyte	SMS SQS	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q	1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2 Value	Q1	Q2 Value Q	1 Q2	Value Q	1 Q2	Value (Q1 Q2
	Criteria 3																									
Conventionals																										
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	0.171		2.57		2.96		3.55	J	3.19		3.85		2.83		3.86		3.14	3.	4	4.55		1.79		1.65	
Metals (mg/kg)																										
Arsenic	57			14.9		15.5		14.2				16		14.9		13.3					13.2		16.2		9.1	
Cadmium	5.1			1.1		0.5		0.9				1.2		0.5 U		0.9					1.2		0.6		0.6	
Chromium	260			34		36		35				36		38		38					33		38		27.8	
Copper	390			67.8		62.7		61.9				75.5		65		71.3					61.2		64		44.7	
Lead	450			21		27		26				24		27		28					23		26		19	
Mercury	0.41			0.16		0.27		0.24				0.2		0.16		0.18					0.15		0.22		0.07	
Silver	6.1			0.8	U	0.8	U	0.6	_			0.7 U		0.8 U		0.7 l	J				0.8 U		0.8 U		0.5 L	J
Zinc PCBs (μg/kg)	410			117		130		117				124		134		131					120		132		77	
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9	П	3.9	П	10	П	3.9	П	19 U		3.8 U		4 U		3.9 l	1	19	II :	:0 U	3.9 U		10 U		4 L	1
Aroclor 1221	NE NE	3.9		3.9	_	10	-	3.9		19 U		3.8 U		4 U		3.9 (19	-	:0 U	3.9 U		10 U		4 L	
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9		3.9		10		3.9		19 U		3.8 U		4 U		3.9 (19		:0 U	3.9 U	_	10 U		4 L	
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9		3.9	U	10	U	3.9	U	19 U		3.8 U		4 U		3.9 l		19		:0 U	3.9 U		10 U		4 L	
Aroclor 1248	NE	3.9	U	63		83	J	66		150		95		70		74		130	16	0	69		94		67	
Aroclor 1254	NE	3.9	U	110		140		120		320		130		130		120		300	37	0	120		210		130	
Aroclor 1260	NE	3.9	U	73		100		110		150		84		100		110		110	15	0	78		160		42	
Total PCBs (μg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	3.9	U	246		323	J ⁶	296		620		309		300		304		540	68	80	267		464		239	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	NA		9.6		10.9	J 7	8.3		19.4		8.0		10.6		7.9		17.2	21	.7	NA		25.9		14.5	

Page 4 of 6

AREA 5 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location				SD-P	ER512								SD-PI	ER513						S	D-PE	R514			
Construct	ion Season	Constr	uction	n Season	1	Co	nstructi	on Season	3		Const	ructio	on Season	1	Co	nstructi	on Season 3		Co	nstructi	on Season 1		Const	tructio	n Season	3
Samı	pling Event	Pre- Construction	on	Pos		Pr Constr		Po: Constr			Pre- Constructi	on	Po: Constr		Pre Constru		Post-		Pr Constr		Post- Construction	on	Pre- Constructi	ion	Pos Constru	
Colle	ection Date	12/5/2012	,	3/8/2	013	9/11/2	2014	3/12/2	2015		10/31/201	2	3/5/2	013	9/10/2	014	3/11/20	15	10/30	/2012	3/6/2013		9/12/201	4	3/13/2	2015
	e Depth (ft)			0 - 0		0 - 0		0 - 0			0 - 0.33		0 - 0		0 - 0.		0 - 0.3		0 - 0		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.33		0 - 0.	
Campi		SD-PER512-1	212 5							5	SD0059	3	SD-PER5		SD-PER5				SDOO		SD-PER514-0	313			SD-PER5	
					12 00 10					_	00000			10000					ODU	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	02 1 2.101 1 0					
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 C	2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value (Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																										
Total Organic Carbon (percent)	_	2.48		2.21		1.62		2.01	J		3.78		3.89		2.33		3.65		2.92		4.73		1.99		2.74	J
Metals (mg/kg)	•																									
Arsenic	57	16		31.7		26.1		14.5					14.7		14.6		11.5				11.7		13.3		10.9	
Cadmium	5.1	0.4		0.8		0.3	U	0.7					1.2		0.5	U	1				1.1		0.4 U		0.7	
Chromium	260	29		27.3		30.7		28.1					36		38		39				32		35		30.5	
Copper	390	49.1		58.1		60.1		70.5					73.5		70.2		71.9				57.8		61.9		53.8	
Lead	450	23		27		26		35					24		29		29				21		25		23	
Mercury	0.41	0.1		0.07		0.05		0.07					0.23		0.19		0.16				0.18		0.12		0.11	
Silver	6.1	0.6 U		0.5		0.5		0.5					0.8		0.8	U	0.8 L	J			0.7 U		0.6 U		0.6	
Zinc	410	146		160		158		184					120		135		128				111		126		103	
PCBs (µg/kg)																										
Aroclor 1016	NE	3.9 U			U	3.9			U		19 U		3.8		10	_	5.7 L		40		4 U		3.9 U			U
Aroclor 1221	NE	3.9 U			U	3.9			U		19 U		3.8		10		5.7 L		40		4 U		3.9 U			U
Aroclor 1232	NE	3.9 U			U	3.9			U		19 U		3.8		10		5.7 L		40		4 U		3.9 U			U
Aroclor 1242	NE	3.9 U			U	3.9			U		19 U		3.8		10	U	5.7 L	J	40		4 U		3.9 U			U
Aroclor 1248		19 Y		36		22		20		Υ	68		130		90		120		38	+	81		57		64	
Aroclor 1254	NE	55		63		56		57			150		150		150		200		84		130		120		110	
Aroclor 1260	NE	37	+	37		35		60	P J		98		82		110		230		48		97		74		98	
Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) ⁴	130	92		136		113		77	J	6	316		362		350		550		170		308		251		272	
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	3.7		6.2		7.0		3.8	J	7	8.4		9.3		15.0		15.1		5.8		NA		12.6		9.9	J 7

Page 5 of 6

AREA 5 PERIMETER MONITORING SAMPLE RESULTS 1,2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project

Boeing Plant 2 Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Location				SD-PE	R515						SD-PER	516				SD-PE	R517					SD-PER5	18		
Constructi	on Season	Co	nstruct	ion Season 1		Co	nstructio	on Season	3			Con	structi	on Season	3		Co	nstructi	on Season 3	3			Const	tructio	on Seaso	n 3
Samp	oling Event	Pre Constru		Post- Construct	tion	Pre Constru		Po: Constr		Po Constr		Pre- Construc		Pos		Post- Construction	Pro Constr		Post Constru		Po		Pre- Constructi	ion		ost- ruction
Colle	ection Date	10/30/2	2012	3/5/201	3	9/12/2	2014	3/13/2	2015	3/7/2	2013	9/12/20	14	3/13/2	2015	3/7/2013	9/12/2	2014	3/13/2	015	3/7/2	013	9/11/201	4	3/12	/2015
	ŀ	0 - 0.		0 - 0.33	_	0 - 0.		0 - 0	.33	0 - 0		0 - 0.3		0 - 0.		0 - 0.33	0 - 0		0 - 0.3	33	0 - 0		0 - 0.33			0.33
Construction Sease Sampling Every Collection Disample Depth Sample Analyte SMS SC Criteria Conventionals Total Organic Carbon (percent) Metals (mg/kg) Arsenic 57 Cadmium 5.1 Chromium 260 Copper 390 Lead 450 Mercury 0.41 Silver 6.1 Zinc 410 PCBs (µg/kg) Aroclor 1016 NE Aroclor 1221 NE Aroclor 1232 NE Aroclor 1242 NE Aroclor 1248 NE Aroclor 1254 NE Aroclor 1260 NE Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) 4																7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7										
Construction Sease Sampling Every Collection Description Sample Depth Sample Analyte SMS SC Criteria Conventionals Total Organic Carbon (percent) Metals (mg/kg) Arsenic 57 Cadmium 5.1 Chromium 260 Copper 390 Lead 450 Mercury 0.41 Silver 6.1 Zinc 410 PCBs (µg/kg) Aroclor 1016 NE Aroclor 1221 NE Aroclor 1232 NE Aroclor 1242 NE Aroclor 1248 NE Aroclor 1254 NE Aroclor 1254 NE Aroclor 1260 NE Total PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight) 4 Total PCBs		SD00	60 °	SD-PER515	-0313	SD-PER5	15-0914	SD-PER5	15-0315	SD-PER	516-0313	SD-PER51	6-0914	SD-PER5	16-0315	SD-PER517-0313	SD-PER5	17-0914	SD-PER51	17-0315	SD-PER5	18-0313	SD-PER518-	-0914	SD-PER	518-0315
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria ³	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value Q	1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value (Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q	1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Conventionals																										
9	_	3.35		4.07		2.19		2.93	J	3.41		1.14		3.33	J	6.27	2.72		2.97	J	2.34		3		4.4	7 J
Metals (mg/kg)																										
Arsenic	57			13.1		14.3		13		9.6	;	12.1		11		11.2	12.4		12.3		13.9		12.2		13.2	2
Construction Seaso Sampling Every				1.1		0.5		0.9		0.9		0.5		0.7		0.7	0.5		0.8		0.9		0.5		0.0	
Chromium	260			34		35		34		26	;	35		32		19	34		35		30		56		34	4
Copper	390			62.5		66.4		64.7		46.1		65.4		54.9		33	57.6		61.8		58.1		62.4		58	8
Lead	450			24		27		29		18	3	25		21		14	24		25		23		25		23	3
Mercury	0.41			0.17		0.12		0.16		0.15	i	0.11		0.15		0.11	0.15		0.16		0.15	J	0.14		0.13	
Silver	6.1			0.7 U		0.7		0.7	_	0.7	U	0.7 L	J	0.6		0.8 U	0.6		0.7	U	0.7		0.7 U			6 U
Zinc	410			116		129		121		94		122		104		67	121		118		109		119		110	J
PCBs (µg/kg)																										
Aroclor 1016	NE	38		3.9 U		4	U	3.9	U	3.8		4 L	J	3.9		4 U	3.8		3.9		4	U	9.2 U			9 U
Aroclor 1221	NE	38		3.9 U		4		3.9	U	3.8	U	4 L	J	3.9		4 U	3.8		3.9			U	9.2 U			9 U
Aroclor 1232	NE	38		3.9 U		4		3.9		3.8		4 L		3.9		4 U	3.8		3.9			U	9.2 U			9 U
Aroclor 1242	NE	38		3.9 U		4	_	3.9		3.8		4 L	l	3.9		4 U	3.8		3.9	U		U	9.2 U			9 U
		29		68		62		68		60		58		54		75	55		62		86		72			8 Y UY
		64		100		120		120		100		110		83		130	110		100		140		140		110	_
		47		82		73		120		53		64		96		76	67		94		100		80		13	0 P J
	130	140		250		255		308		213	8	232		233		281	232		256		326		292		240) J 6
Total PCBs (mg/kg OC) ⁵	12	4.2		NA		11.6		10.5	J 7	6.2		20.4		7.0	J 7	NA	8.5		8.6	J ⁷	13.9		9.7		N/	A

Note(s)

- 1. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are as follows:
 - U = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value.
 - Y = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value.

 The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interferences.
- J = analyte positively identified; value is approximate concentration in sample.
- P = analyte detected on both chromatographic columns; RPD >40% with no chromatographic interference.
- 2. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
- UY = analyte was not detected at the associated reporting limit value; raised reporting limit.
- J = analyte positively identified; value is approximate concentration in sample.

- 3. Criteria obtained from Table 3 of Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring QAPP (AMEC et al. 2012e).
- 4. Total PCBs calculated by summing results for detected Aroclors.
- 5. NA: TOC outside the range for normalization (<0.5 % or >4.0%).
- If 20% or more of total detected Aroclors are qualified as estimated, the total calculated PCB concentration will also be considered estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier.
- 7. If the total calculated PCB concentration is considered to be estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier, then the organic carbon normalized value will also be assigned a "J" qualifier. Organic carbon-normalized PCB values will also be considered estimated if the TOC value is qualified as estimated.
- 8. These samples were collected by the City of Seattle and reported analytes were determined by the City.
- 9. Split sample analyzed at this location

Abbreviation(s)

ft = feet

mg/kg = milligrams per kilogram mg/kg OC = milligrams per kilogram organic carbon NE = not established

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls

Q1 = laboratory qualifiers

Q2 = validation qualifiers

QAPP = Quality Assurance Project Plan

RPD = relative percent difference
SMS SQS = Washington Sediment Management
Standards Sediment Quality Standards
(WAC 173-204-320)

TOC = total organic carbon

µg/kg = micrograms per kilogram

µg/kg Dry-Weight = micrograms per kilogram dry weight

WAC = Washington Administrative Code

<u>Reference(s)</u>

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc., Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc., and Floyd|Snider, Inc. (AMEC et al.). 2012e. Construction and Post-Construction Sediment Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington.

Page 6 of 6

POST-CONSTRUCTION CORING SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		North Zon	te Plane, e, NAD 83, y Feet	Total Penetration	Maximum Depth of Recovered Sediment		Depth Interval (Feet Below
Location	Date	Easting	Northing	(Feet)	(Feet)	Sample ID	Sediment Surface)
DSOA							
						SD-PCC009-A	0 to 1
SD-PCC009	11/25/2014	1274023	197261	4.3	4.3	SD-PCC009-B	1 to 2
						SD-PCC009-C	2 to 3
						SD-PCC010-A	0 to 1
SD-PCC010 ¹	11/12/2014	1274306	196948	4.2	4.2	SD-PCC010-B	1 to 2
						SD-PCC010-C	2 to 3
						SD-PCC210-A	0 to 1
SD-PCC210 ¹	11/12/2014	1274307	196946	4.3	4.3	SD-PCC210-B	1 to 2
						SD-PCC210-C	2 to 3
						SD-PCC011-A	0 to 1
SD-PCC011	10/10/2014	1274795	196621	4.5	4.5	SD-PCC011-B	1 to 2
						SD-PCC011-C	2 to 3 ²
						SD-PCC015-A	0 to 1
SD-PCC015	1/7/2015	1275640	195828	4.4	3.0	SD-PCC015-B	1 to 2
						SD-PCC015-C	2 to 3
Slip 4							
						SD-PCC016-A	0 to 0.33
SD-PCC016	2/20/2015	1272920	198499	4.0	2.8	SD-PCC016-B	0.33 to 1
						SD-PCC016-C	1 to 2
						SD-PCC016-D	2 to 3
						SD-PCC017-A	0 to 0.33
SD-PCC017	2/20/2015	1273006	198557	4.6	4.6	SD-PCC017-B	0.33 to 1
						SD-PCC017-C	1 to 2
						SD-PCC017-D	2 to 3
						SD-PCC017-E	3 to 4

CMI Table 14 PCC Navigation_100915

POST-CONSTRUCTION CORING SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		North Zon	e Plane, e, NAD 83, y Feet	Total Penetration	Maximum Depth of Recovered Sediment		Depth Interval (Feet Below
Location	Date	Easting	Northing	(Feet)	(Feet)	Sample ID	Sediment Surface)
Slip 4 (cont.)							
						SD-PCC018-A	0 to 0.33
SD-PCC018	2/18/2015	1273097	198618	5.0	5.0	SD-PCC018-B	0.33 to 1
						SD-PCC018-C	1 to 2
						SD-PCC018-D	2 to 3
						SD-PCC018-E	3 to 4
						SD-PCC018-F	4 to 5
						SD-PCC019-A	0 to 0.33
SD-PCC019	2/18/2015	1273138	198621	5.0	5.0	SD-PCC019-B	0.33 to 1
						SD-PCC019-C	1 to 2
						SD-PCC019-D	2 to 3
						SD-PCC019-E	3 to 4
						SD-PCC019-F	4 to 5
						SD-PCC020-A	0 to 0.33
SD-PCC020	2/18/2015	1273149	198683	5.4	4.2	SD-PCC020-B	0.33 to 1
						SD-PCC020-C	1 to 2
						SD-PCC020-D	2 to 3
						SD-PCC020-E	3 to 4
						SD-PCC021-A	0 to 0.33
SD-PCC021	2/17/2015	1273225	198751	4.5	4.5	SD-PCC021-B	0.33 to 1
						SD-PCC021-C	1 to 2
						SD-PCC021-D	2 to 3
						SD-PCC021-E	3 to 4
						SD-PCC021-F	4 to 5
						SD-PCC022-A	0 to 0.33
SD-PCC022	2/17/2015	1273264	198792	4.5	4.5	SD-PCC022-B	0.33 to 1
						SD-PCC022-C	1 to 2
						SD-PCC022-D	2 to 3
						SD-PCC022-E	3 to 4
						SD-PCC022-F	4 to 5

CMI Table 14 PCC Navigation_100915

POST-CONSTRUCTION CORING SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

		North Zon	te Plane, e, NAD 83, y Feet	Total Penetration	Maximum Depth of Recovered Sediment		Depth Interval (Feet Below
Location	Date	Easting	Northing	(Feet)	(Feet)	Sample ID	Sediment Surface)
Slip 4 (cont.)							
						SD-PCC023-A	0 to 0.33
SD-PCC023	2/17/2015	1273284	198800	4.4	4.4	SD-PCC023-B	0.33 to 1
						SD-PCC023-C	1 to 2
						SD-PCC023-D	2 to 3
						SD-PCC023-E	3 to 4
						SD-PCC023-F	4 to 5
						SD-PCC024-A	0 to 0.33
SD-PCC024	2/17/2015	1273286	198825	4.4	4.4	SD-PCC024-B	0.33 to 1
						SD-PCC024-C	1 to 2
						SD-PCC024-D	2 to 3
						SD-PCC024-E	3 to 4
						SD-PCC024-F	4 to 5

Note(s)

- 1. Field duplicate sample collected at this location. Sample ID identified by a 200 series sequential location ID (e.g., SD-PCC010, SD-PCC210).
- 2. Sample intervals collected but not analyzed.

Abbreviation(s)

DSOA = Duwamish Sediment Other Area

NAD = North American Datum

WA State Plane = Washington State Plane Coordinates

CMI Table 14 PCC Navigation_100915

POST-CONSTRUCTION CORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1,2}

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Sample_ID	SD-PC	C006-A	SD-PCC0)06-B	Field [C206-A Dup. for C006-A	SD-PC	C007-A	SD-PC	С007-В	SD-PCC00	8-A	SD-PC	C008-B	SD-PCC009	9-A	SD-PCC	:009-	B SD-PO	CC009-C	SD-PC	C010	0-A	SD-PCC0)10-B
	Sample Date	2/18	/2013	2/18/20)13	2/18	/2013	2/1/	2013	2/1	/2013	3/4/2013	3	3/4/	2013	11/25/201	4	11/25/2	2014	11/2	5/2014	11/1:	2/201	4	11/12/2	014
San	nple Interval	0 to	1 ft	1 to 2	ft	0 to	1 ft	0 tc	1 ft	1 t	2 ft	0 to 1 ft		1 to	2 ft	0 to 1 ft		1 to 2	2 ft	2 t	o 3 ft	0 to	o 1 ft		1 to 2	ft
	SMS SQS																									
Analyte	Criteria	Value	Q1 Q2	Value C	1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 (Q2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value Q	1 Q2
Total Organic Carbon		2.84	J	0.553		0.522	J	0.639		0.411		0.318		0.59		0.194		0.272		0.180		5.40		J	1.26	J
Metals (mg/kg Dry-Weigh	ht)																									
Arsenic	57	1.9		1.3		1.6		1.7		1.5		0.9	J	1.7	J	2.5		3.7		2.7		4.6		J	2.7	
Cadmium	5.1	0.4		0.2		0.3		0.2	U	0.2	U	0.3		0.3		0.3 U		0.3 L	J	0.3	U	0.3			0.3 U	
Chromium	260	13.7	J	10.5		10.2	J	11.7		9.8		14.5		11.7		14.4		17.5		14.2		17.5		J	15.0	
Copper	390	15.7	J	8.6	J	9.9	J	12.8		10.5		8		10.9		14.8		16.6		13.7		19.2		J	15.7	
Lead	450	8	J	2 U	J	3		2	U	2	U	2 U		2	U	3 U		3		3	U	6			4	
Mercury	0.41	0.02	U	0.03 U		0.03	U	0.02	U	0.02	U	0.03 U		0.02	U	0.03 U		0.03		0.04		0.04			0.04	
Silver	6.1	0.4	U	0.4 U		0.4	U	0.4	U	0.3	U	0.4 U		0.3	U	0.4 U		0.4 l	J	0.4	U	0.4	U		0.4 U	
Zinc	410	31	J	21		22	J	24		23		22		23		31		38		30		43		J	35	
PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight))																									
Aroclor 1016	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.6	U	3.9 U		3.8 l	J	3.8	U	3.9	U		4.0 U	
Aroclor 1221	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.6	U	3.9 U		3.8 l	J	3.8	U	3.9	U		4.0 U	
Aroclor 1232	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.6	U	3.9 U		3.8 l	J	9.4	Y UY	3.9	U		4.0 U	
Aroclor 1242	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.6	U	12 Y	UY	3.8 l	J	3.8	U	3.9	U		4.0 U	
Aroclor 1248	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.6	U	3.9 U		3.8 l	J	3.8	U	9.7	Υ	UY	4.0 U	
Aroclor 1254	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.6	U	9.2		3.8 l	J	3.8	U	47		J	4.0 U	
Aroclor 1260		3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.6	U	7.8		3.8 l	J	3.8	U	28		J	4.0 U	
Total PCBs ³	130	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.6	U	17		3.8 し	J	9.4	Y UY	75	5	J ⁵	4.0 U	
Total PCBs (mg/kg-OC) ⁴	12	0.1	U UJ 6	0.7 U		0.7	U UJ 6	0.6	U	N/A		NA		0.6	U	NA		NA		N/	\	NA			0.3 U	J ⁶

Revised Table 15 All Years_110315

POST-CONSTRUCTION CORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1,2}

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Sample_ID			SD-PCC210-A Field Dup. for SD-PCC010-A	SD-PCC011-A	SD-PCC011-B	SD-PCC012-A	SD-PCC012-B		C013-A	SD-PCC013-B	Field D SD-PC	C013-A	SD-PCC014		PCC014-B	SD-PCC01		SD-PCC01	
	Sample Date	11/12/201	_	11/12/2014	10/10/2014	10/10/2014	2/13/2014	2/13/2014		2014	2/4/2014		2014	1/21/2014		/21/2014	1/7/201		1/7/201	
San	nple Interval	2 to 3 ft		0 to 1 ft	0 to 1 ft	1 to 2 ft	0 to 1 ft	1 to 2 ft	0 to	1 ft	1 to 2 ft	0 to	1 ft	0 to 1 ft		l to 2 ft	0 to 1 f	t	1 to 2 f	/t
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1 ¹ Q2 ²	Value Q1 ¹ Q2 ²	Value	Q1 ¹ Q2 ²	Value Q1 ¹ Q2	² Value	Q1 ¹ Q2 ²	Value Q1 ¹	Q2 ² Val	ie Q1 ¹ Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	1 Q2
Total Organic Carbon	_	1.11	J	1.12	0.685	1.23	0.517	1.22	0.454	J	0.363	0.157		0.596	0.13	3	0.092	J	0.051	
Metals (mg/kg Dry-Weigh	nt)																			
Arsenic	57	4.7		2.6	1.1	2.1	2.1	7	2.3	J	1	2.3		2.4	2	2	2.5	J	1	
Cadmium	5.1	0.3 U		0.3 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2 U	0.2	U	0.2 U	0.2	U	0.3	0	2 U	0.2 U		0.2 U	
Chromium	260	14.0		14.0	11.7	12.2	11.5	13.4	11.2	J	9.6	13.8		15	J 10	5	10.5		9.9	
Copper	390	19.1		14.0	10.5	12.9	11.2	15.7	14.3		9.5	16.5		11.2	7	7	15.6	J	15	
Lead	450	6		3	2 U	3	6 J	8	2	U	2 U	4		8		2 U	8		3	
Mercury	0.41	0.06		0.03	0.02 U	0.03 U	0.03 U	0.03	0.03	U	0.03 U	0.03		0.03		2 U	0.02 U		0.02 U	
Silver	6.1	0.4 U		0.4 U	0.4 U	0.4 U	0.4 U	0.4 U	0.4	U	0.4 U	0.4	U	0.3 U		4 U	0.3 U		0.4 U	
Zinc	410	33		35	25	28	41 J	43	26	J	20	32		32	2	0	29	J	26	
PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight)																				
Aroclor 1016	_	3.8 U		3.8 U	3.8 U	3.8 U	3.9 U	3.9 U	4	U	3.9 U	3.8	U	38 U	3	9 U	4.0 U		3.8 U	
Aroclor 1221	_	3.8 U		3.8 U	3.8 U	3.8 U	3.9 U	3.9 U	4	U	3.9 U	3.8	U	38 U	3	9 U	4.0 U		3.8 U	
Aroclor 1232	_	3.8 U		3.8 U	3.8 U	190 Y UY	9.8 Y UY	5.9 Y UY	6	Y UY	3.9 U	9.4	Y UY	130 Y	UY 5	9 Y UY	4.0 U		3.8 U	
Aroclor 1242	_	3.8 U		3.8 U	3.8 U	3.8 U	33	29 Y UY	12	Y UY	3.9 U	19	Y UY	96 Y	UY 4	9 Y UY	4.0 U		3.8 U	
Aroclor 1248	_	3.8 U		3.8 U	3.8 U	3.8 U	56	96	22	J	3.9 U	60		200	7		4.0 U		3.8 U	
Aroclor 1254	_	3.8 U		14	3.8 U	3.8 U	3.9 U	3.9 U	4		3.9 U	3.8		38 U		9 U	9.2		3.8 U	
Aroclor 1260	_	3.8 U		13	3.8 U	3.8 U	3.9 U	3.9 U	4	U	5.9 Y UY	3.8	U	38 U	3	9 U	5.1	J	3.8 U	
Total PCBs ³	130	3.8 U		27	3.8 U	190 Y UY	89	96	22	J ⁵	5.9 Y UY	60		200		7.8	14.3	J ⁵	3.8 U	
Total PCBs (mg/kg-OC) ⁴	12	0.3 U	J ⁶	2.4	0.6 U	15.4 Y UY	17.2	7.9	NA		NA	NA		33.6		NA	NA		NA	

Page 2 of 6

POST-CONSTRUCTION CORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1,2}

	0	20.00	0045.0	0D D00044		00.00	0040 D	00.00	20240.0	0D D000	10.5	0D D0004	- 4	00.00	0047.0	an naaa		00.00	0047.5	00.00	20047.5	0D D0004		0D D00040 D	00.00	2042.0
	Sample_ID		C015-C				C016-B		C016-C	SD-PCC01		SD-PCC01			C017-B	SD-PCC0		SD-PC			CC017-E	SD-PCC01		SD-PCC018-B		C018-C
	Sample Date		2015	2/20/2015	_		2015		/2015	2/20/201	_	2/20/201			/2015	2/20/20 ⁻		2/20/			/2015	2/18/201		2/18/2015		/2015
San	nple Interval	2 to	3 ft	0 to 0.33	ft	0.33	to 1 ft	1 to	2 ft	2 to 3 f	t	0 to 0.33	ft	0.33	to 1 ft	1 to 2 f	t	2 to	3 ft	3 t	o 4 ft	0 to 0.33	ft	0.33 to 1 ft	1 tc	2 ft
Analyte	SMS SQS Criteria	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q	1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Total Organic Carbon	_	0.038		0.085		0.088		0.061		0.039		0.461		0.347		0.418		0.279		0.269		1.55		0.532	0.283	
Metals (mg/kg Dry-Weigh	nt)	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.00.		0.000		01.01		0.0		01110		0.2.0		0.200		1.00		0.002	0.200	
Arsenic	57	1.1		1.7		1.7		1.3		1.5		2.9		3		1.6	+ +	1.5		1.5		3.4		1.9	1.8	
Cadmium	5.1	0.3	U	0.2 U		0.2	U	0.2	U	0.2 U		0.2 U		0.2		0.2 U		0.2	U	0.2	U	0.3 U		0.3 U	0.2	U
Chromium	260	8.7		9.4		11.3		8.1		8		12.4		12.5		9.2		9.8		10.2		13.3		11.2	9.4	
Copper	390	10		9.9	J	9.3		6.9		7.1		13.2		13.4		9.9		12.8		12.5		20.7		15.9	9.9	
Lead	450	3		2 U		2	U	2	U	2 U		2 U		2	U	2 U		2	U	2	U	3 U		3 U	2	U
Mercury	0.41	0.02	U	0.02 U		0.03	U	0.03	U	0.03 U		0.03 U		0.03	U	0.03 U		0.03	U	0.02	U	0.03 U		0.03	0.02	U
Silver	6.1	0.4	U	0.3 U		0.3	U	0.3	U	0.3 U		0.4 U		0.3	U	0.4 U		0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4 U		0.4 U	0.4	U
Zinc	410	22		21		23		16		16		28		27		17		18		19		26		22	18	
PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight)																										
Aroclor 1016	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.7	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		4	U	3.9 U		4	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1221	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.7	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		4	U	3.9 U		4	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1232	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.7	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		4	U	3.9 U		4	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1242	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.7	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		4	U	3.9 U		4	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1248	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.7	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		4	U	3.9 U		4	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1254	_	3.8	U	6.4		3.7	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		4	U	3.9 U		4	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U	3.9	U
Aroclor 1260	_	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.7	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		4	U	3.9 U		4	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U	3.9	U
Total PCBs 3	130	3.8	U	6.4		3.7	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U		4	U	3.9 U		4	U	3.9	U	3.9 U		3.9 U	3.9	U
Total PCBs (mg/kg-OC) ⁴	12	NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		N/		0.3 U		0.7 U	NA	

POST-CONSTRUCTION CORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1,2}

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Sample ID	SD-PC	C018-D	SD-PC	C018-E	SD-PCC01	8-F	SD-PC	C019-A	SD-PC	C019-B	SD-PC	C019-C	SD-PCC019-D	SD-PCC019)-E	SD-PC	C019-F	SD-PC	C020-A	SD-PC	C020-B	SD	PCC02	20-C
	Sample Date		/2015	2/18	/2015	2/18/201	5	2/18	/2015	2/18	/2015	2/18/	/2015	2/18/2015	2/18/2015	;	2/18/	2015	2/18	/2015	2/18/	2015	1	2/18/201	5
	nple Interval		3 ft	3 tc	4 ft	4 to 5 ft		0 to (0.33 ft	0.33	to 1 ft	1 to	2 ft	2 to 3 ft	3 to 4 ft		4 to	5 ft	0 to	0.33 ft	0.33 1	to 1 ft		1 to 2 f	ŧ
	SMS SQS																								
Analyte	Criteria	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	2 Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q	2 Val	lue Q1	Q2
Total Organic Carbon	_	0.376		0.04		0.557		0.131		0.043		0.325		0.061	0.379		0.191		0.082		0.049		0.28	85	
Metals (mg/kg Dry-Weigh	nt)																								
Arsenic	57	2.1		0.7		1.9		1.5		1.1		1.5		1.6	2.4		1.9		1.5		1.3		1	1.9	+
Cadmium	5.1	0.3	U	0.2	U	0.2 U		0.2	U	0.2	U	0.2	U	0.2 U	0.3 U		0.2	U	0.2	U	0.2	U	0).2 U	
Chromium	260	10.2		9.6		9.7		8.9		9.7		9.2		9.3	12.4		8.6		10.8		11.2		9	9.9	
Copper	390	13.3		7.4		11.1		10.3		9.7		9.3		9.2	18.4		10		9.6		9.5		10	0.4	
Lead	450	3		2	U	2 U		2	U	2	_	2		2 U	3 U		2	U	2	U	2	_		2 U	
Mercury	0.41	0.02	U	0.03	U	0.03 U		0.02	U	0.02	U	0.03	U	0.02 U	0.03 U		0.03	U	0.03	U	0.02	U	0.0	02 U	
Silver	6.1	0.4	U	0.3	U	0.4 U		0.4	U	0.3	U	0.3	U	0.3 U	0.4 U		0.4	U	0.4	U	0.3	U	_).3 U	
Zinc	410	20		17		19		18		18		19		18	24		20		23		23		2	22	
PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight))																								
Aroclor 1016	_	3.8	U	3.7	U	3.7 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.7	U	3.8 U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.9	U	3.7	U	3	3.9 U	
Aroclor 1221		3.8		3.7		3.7 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.7		3.8 U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.9	U	3.7			3.9 U	
Aroclor 1232	_	3.8		3.7	U	3.7 U		3.8	_	3.8	_	3.7		3.8 U	3.8 U		3.8	_	3.9		3.7		_	3.9 U	
Aroclor 1242	_	3.8		3.7	U	3.7 U		3.8		3.8		3.7		3.8 U	3.8 U		3.8		3.9		3.7		_	3.9 U	
Aroclor 1248	_	3.8		3.7	_	3.7 U		3.8		3.8		3.7		3.8 U	3.8 U		3.8		9.7	Y UY	5.6	Y U		3.9 U	
Aroclor 1254		3.8		3.7	_	3.7 U		3.8	_	3.8	_	3.7		3.8 U	3.8 U		3.8	_	24		11		_	3.9 U	
Aroclor 1260		3.8	U	3.7	U	3.7 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.7	U	3.8 U	3.8 U		3.8	U	3.9	J	3.7	U	3	3.9 U	
Total PCBs ³	130	3.8	U	3.7	U	3.7 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.7	U	3.8 U	3.8 U		3.8	U	27.9		11			3.9 U	
Total PCBs (mg/kg-OC) ⁴	12	NA		NA		0.7 U		NA		NA		NA		NA	NA		NA		NA		NA			NA	

Page 4 of 6

POST-CONSTRUCTION CORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1,2}

	Sample_ID	SD-PC	C020-D	SD-PCC020-	-E SD-	PCC021-A	SD-PC	C021-B	SD-PCC02	21-C	SD-PCC02	21-D	SD-PC	C021-E	SD-PC	C021-F	SD-PC	C022-	A SD	PCC022-B	SD-PCC02	2-C	SD-PCC022-D	SD-PC	C022-E
	Sample Date	2/18/	2015	2/18/2015	2/	17/2015	2/17	/2015	2/17/201	15	2/17/201	15	2/17	/2015	2/17/2	2015	2/17	/2015	2	17/2015	2/17/201	5	2/17/2015	2/17/	2015
Sar	nple Interval	2 to	3 ft	3 to 4 ft	0 1	o 0.33 ft	0.33	to 1 ft	1 to 2 f	t	2 to 3 f	t	3 to	4 ft	4 to	5 ft	0 to ().33 ft	0.	33 to 1 ft	1 to 2 f	t	2 to 3 ft	3 to	4 ft
	SMS SQS																								
Analyte	Criteria	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2 Valu	e Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1	Q2 Valu	e Q1 Q	2 Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Total Organic Carbon	_	0.452		0.082	0.14	3	0.215		0.369		0.41		0.317		0.299		0.168		0.07	7	0.537		1.5	0.337	
Metals (mg/kg Dry-Weigl	nt)																								
Arsenic	57	1.7		1.1	1.5	3	2		1.8		1.7		1.6		1.7		3.2		5.	2	2.8		3.7	1.8	
Cadmium	5.1	0.2	U	0.2 U	0.:	. U	0.2	U	0.2 U		0.2 U		0.2	U	0.2	U	0.2	U	0.	2 U	0.2		0.3	0.2	U
Chromium	260	9.3		8.9	10.)	9		10.9		10.2		10.6		10.1		14		11.	3	11.5		13.4	9.4	
Copper	390	10.3		7.5	10.	,	8.7		11.9		10.2		14.7		11.8		11		12.	2	14.6		20.9	10.1	
Lead	450	2	U	2 U		: U	2	U	2 U		2 U		2	U	2	U	2	U		2 U	2 U		3 U	2	U
Mercury	0.41	0.02	U	0.04	0.0	2 U	0.03	U	0.02 U		0.02 U		0.05		0.03	U	0.03		0.0	2 U	0.03 U		0.04	0.02	U
Silver	6.1	0.4	U	0.4 U	0.4	· U	0.3	U	0.4 U		0.4 U		0.4	U	0.3	U	0.4	U	0.	3 U	0.4 U		0.4 U	0.4	U
Zinc	410	19		17	2	3	19		19		18		20		18		26		2	4	21		26	18	
PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight)																								
Aroclor 1016	_	3.8	U	3.9 U	3.	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.	3 U	3.9 U		3.8 U	3.8	U
Aroclor 1221	_	3.8	U	3.9 U	3.	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.	3 U	3.9 U		3.8 U	3.8	U
Aroclor 1232	_	3.8	U	3.9 U	3.	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.	3 U	3.9 U		3.8 U	3.8	U
Aroclor 1242	_	3.8	U	3.9 U	3.	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.8	U	3.	3 U	3.9 U		3.8 U	3.8	U
Aroclor 1248	_	3.8	U	3.9 U	3.	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	5.8	ΥĮ	JY 3.	3 U	3.9 U		3.8 U	3.8	U
Aroclor 1254	_	3.8	U	3.9 U	3.	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	24		3.	3 U	3.9 U		3.8 U	3.8	U
Aroclor 1260	_	3.8	U	3.9 U	3.	U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	U	3.2	J	3.	3 U	3.9 U		3.8 U	3.8	U
Total PCBs ³	130	3.8	U	3.9 U	;	.8 U	3.8	U	3.8 U		3.8 U		3.8	U	3.8	υ	27.2			3.8 U	3.9 U		3.8 U	3.8	U
Total PCBs (mg/kg-OC) ⁴	12	NA		NA	I	IA	NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA			NA	0.7 U		0.3 U	NA	

POST-CONSTRUCTION CORING SAMPLE RESULTS^{1, 2}

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	Sample ID	SD-PCC02	2-F	SD-PC	C023	8-A	SD-PC	C023-B	SD-PC	C023-C	SD-PC	C023-D	SD-PC	C023-E	SD-PC	C023-F	SD-PC	CC024-A	SD-PCC02	24-B	SD-PCC024-C	SD-PCC02	24-D	SD-PCC02	24-E	SD-PCC	C024-F
	Sample Date	2/17/201	5	2/17	/2015	5	2/17/	/2015	2/17/	2015	2/17	/2015	2/17/	/2015	2/17	//2015	2/17	7/2015	2/17/20 ⁻	5	2/17/2015	2/17/201	15	2/17/201	5	2/17/2	2015
Sar	nple Interval	4 to 5 ft		0 to	0.33 1	t	0.33	to 1 ft	1 to	2 ft	2 tc	3 ft	3 to	4 ft	4 t	o 5 ft	0 to	0.33 ft	0.33 to 1	ft	1 to 2 ft	2 to 3 f	t	3 to 4 f	t	4 to	5 ft
	SMS SQS																										
Analyte	Criteria	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q	Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value Q1	Q2	Value	Q1 Q2
Total Organic Carbon	_	0.32	۲	2.28			0.877		0.379		0.546		2.09		0.701		2.61		0.091		0.147	0.9		1.05		0.524	
Metals (mg/kg Dry-Weig	ht)																										
Arsenic	57	1.6		5.7			3		2.4		5.6		2.8		5.2		3.6		1.3		1.1	1.9		1.8		1.7	
Cadmium	5.1	0.2 U		0.3	U		0.2	U	0.3	U	0.2	U	0.2	U	0.3	U	0.3		0.2 U		0.2 U	0.3 U		0.2 U		0.3 l	J
Chromium	260	9		15.8			11.9		14.2		14.2		11.9		13.9		14.9		9.4		10.2	10.7		10.4		11.2	
Copper	390	9.4		20.8			13.6		13.2		15.5		13.4		16.6		19.7		8		9.4	12		10.4		14.2	
Lead	450	2 U		5			3		3	U	3		3		3		7		2 U		2 U	3 U		2 U		3 l	J
Mercury	0.41	0.02 U		0.04			0.02	U	0.05		0.02	U	0.02	U	0.05		0.04		0.03 U		0.03 U	0.03 U		0.02 U		0.03 l	J
Silver	6.1	0.4 U		0.4	U		0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4	U	0.4 U		0.4 U	0.4 U		0.4 U		0.4 l	J
Zinc	410	18		33			27		30		31		27		32		37		19		18	19		18		20	
PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight)																										
Aroclor 1016		3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9	U	3.8	U	4	U	3.8	U	4	U	19	U	3.7 U		3.7 U	3.9 U		3.8 U		3.9 l	
Aroclor 1221	_	3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9	U	3.8	U	4	U	3.8	U	4	U	19	U	3.7 U		3.7 U	3.9 U		3.8 U		3.9 l	J
Aroclor 1232		3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9	U	3.8	U	4	U	3.8	U	4	U	19	U	3.7 U		3.7 U	3.9 U		3.8 U		3.9 ℓ	J
Aroclor 1242		3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9	U	3.8	U	4	U	3.8	U	4	U	19	U	7.8		3.7 U	3.9 U		3.8 U		3.9 ℓ	J
Aroclor 1248		3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9	U	3.8	U	4	U	3.8	J	4	U	76	Y UY	9.3 Y	UY	3.7 U	3.9 U		3.8 U		3.9 ℓ	J
Aroclor 1254	_	3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9	U	3.8	U	4	U	3.8	U	4	U	180		17		3.7 U	3.9 U		3.8 U		3.9 l	
Aroclor 1260		3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9	U	3.8	U	4	U	3.8	U	4	U	32		3.7 U		3.7 U	3.9 U		3.8 U		3.9 ℓ	J
Total PCBs ³	130	3.9 U		3.9	U		3.9	U	3.8	U	4	U	3.8	U	4	U	212	2	24.8		3.7 U	3.9 U		3.8 U		3.9 (J
Total PCBs (mg/kg-OC) ⁴	12	NA		0.2	U		0.4	U	NA		0.7	U	0.2	U	0.6	U	8.1	ı	NA		NA	0.4 U		0.4 U		0.7	J

Note(s)

- 1. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are defined as follows:
 - U = analyte not detected at associated reporting limit value.
 - Y = Analyte was not detected at or above the associated reported limit value. The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interference. The Y flag is equivalent to the U flag with a raised reporting limit.
 - J = Estimated concentration when the value is less than ARI's established reporting limit.
- 2. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
 - UY = The reporting limit was elevated due to chromatographic overlap with related compounds. The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value.
 - J = The analyte was positively identified. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

- 3. Total PCBs calculated by summing results for detected congeners or, if all not detected, using the highest reporting limit for non-detected congeners.
- 4. NA: TOC outside the range for normalization (<0.5% or >4.0%).
- If 20% or more of total detected Aroclors are qualified as estimated, the total calculated PCB concentration will also be considered estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier.
- 6. If the total calculated PCB concentration is considered to be estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier, then the organic carbon normalized value will also be assigned a "J" qualifier. Organic carbon-normalized PCB values will also be considered estimated if the TOC value is qualified as estimated.

Abbreviation(s)

ARI = Analytical Resources, Inc.

ft = feet

mg/kg Dry-Weight = milligrams per kilogram dry weight mg/kg-OC = milligrams per kilogram organic carbon

NA = not applicable, percent carbon less than 0.5 percent

Q1 = laboratory qualifiers

Q2 = validation qualifiers

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls

SMS SQS = Sediment Management Standards Sediment Quality Standards (173-204-320 WAC)

TOC = total organic carbon

 $\mu g/kg$ Dry-Weight = micrograms per kilogram dry weight

WAC = Washington Administrative Code

Revised Table 15 All Years_110315

JORGENSEN BACKFILL GRAB SAMPLE LOCATIONS

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

	North Zon	e Plane, e, NAD 83, y Feet			North Zon Surve	te Plane, e, NAD 83, ey Feet			North Zon Surve	te Plane, e, NAD 83, ey Feet
		Proposed Locations		struction pling	of Thre	Location e Grabs site Sample)	End of Sea Monite		of Thre	Location e Grabs site Sample)
Sample Location	Easting	Northing	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Easting	Northing	Sample ID	Date Sampled	Easting	Northing
SD-JOR01	1275781	195632	SD-JOR01	11/24/2014	1275782	195631	SD-JOR01	3/6/2015	1275780	195634
SD-JOR02	1275811	195632	SD-JOR02	11/24/2014	1275813	195638	SD-JOR02	3/6/2015	1275810	195633
SD-JOR03 ¹	1275847	195498	_	_		_	SD-JOR03 ³	3/6/2015	1275825	195536
SD-JOR03 ²	1275824	195537	SD-JOR03	11/24/2014	1275847	195498	SD-JOR03 F	3/17/2015	1275845	195497
SD-JOR04	1275844	195537	SD-JOR04	11/24/2014	1275844	195539	SD-JOR04	3/6/2015	1275844	195538
SD-JOR05	1275862	195397	SD-JOR05	11/24/2014	1275862	195397	SD-JOR05	3/6/2015	1275861	195399
SD-JOR06	1275892	195397	SD-JOR06	11/24/2014	1275890	195397	SD-JOR06	3/6/2015	1275892	195396

Note(s)

- 1. Original proposed location; during pre-construction sampling it was found that there was no fine-grain sediment at the location and the coarse backfill material at the surface of the backfill could not be sampled. The station was relocated to Easting 1275824 Northing 195537.
- 2. Relocated sample station.
- 3. On March 6, 2015, sampling station SD-JOR03 was inadvertently sampled at the original proposed sampling location rather than the relocated sampling location that had been sampled in November 2014. The previously sampled location was sampled on March 17, 2015 (sample ID SD-JOR03 R2). Both samples were analyzed.

Abbreviation(s)

NAD = North American Datum
WA State Plane = Washington State Plane Coordinates

CMI Table 16 Jorgensen Samples_100915

JORGENSEN BACKFILL GRAB SAMPLE RESULTS 1, 2

Corrective Measure Implementation Report
Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank
Corrective Measure and Habitat Project
Boeing Plant 2
Seattle/Tukwila, Washington

Sample ID	SD-J	OR01	SD-J	OR02				SD-	JOR03			SD-J	JOR04			SD-JC)R05			SD-J	OR06
							cated tion	Reloc Stat		Original I											
Sample Date	11/24/2014	3/6/2015	11/24/2014	3/6/2	2015		1/2014	3/17/		3/6/2		11/24/2014	3/6/201	5	11/24	/2014	3/6/	2015	11/24/20	1/	3/6/2015
Sample Interval	0 to 10 cm	0 to 10 cm	0 to 10 cm	0 to 1			10 cm	0 to 1		0 to 1		0 to 10 cm	0 to 10 c	-	0 to 1			10 cm	0 to 10 c		0 to 10 cm
Surface Silt Layer (cm) 4	2.5	Trace	0.5	Tra		+	2.5	> '		8 to		Trace	1.5	,III	3.			.5	2	·111	1.5
Surface Silt Layer (Cili)	2.5	Trace	0.5	IIIa	ice	-		>	3	010	10	Trace	1.5		3.	.5	- 1	.5	-		1.5
Analyte	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 (Q2 Value	Q1 Q2	2 Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2	Value Q1	1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value	Q1 Q2	Value Q	1 Q2	Value Q1 Q2
Total Organic Carbon																					'
(Percent)	3.53	2.75	1.57	1.94		3.35	;	2.85	J	3.94		0.149	3.01		2.56		1.82		1.74		2.4 J
Metals (mg/kg Dry weight)																					1
Arsenic	18.1	7.3	4.9	12.1		14.1		16.5	J	11.9		0.7	10.4		17.8		8.2		5.9		9.4
Cadmium	1.1	0.6	0.5	0.9		0.8		0.9		0.8		0.2 U	0.7		0.9		0.5		0.4		0.6
Chromium	42	26.1	25.6	41		33	3	32		32		30	32		39		25.4		30.7		34.9 J
Copper	76.6	38.6	45.1	58.9		61.7		56.3		53.7		22.3	59.3		59.1		36.5		42.1		40.1
Lead	39	20	21	28		28		25		27		2 U	24		27		17		11		20
Mercury	0.19	0.07	0.07	0.08		0.07		0.13		0.15		0.02	0.09		0.12		0.18		0.08		0.06
Silver	0.9 U	0.5 U	0.5 U	0.6		0.7		0.7	U	0.7	U	0.3 U	0.6 U		0.9		0.6	U	0.4 U		0.5 U
Zinc	157	81	76	108		124		107		111		24	96		122		84		63		79
PCBs (µg/kg Dry-Weight)																					l
Aroclor 1016	4 U	4 U	3.8 U	3.9	U	3.9	U	3.9	U	4	U	3.9 U	3.9 U		3.9	U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.8 U
Aroclor 1221	4 U	4 U	3.8 U	3.9	U	3.9	U	3.9	U	4	U	3.9 U	3.9 U		3.9	U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.8 U
Aroclor 1232	4 U	4 U	3.8 U	3.9		3.9		3.9			U	3.9 U	3.9 U		3.9		3.8		3.9 U		3.8 U
Aroclor 1242	4 U	4 U	3.8 U	3.9	U	3.9	U	3.9	U	4	U	3.9 U	3.9 U		3.9	U	3.8	U	3.9 U		3.8 U
Aroclor 1248	200	120	45	100		110		95		93		4.8 Y UY	96		170		37		84		64
Aroclor 1254	360	160	85	150	_	180		150		130		9	140		330		76	-	140		110
Aroclor 1260	240	100	40	64		100		60		87		4.1	74 P	J	220		61		61		62
Total PCBs ⁵	800	380	170	314		390		305		310		13.1	310	J ⁷	720		174	J 7	285		236
Total PCBs (mg/kg-OC) ⁶	23	14	10.8	16		11.6		10.7	J ⁸	7.9		NA	10.3	J 8	28		9.6	J 8	16		9.8 J ⁸

Note(s)

- 1. Laboratory qualifiers (Q1) are as follows:
 - U = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value.
 - P = The analyte was detected on both chromatographic columns but the quantified values differ by >40% RPD with no obvious chromatographic interference.
- Y = analyte not detected at the associated reporting limit value.
 The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interferences.
- The reporting limit is raised due to chromatographic interierence
- 2. Validation qualifiers (Q2) are defined as follows:
 - UY = The reporting limit was elevated due to chromatographic overlap with related compounds. The material was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the associated value.
 - J = The analyte was positively identified. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- The original sample location was inadvertently reoccupied during the initial sampling event on March 6, 2015. The comparison of before and after DSOA dredging should be made between the relocated station locations.

- ${\bf 4.}\ \ {\bf Average\ thickness\ of\ surficial\ silt\ layer\ from\ qualitative\ sample\ characteristics\ forms.}$
- 5. Total PCBs calculated by summing results for detected congeners or, if all not detected, using the highest reporting limit for non-detected congeners.
- 6. NA: TOC outside the range for normalization (<0.5% or >4.0%).
- 7. If 20% or more of total detected Aroclors are qualified as estimated, the total calculated PCB concentration will also be considered estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier.
- 8. If the total calculated PCB concentration is considered to be estimated and assigned a "J" qualifier, then the organic carbon normalized value will also be assigned a "J" qualifier. Organic carbon-normalized PCB values will also be considered estimated if the TOC value is qualified as estimated.

Abbreviation(s)

cm = centimeters

DSOA = Duwamish Sediment Other Area

mg/kg Dry-Weight = milligrams per kilogram dry weight

mg/kg-OC = milligrams per kilogram organic carbon

NA = not applicable, percent carbon less than 0.5 percent

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls

Q1 = laboratory qualifiers


Q2 = validation qualifiers


RPD = relative percent difference


TOC = total organic carbon


μg/kg Dry-Weight = micrograms per kilogram dry weight


CMI Table 17 Jorgensen Results_100915
































EXHIBIT 1:

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. 2013.

2012-2013 Construction Season Completion Report, Duwamish Sediment
Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and
Habitat Project Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington.
Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington. October.

EXHIBIT 2:

AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. and Floyd|Snider, Inc. 2014. Shoreline Completion Report, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington. May.

EXHIBIT 3:

Dalton, Olmsted & Fuglevand, Inc., AMEC Environment & Infrastructure, Inc., and Floyd|Snider, Inc. 2014. **Dredging Construction Season 2**(January to March 2014) Completion Report, Duwamish Sediment Other Area and Southwest Bank Corrective Measure and Habitat Project, Boeing Plant 2, Seattle/Tukwila, Washington. Prepared for The Boeing Company, Seattle, Washington. November.

Exhibits are located in separate pdf files due to large file size





APPENDIX (

Transload Waste Tickets and Waste Profile

(Provided in PDF Format on CD)



APPENDIX E	
In situ Water Quality Parameter Measurements	
(Provided in Excel Format on CD)	
(Frevided in Excert children e.b.)	

APPENDIX F

Daily Water Quality Monitoring Forms

(Provided in PDF Format on CD)

APPENDIX G	
-------------------	--

Data Validation Reports

APPENDIX H

Qualitative Sample Characteristics and Chain-of-Custody Forms for Perimeter Monitoring


(Provided in PDF Format on CD)



Slip 4 Additional Data Collection

APPENDIX J

Core Summary Logs, Photographs, and Chain-of-Custody Forms for Post-Construction Coring



APPENDIX L

Qualitative Sample Characteristics, Photographs, and Chain-of-Custody Forms for Jorgensen Backfill Sampling



APPENDIX N

Archaeological Monitoring Program Synopsis, Construction Season 3: Dredging